# Appeal of Property Tax Assessment

City of Fargo Staff Report

## General Appeal Information

Tax Year:	2024
Filed Via:	Abatement Application # 4592
Parcel Number:	01-2120-00250-000
Address:	85 22 <sup>nd</sup> Ave N
Owner Name:	Valkov, Petar and Veselina
Appellant:	Petar Valkov
Requested Value:	\$300,000

Subject Property



Property Type: Story Height: Year Built: Size (SF): Single Family Dwelling Split-Level 1959 2,020 sf

Transaction History: True and Full Value: *Not Applicable* \$ 338,000 (\$ 167 / SF)

## Appeal Summary

The appellant filed this abatement, stating that the condition of the house was not reflected in the current valuation. He also pointed out that the single stall garage was less desirable in the current market, and the value should be adjusted for this. The appellant submitted photos of the house, as well as short narrative of his concerns, which is attached.

#### City of Fargo Staff Analysis

Assessment staff reviewed our property information as part of the reappraisal efforts in June of 2024. We found the home to be in average condition for its age. The home has a typical mixture of updates, renovations, and deferred maintenance. We found our property records to be accurate. No significant changes were made to the property record card. The property is currently being renovated, but the work was started after the 2024 value was certified.

#### **Assessment Fairness**

To test for assessment fairness, we compare the true and full value to that of similar properties. We chose as competing properties: single family split-level style homes, located in the immediate neighborhoods, of average quality, with single stall garages and similar size to the subject. We found 4 such properties. The subject is valued at the high end of the group:

Measure	True & Full Value	Value / SF		
90 <sup>th</sup> Percentile	\$ 333,970	\$ 165		
75 <sup>th</sup> Percentile	\$ 327,625	\$ 164		
Median	\$ 321,400	\$ 161		
25 <sup>th</sup> Percentile	\$ 314,750	\$ 157		
10 <sup>th</sup> Percentile	\$ 307,640	\$ 155		
Subject	\$ 338,000	\$ 167		

#### Valuation Accuracy

We tested the accuracy of the true and full value by studying comparable sale prices. There were five comparable sales in the Northport neighborhood, with the exception that none of them have a single stall garage. The subject is valued higher than the prices of four out of the five comparable sales.

Address	Story Height	Year Built	Bath Count	Garage Stalls	Size (SF)	Sale Date	Sale Price (w/ SPUN)	Price / SF
2901 EDGEWOOD DR N	Split Level	1967	1 ¾ or 2	2	2,072	29-Jul-22	\$328,500	\$159
2201 9 ST S	Split Level	1967	3 or 3.5	2	2,072	14-Sep-22	341,200	165
113 22 AVE N	Split Level	1960	1 ¾ or 2	2	2,084	28-Oct-22	351,500	169
1005 24 AVE S	Split Level	1967	1 ¾ or 2	2	1,772	14-Nov-23	259,600	147
86 23 AVE N	Split Level	1959	1 ¾ or 2	2	2,020	19-Dec-23	301,400	149
Subject	Split Level	1959	2	1	2,020		\$ 338,000	\$ 167

We identified three of the above sales as highly comparable and estimated the value with the sales comparison approach. This method accounts for the concerns outlined by the appellant. With the sales comparison approach, we estimate the value to be \$308,100.

#### Conclusion

City staff have found data to support a reduction for the 2024 tax year. The current assessment appears high when compared with similar properties. The value is also high based on the identified comparable sales. Given the market data available, and the present condition and amenities of the subject property, we believe the sales comparison approach estimates the most supportable valuation.

