Parcel Number: 01-4181-00280-000 Owner: RR Real Estate, LLC

## Appeal of Assessment for Year(s): 2022, 2023

Name of Applicant: Robert Geglin

**True & Full Value** 

**2023** 394,000 101.97/sf 98,500/unit **2022** 342,600 88.66/sf 85,650/unit

Applicants Requested Value(s)

**2023** 320,000 *82.82 / sf 80,000 / unit* **2022** 290,000 *75.05 / sf 72,500 / unit* 

**General Property Information** 

Property Type Apartment (4–Plex)
Year Built 1958 (remodeled 2022)
Building Area 3,864 sf
Number of Units 4

**Fargo Assessor Recommendation** 

**2023** 310,000 80.23 / sf 77,500 / unit **2022** 305,000 78.93 / sf 76,250 / unit



### Summary

Appellant is appealing the true & full value for tax years 2022 and 2023. He requests a reduction of -\$52,600 (-15.4%) for 2022; and -\$74,000 (-18.8%) for 2023. As support for the reductions, the appellant provides the purchase price (02/28/2022 - \$290,000) and an independent fee appraisal (09/18/2023 - \$320,000). Appellant also contends that the subject property is over-valued relative to its competing property set.

The subject property is a four unit apartment building. It is located near the Northport Shopping Center. While built in 1958, an extensive renovation occurred in 2022, which puts it in higher condition than its peers. The buyer was unsure of which components were updated. The property view indicated that the roof, windows, flooring, HVAC, fixtures, kitchens, and baths were all replaced or repaired. Assessment department staff valued the remodeling work for 2022. Staff subsequently applied market adjustments to all adjacent apartment properties for 2023.

City staff viewed the property on 11/14/2023. Staff estimated the value with all three approaches. The indicated value range for 2023 is: \$310,000 (sales comparison approach) to \$332,000 (cost approach). For 2022, the analysis indicates a value range between: \$301,000 (income approach) to \$313,000 (cost approach). Staff chose to recommend the value produced by the sales comparison approach.

## **Comparable Sales Summary (2023)**

Address	Property Type	Size (SF)	Units	Year Built	Sale Date	Sale Price (with SPUN)	Sale Price (Adj Sales Comp Apprch)	\$/Unit
2928 7 ST N	Four-Plex	4,032	4	1959	10/2022	282,200	308,300	77,075
2914 7 ST N	Four-Plex	4,088	4	1959	05/2022	272,700	297,500	74,375
2710 9 ST N	Four-Plex	2,400	4	1956	06/2022	271,800	321,400	80,350
2706 8 ST N	Four-Plex	2,304	4	1956	06/2022	256,900	320,400	80,100
					Original 2023 Tr	ue & Full	394,000	98,500
Subject	Four-Plex	3,864	4	1958	Appellants Requ	iested Value	320,000	80,000
					Proposed Value		310,000	77,500

Parcel Number: 01-4181-00280-000 Owner: RR Real Estate, LLC

## **Comparable Sales Summary (2022)**

Address	Property Type	Size (SF)	Units	Year Built	Sale Date	Sale Price (with SPUN)	Sale Price (Adj Sales Comp Apprch)	\$/Unit
2605 9 ST N	Four-Plex	3036	4	1958	02/2022	255,000	307,100	76,775
2934 7 ST N	Four-Plex	3640	4	1959	11/2021	276,000	314,000	78,500
2606 9 ST N	Four-Plex	2544	4	1957	09/2021	231,000	288,600	72,150
2701 9 ST N	Four-Plex	2544	4	1958	03/2021	240,000	302,900	75,725
					Original 2022 T	rue & Full	342,600	85,650
Subject	Four-Plex	3,864	4	1958	Appellants Req	uested Value	290,000	72,500
					Proposed Value	e	305,000	76,250

#### **Competing Properties (Assessed Values) Summary**

We studied current assessments of similar properties for equalization. The search parameters are all four-plex apartments in the Northport area, with four 2 bedroom units. There are 19 such properties in both 2022 and 2023. The subject is the highest assessed in all units of comparison, in both years. The subject's renovation history, and condition relative to its peers likely justifies its position at the top of the competitive set. The magnitude between the subject values, and the 90<sup>th</sup> percentile raises concern.

The values proposed by assessment staff would keep the subjects values at or near the top of the competing set, albeit tempered dramatically. The appellant's requested value would also be at the top of value range for this property set.

	2023 Total Value	2023 \$/SF	2023 \$/Unit	2022 Total Value	2022 \$/SF	2022 \$/Unit
Maximum	394,000	102	98,500	342,600	89	85,650
90 <sup>th</sup> Percentile	304,080	82	76,020	266,080	71	66,520
75 <sup>th</sup> Percentile	284,850	75	71,213	257,400	66	64,350
Median	279,000	69	69,750	243,700	62	60,925
25th Percentile	270,100	67	67,525	239,650	59	59,913
10 <sup>th</sup> Percentile	259,500	63	64,875	227,860	55	56,965
Minimum	255,900	61	63,975	222,500	53	55,625
True & Full	394,000	102	98,500	342,600	89	85,650
Requested Value	320,000	83	80,000	290,000	75	72,500
Proposed Value	310,000	80	77,500	305,000	79	76,250

**Recommended Action #1:** Adjust the true and full value to \$310,000 for the 2023 tax year. **Recommended Action #2:** Adjust the true and full value to \$305,000 for the 2022 tax year.

# Application For Abatement Or Refund Of Taxes North Dakota Century Code § 57-23-04

File with the County Auditor on or before November 1 of the year following the year in which the tax becomes delinquent.

State of North Dakota	Assessment Distric	t 1		In the later of th
County of Cass	Property I.D. No.	01-4181-002	280-000	101-101 101
Name RR Real Estate LLC		Telephone No.	701-793-99	996
Address 2822 8th St North Fargo, ND 58102				
Legal description of the property involved in this application:				
LAWRENCE YUNKER 1 S 4' LOT 1 & N 69' LO	OT 2 BLK 3 1ST SU	UB OF BLK	3	
Total true and full value of the property described		Total true and f	full value of the	property described
above for the year <u>2022</u> is:		above for the ye	ear <u>2022</u>	_ should be:
Land \$ 29,000		Land	\$ 29,0	
Improvements \$ 313,600		Improve	Ψ	1,000
Total \$ 342,600 (1)		Total	\$ 290	(2)
The difference of S 52,000.00 true and full value b	between (1) and (2) abov	ve is due to the fo	llowing reason(	's):
				,-
<ol> <li>Agricultural property true and full value exceeds its agricu</li> <li>Residential or commercial property's true and full value ex</li> </ol>		D.C.C. § 57-02-2	7.2	
3. Error in property description, entering the description, or e	extending the tax			
<ul> <li>4. Nonexisting improvement assessed</li> <li>5. Complainant or property is exempt from taxation. Attach a</li> </ul>	a copy of Application for	Property Tax Exe	mption.	
<ul> <li>6. Duplicate assessment</li> <li>7. Property improvement was destroyed or damaged by fire.</li> </ul>	flood tormado ar ather n	otural dienetar (cas	NDCC 857	23.(M(1)(a))
8. Error in noting payment of taxes, taxes erroneously paid	nood, tornado, or other n	attirar disaster (see	. 14,0.0.0.3.37	==:-\\\(\(\g\)
<ol> <li>Property qualifies for Homestead Credit (N.D.C.C. § 57-02 the application.</li> </ol>	2-08.1) or Disabled Veter	ans Credit (N.D.C	C. § 57-02-08.8	3). Attach a copy of
10. Other (explain)				
The following facts relate to the market value of the residential or question #5.	commercial property des	cribed above. For	agricultural pro	perty, go directly to
1. Purchase price of property: \$ 290,000 Date of p	ourchase:			
Terms: Cash Contract Trade _	Other (exp	olain)		
Was there personal property involved in the purchase price?	no Estimated v	alue: \$		
Has the property been offered for sale on the open market?		ng'?		
	yes/no			
Asking price: \$ Terms of sale:	ose of appraisal: to clo	ose on mortos	ige	
3. The property was independently appraised: Yes Purpo yes/no	ose of appraisar: _to_cr	ose on moriga	ige	
	Market value estimate:	\$ 290,000		
Appraisal was made by whom? Border Appraisals	11 01000 11 1 1000	200.000		
<ul><li>4. The applicant's estimate of market value of the property involve</li><li>5. The estimated agricultural productive value of this property is expressed.</li></ul>			m(e).	
3. The estimated agricultural productive value of this property is e	excessive needuse of the		ш(з).	
Applicant asks that The Total and Full value of the pro-	operty be lowered t	o match the n	narket price	
and the price described in appraisal.				
By filing this application. I consent to an inspection of the above-desappraisal of the property. I understand the official will give me reas	scribed property by an au onable notification of the	thorized assessme inspection. See N	nt official for the	e purpose of making an 3-05.1.
I declare under the penalties of N.D.C.C. § 12.1-11-02, which proving matter, that this application is, to the best of my knowledge and beli	vides for a Class A misde ef, a true and correct app	emeanor for makin	ng a false staten	nent in a governmental
		M	A	07/25/2023
Signature of Preparer (if other than applicant)  Da	te Signature of Ap	oplicant / /		Date