### **Recommended Action:**

Reduce the value to \$308,100 for the 2024 tax year

GO ASSESSOR	n Dakota Century Code § 57-23 ember 1 of the year following the		he tax becomes delinquent.
State of North Dakota	Assessment Distric	Letty of Fa	rgo
County of Cass	Property I.D. No.	01-2120-00	250-000
Name_Petar M. Valkov		Telephone No.	(701) 729-1118
Address 85 22 Avenue North, Fargo, ND 58	3102		
Legal description of the property involved in this applic	cation:		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Lot: 3 Block: 2 Addition: North Park Addit	ional: NORTH PARK LO	T 3 BLK 2 A	ND E 4' LOT 4
Total true and full value of the property described above for the year $2024$ is:		Total true and a above for the y	full value of the property desc ear <u>2024</u> should be:
Land \$ <u>69,300</u>		Land	<u>\$_69,000</u>
Improvements \$ 268,700		Improv	
Total \$ <u>338,000</u> (1)		Total	\$ <u>300,000</u> (2)
5. Complainant or property is exempt from taxation	<ol> <li>Attach a copy of Application for</li> </ol>	Property Tax Exe	mption.
<ul> <li>6. Duplicate assessment</li> <li>7. Property improvement was destroyed or damaged</li> <li>8. Error in noting payment of taxes, taxes erroneous</li> </ul>	-	utural disaster (see	e N.D.C.C. § 57-23-04(1)(g))
2. 7. Property improvement was destroyed or damaged	sly paid		
<ul> <li>7. Property improvement was destroyed or damaged</li> <li>8. Error in noting payment of taxes, taxes erroneous</li> <li>9. Property qualifies for Homestead Credit (N.D.C. the application.</li> </ul>	sly paid C: § 57-02-03.1) or Disabled Veter lential or commercial property desc	ribed above. For	.с. § 57-02-08.8). Ащасы а сор
<ul> <li>7. Property improvement was destroyed or damaged</li> <li>8. Error in noting payment of taxes, taxes erroneous</li> <li>9. Property qualifies for Homestead Credit (N.D.C. the application.</li> <li>10. Other (explain)</li> </ul> The following facts relate to the market value of the reside question #5. 1. Purchase price of property: \$ <u>185,000</u> Terms: Cash Contract	sly paid <del>C. § 57-02-08.1) or Disabled Veter</del> lential or commercial property desc Date of purchase: <u>6/14/2013</u> _ Trade Other (exp	ribed above. For lain) <u>Mortga</u>	agricultural property, go directl
<ul> <li>7. Property improvement was destroyed or damaged</li> <li>8. Error in noting payment of taxes, taxes erroneous</li> <li>9. Property qualifies for Homestead Credit (N.D.C. the application.</li> <li>10. Other (explain)</li> </ul> The following facts relate to the market value of the resid question #5. <ol> <li>Purchase price of property: \$<u>185,000</u></li> </ol>	sly paid <del>C. § 57-02-08.1) or Disabled Veter</del> lential or commercial property desc Date of purchase: <u>6/14/2013</u> _ Trade Other (exp	ribed above. For lain) <u>Mortga</u>	agricultural property, go directl
<ul> <li>7. Property improvement was destroyed or damaged</li> <li>8. Error in noting payment of taxes, taxes erroneous</li> <li>9. Property qualifies for Homestead Credit (N.D.C. the application.</li> <li>10. Other (explain)</li> </ul> The following facts relate to the market value of the reside question #5. 1. Purchase price of property: \$ <u>185,000</u> Terms: Cash Contract Was there personal property involved in the purchase 2. Has the property been offered for sale on the open mage	sly paid C. § 57-02-08.1) or Disabled Veter lential or commercial property desc Date of purchase: <u>6/14/2013</u> _ Trade Other (exp price? <u>no</u> Estimated v yes/no rket? <u>no</u> . If yes, how lor yes/no	ribed above. For lain) <u>Mortga</u> alue: \$	agricultural property, go directl
<ul> <li>7. Property improvement was destroyed or damaged</li> <li>8. Error in noting payment of taxes, taxes erroneous</li> <li>9. Property qualifies for Homestead Credit (N.D.C. the application.</li> <li>10. Other (explain)</li> </ul> The following facts relate to the market value of the resid question #5. 1. Purchase price of property: \$ <u>185,000</u> Terms: Cash Contract Was there personal property involved in the purchase 2. Has the property been offered for sale on the open ma Asking price: \$ Terms of sale 3. The property was independently appraised: No	sly paid C: § 57-02-08:1) or Disabled Veter lential or commercial property desc Date of purchase: <u>6/14/2013</u> _ Trade Other (exp price? <u>no</u> Estimated v yes/no rket? <u>no</u> . If yes, how lor yes/no e:	rribed above. For lain) <u>Mortga</u> alue: \$	agricultural property, go directl
<ul> <li>7. Property improvement was destroyed or damaged</li> <li>8. Error in noting payment of taxes, taxes erroneous</li> <li>9. Property qualifies for Homestead Credit (N.D.C. the application.</li> <li>10. Other (explain)</li> </ul> The following facts relate to the market value of the resid question #5. 1. Purchase price of property: \$ <u>185,000</u> Terms: Cash Contract Was there personal property involved in the purchase 2. Has the property been offered for sale on the open ma Asking price: \$ Terms of sale 3. The property was independently appraised: No	sly paid C: § 57-02-08.1) or Disabled Veter lential or commercial property desc Date of purchase: 6/14/2013 _ Trade Other (exp price? _	ribed above. For lain) <u>Mortga</u> alue: \$ g?	agricultural property, go directl

the property is apparently overvalued and the owner is asking for the true and full value be adjusted

to reflect the actual market value.