24775 (2-2016)

# Recommendation of the Governing Body of the City or Township

	a the Deced of Court C	verning board of this municipality	Anna 10-0	extion +AARBGO
esolution recommending to	o the Board of County Com	missioners that the application be	Approve Ma	central four sex,
. 82			485	
Dated this _/0	_ day of <u>January</u>		Auditor or Township Clerk	
	Action b	by the Board of County Com	missioners	
plication wasAppro	by acti	ion of	County Board of	Commissioners.
		ovisions of North Dakota Century		
		to \$		
		The Board accepts \$		in full settlement of taxes for th
c year	<del></del> ·			
		or the following reason(s). Writ		onale for the decision must b
tacticu.				
			1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
ated		244		
aicu	,			
County Auditor		C 4°C 4° .CC. 4 A 3°	4	Chairperso
	d of County Commissioners	Certification of County Auditook the action stated above and the	e records of my office and the	ne office of the County Treasure
now the following facts as t	to the assessment and the pa	yment of taxes on the property de-	Date Paid	
			Date Paid	Payment Made
Year	Taxable Value	Tax	(if paid)	Under Written Protest?
Year	Taxable Value	Tax		
		Tax ordered abated or refunded by the	(if paid)	Under Written Protest?  yes/no
	ble valuation and the taxes of		(if paid)  Board of County Commissi	Under Written Protest?  yes/no
further certify that the taxal	ble valuation and the taxes of	ordered abated or refunded by the	(if paid)  Board of County Commissi	Under Written Protest?  yes/no  oner are as follows:
further certify that the taxal	ble valuation and the taxes of	ordered abated or refunded by the  n Taxable Valuation	(if paid)  Board of County Commissi	Under Written Protest?  yes/no  oner are as follows:
further certify that the taxal	ble valuation and the taxes of	ordered abated or refunded by the  n Taxable Valuation	(if paid)  Board of County Commissi  Reduct	Under Written Protest?  yes/no  oner are as follows:  ion in Taxes
further certify that the taxal	ble valuation and the taxes of	ordered abated or refunded by the Taxable Valuation	(if paid)  Board of County Commissi  Reduct	Under Written Protest?  yes/no  oner are as follows:  ion in Taxes  Date
further certify that the taxal	ble valuation and the taxes of Reduction is	ordered abated or refunded by the Taxable Valuation	(if paid)  Board of County Commissi  Reduct	Under Written Protest?  yes/no  oner are as follows:  ion in Taxes  Date
further certify that the taxal	ble valuation and the taxes of Reduction is	ordered abated or refunded by the  Taxable Valuation  C	(if paid)  Board of County Commissi  Reduct	Under Written Protest?  yes/no  oner are as follows:  ion in Taxes  Date
further certify that the taxal	ble valuation and the taxes of Reduction is	ordered abated or refunded by the  Taxable Valuation  C	(if paid)  Board of County Commissi  Reduct	Under Written Protest?  yes/no  oner are as follows:  ion in Taxes  Date
further certify that the taxal	ble valuation and the taxes of Reduction is	ordered abated or refunded by the  Taxable Valuation  C	(if paid)  Board of County Commissi  Reduct	Under Written Protest?  yes/no  oner are as follows:  ion in Taxes  Date
further certify that the taxal	ble valuation and the taxes of Reduction is	ordered abated or refunded by the name of the following state of the	Reduct  Ounty Auditor	Under Written Protest?  yes/no  oner are as follows:  ion in Taxes
further certify that the taxal	ble valuation and the taxes of Reduction is	ordered abated or refunded by the name of the following state of the	Reduct  Ounty Auditor	Under Written Protest?  yes/no  oner are as follows:  ion in Taxes  Date
further certify that the taxal	Reduction is	ordered abated or refunded by the name of the following state of the	(if paid)  Board of County Commissi  Reduct	Under Written Protest?  yes/no  oner are as follows:  ion in Taxes  Date



File with the County Auditor on or before November 1 of the year following the year in which the tax becomes delinquent.

Legal description of the property involved in this application:  LAWRENCE YUNKER 1 S 4" LOT 1 & N 69" LOT 2 BLK 3 1ST SUB OF BLK 3  Total true and full value of the property described above for the year 2023 six about be:  Land \$29000  Land \$29000  Total \$394000  Total \$394000  Total \$394000  Total \$394000  Total \$300000  Total \$3000000000000000000000000000000000000	State of North Dakota	Assessment District	1		
Address 2822 8th St North Fargo, ND 58102  Legal description of the property involved in this application:  LAWRENCE YUNKER 1 S 4' LOT 1 & N 69' LOT 2 BLK 3 1ST SUB OF BLK 3  Total true and full value of the property described above for the year 2000	County of Cass	Property I.D. No.	01-4181-002	80-000	
Lagel description of the property involved in this application:  LAWRENCE YUNKER 1 S 4' LOT 1 & N 69' LOT 2 BLK 3 1ST SUB OF BLK 3  Total true and full value of the property described above for the year 2023 should be:  Land \$29000  Land \$29000  Land \$29000  Improvements \$365000  Total \$394000  Total \$320000  Total \$320	Name RR Real Estate LLC		Telephone No.	701-793-9996	
Total true and full value of the property described above for the year 2023 is:  Land \$29000  Improvements \$365000  Total \$394000  Total \$394000  Total \$394000  Total \$394000  Total \$394000  Total \$39000  Total \$394000  Total \$39000  Total \$390000  Total \$300000  Total \$390000  Total \$390000  Total \$390000  Total \$300000  Total \$390000  Total \$3900000  Total \$3900000  Total \$39000000000000000000000000000000000000	Address 2822 8th St North Fargo, ND 58102				
above for the year	Legal description of the property involved in this application:  LAWRENCE YUNKER 1 S 4' LOT 1 & N 69' LO	T 2 BLK 3 1ST 9	SUB OF BLK	3	
Land \$ 29000 Improvements \$ 365000 Total \$ 394000 Total \$ 394000 Total \$ 394000 Total \$ 394000 Total \$ 3200000	Total true and full value of the property described above for the year 2023 is:				
Total \$ 320000 (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)	29000		·		
true and full value between (1) and (2) above is due to the following reason(s):    1. Agricultural property true and full value exceeds its agricultural value defined in N.D.C.C. § 57-02-27.2   2. Residential or commercial property's true and full value exceeds the market value   3. Error in property description, entering the description, or extending the tax   4. Nonexisting improvement assessed   5. Complainant or property is exempt from taxation. Attach a copy of Application for Property Tax Exemption.   6. Duplicate assessment   7. Property improvement was destroyed or dumaged by fire, flood, tornado, or other natural disaster (see N.D.C.C. § 57-23-04(1)(g))   8. Error in noting payment of faxes, taxes erroreously paid   9. Property qualifies for Homestead Credit (N.D.C.C. § 57-42-08.1) or Disabled Veterans Credit (N.D.C.C. § 57-02-08.8). Attach a copy of the application.   10. Other (explain)   The following facts relate to the market value of the residential or commercial property described above. For agricultural property, go directly to question #5.   1. Purchase price of property: \$ 290000	Improvements \$ 365000		Improvem	ents \$ 291000	
The difference of \$\frac{74000}{\text{true}}  true and full value between (1) and (2) above is due to the following reason(s):    1. Agricultural property true and full value exceeds its agricultural value defined in N.D.C.C. \( \) \( 57-02-27.2 \)   2. Residential or commercial property's true and full value exceeds the market value   3. Error in property description, entering the description, or extending the tax   4. Nonexisting improvement assessed   5. Complainant or property is exempt from taxation. Attach a copy of Application for Property Tax Exemption.   6. Duplicate assessment   7. Property improvement was destroyed or damaged by fire, flood, tornado, or other natural disaster (see N.D.C.C. \( \) \( 57-23-04(1)(g) \)   8. Error in noting payment of Taxes, taxes erroneously paid   9. Property qualifies for Homestead Credit (N.D.C.C. \( \) \( \) \( 57-02-08.1 \)) or Disabled Veterans Credit (N.D.C.C. \( \) \( 57-02-08.8 \)). Attach a copy of the application.   10. Other (explain)   The following facts relate to the market value of the residential or commercial property described above. For agricultural property, go directly to question \( \) \( \) \( 5. \) \( 1. \) Purchase price of property: \( \) \( \) \( 200000 \)   20	Total \$ 394000		Total	\$ 320000	