By filing this application, I consent to an inspection of the above-described property by an authorized assessment official for the purpose of making an appraisal of the property. I understand the official will give me reasonable notification of the inspection. See N.D.C.C. § 57-23-05.1.

I declare under the penalties of N.D.C.C. § 12.1-11-02, which provides for a Class A misdemeanor for making a false statement in a governmental matter, that this application is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, a true and correct application.

Signature of	M	Sh	ele	D
Signature of	ppl	icant	,	<b>~</b>

962024

Recommendation of the Governing Body of the City or Township

-	,,,,  the go	verning board of this mur	nicipality, after	examination of this	application and the facts, passed
a resolution recommending to $4308/1$	the Board of County Com OOG 72024	missioners that the applic	ation be	proved fo	application and the facts, passed
Dated this 22	_day of	2025	City Audi	Je Sor or Township Cler	k
	Action b	by the Board of Count	y Commissio	oners	
pplication was Approv	ved/Rejected by acti	on of		County Board o	f Commissioners.
					ove this application. The taxab
iluation is reduced from \$ _		to \$	and 1	he taxes are reduced	l accordingly. The taxes, if paid
rill be refunded to the extent of the extent		. The Board acce	pts \$	·······	in full settlement of taxes for th
					ionale for the decision must b
				,,,, <u></u>	
ated					
ounty Autor					Chairperso
I certify that the Board of	of County Commissioners t	Certification of Count ook the action stated above	- ve and the recor	ds of my office and t	he office of the County Treasure
how the following facts as to	the assessment and the pay	yment of taxes on the pro	perty described	in this application. Date Paid	Payment Made
Year	Taxable Value	Tax		(if paid)	Under Written Protest?
				<u> </u>	yes/no
further certify that the taxabl	e valuation and the taxes o	rdered abated or refunded	l by the Board	of County Commiss	ioner are as follows:
further certify that the taxabl		rdered abated or refunded	by the Board		ioner are as follows:
			l by the Board		<u> </u>
further certify that the taxabl Year				Reduc	<u> </u>
			l by the Board	Reduc	
	Reduction in			Reduc	tion in Taxes
	Reduction in	Taxable Valuation		Reduc Auditor	tion in Taxes
	Reduction in	Taxable Valuation		Reduc Auditor	tion in Taxes
	Reduction in	Taxable Valuation		Reduc Auditor	tion in Taxes
	Reduction in			Reduc Auditor	tion in Taxes
	Reduction in	Taxable Valuation		Reduc Auditor	tion in Taxes
	Reduction in	Taxable Valuation	County /	Auditor He/LI/E	tion in Taxes
	Reduction in	NOYIEN LEFA	County /	Auditor He/LI/E	tion in Taxes
	Reduction in	NOYIEN LEFA	County /	Auditor He/LI/E	tion in Taxes
	Reduction in	Taxable Valuation		Reduc Auditor	tion in Taxes

----

\_\_\_\_

85 22 Ave North, Application for reduction of assessed value

Pear M and Veselina A. Valkov

Our reasons for requesting re-evaluation in the current assessed value of the property. Narrative:

- One stall car garage (uninsulated and unfinished). This is our main reason as at this day and age people are looking for properties with three garages, and eventually settling on two.
- Overall condition of the property. There are maintenance issues.
- Ongoing roof problems. We have replaced the roof about 10 years ago, but we still experience some issues. See interior photo.
- Siding, soffit, and fascia problems need of repair / repaint. Please see photos.
- Planter next to garage needs to be rebuilt.
- Ongoing repairs and remodeling inside. As evident from the provided photos, there is a lot of work to be completed.
- Current real-estate market conditions in Fargo, where to sell, the price needs to be adjusted.

The house was built in 1959, some the due repairs have been postponed by previous owners. We are attempting to bring the property to a better condition but many items remain.

We have prepared a small excel table with nearby properties and their resale value from Zillow. Please see attached.

Thank you for your consideration on our request for adjustment of appraisal value.

Sincerely,

Petar and Veselina

Encl.