1. Agricultural property true and full value exceeds its agricultural value defined in N.D.C.C. § 57-02-27.2  2. Residential or commercial property's true and full value exceeds the market value  3. Error in property description, entering the description, or extending the tax  4. Nonexisting improvement assessed  5. Complainant or property is exempt from taxation. Attach a copy of Application for Property Tax Exemption.  6. Duplicate assessment  7. Property improvement was destroyed or damaged by fire, flood, tornado, or other natural disaster (see N.D.C.C., § 57-23-04(1)(g))  8. Error in noting payment of taxes, taxes erroneously paid  9. Property application.  10. Other (explain)  The following facts relate to the market value of the residential or commercial property described above. For agricultural property, go directly to question #5.  1. Purchase price of property: § 290000 Date of purchase:  Terms: Cash Contract 4 Trade Other (explain)  Was there personal property involved in the purchase price?  Terms: Cash Contract 4 Trade Other (explain)  Was there personal property involved in the purchase price?  10. Estimated value: \$ yes/no  Asking price: \$ Terms of sale:  3. The property was independently appraised: Yes Purpose of appraisal: to close on mortgage  yes/no  Market value estimate: \$ 290000  5. The estimated agricultural productive value of this property is excessive because of the following condition(s):  Applicant asks that The Total and Full value of the property be lowered to match the market  and the price described in appraisal.  By filing this application. I consent to an inspection of the above-described property by an authorized assessment official for the purpose of making an appraisal of the property. Lunderstand the official will give me reasonable notification of the inspection. See N.D.C.C. § 57-22-05.1.				(2)	Additional and the Addition and the Additional and
2. Residential or commercial property's true and full value exceeds the market value   3. Error in property description, entering the description, or extending the tax   4. Nonexisting improvement assessed   5. Complainant or property is exempt from taxation. Attach a copy of Application for Property Tax Exemption.   6. Duplicate assessment   7. Property improvement was destroyed or damaged by fire, flood, tornado, or other natural disaster (see N.D.C.C. § 57-23-04(1)(g))   8. Error in noting payment of faxes, taxes erroneously paid   9. Property qualifies for Homestead Credit (N.D.C.C. § 57-02-08.1) or Disabled Veterans Credit (N.D.C.C. § 57-02-08.8). Attach a copy of the application.   10. Other (explain)   10. O	The difference of S 74000 true and full value bet	ween (1) and (2) above	is due to the follo	wing reason(s):	
question #5.  1. Purchase price of property: \$ 290000 Date of purchase:  Terms: Cash Contract 4 Trade Other (explain)  Was there personal property involved in the purchase price?  10 Estimated value: \$ yes/no  Asking price: \$ Terms of sale:  3. The property was independently appraised:  Yes Purpose of appraisal:  Washer value estimate: \$ 290000  Appraisal was made by whom?  Border Appraisals  4. The applicant's estimate of market value of the property involved in this application is \$ 290000  5. The estimated agricultural productive value of this property is excessive because of the following condition(s):  Applicant asks that The Total and Full value of the property be lowered to match the market and the price described in appraisal.  By filing this application. I consent to an inspection of the above-described property by an authorized assessment official for the purpose of making an appraisal of the property. I understand the official will give me reasonable notification of the inspection. See N.D.C.C. § 57-23-05.1.  Ideclare under the penalties of N.D.C.C. § 12.1-11-02, which provides for a Class A misdemeanor for making a false statement in a governmental	<ol> <li>3. Error in property description, entering the description, or extered.</li> <li>4. Nonexisting improvement assessed.</li> <li>5. Complainant or property is exempt from taxation. Attach a complicate assessment.</li> <li>7. Property improvement was destroyed or damaged by fire, flood.</li> <li>8. Error in noting payment of taxes, taxes erroneously paid.</li> <li>9. Property qualifies for Homestead Credit (N.D.C.C. § 57-02-0 the application.</li> </ol>	onding the tax  opy of Application for P  od, tornado, or other nat	ural disaster (see N	I.D.C.C. § 57-23-04(	
Was there personal property involved in the purchase price?    No	question #5.		_		.
2. Has the property been offered for sale on the open market?    Terms of sale:   Terms of sale:   Yes   Purpose of appraisal:   to close on mortgage   Market value estimate: \$ 290000	Terms: Cash Contract 4 Trade	Other (expla	uin)		
2. Has the property been offered for sale on the open market?	Was there personal property involved in the purchase price?	no Estimated val	lue: \$		
3. The property was independently appraised: Yes yes/no  Market value estimate: \$ 290000  Appraisal was made by whom? Border Appraisals  4. The applicant's estimate of market value of the property involved in this application is \$ 290000  5. The estimated agricultural productive value of this property is excessive because of the following condition(s):  Applicant asks that The Total and Full value of the property be lowered to match the market and the price described in appraisal.  By filing this application. I consent to an inspection of the above-described property by an authorized assessment official for the purpose of making an appraisal of the property. I understand the official will give me reasonable notification of the inspection. See N.D.C.C. § 57-23-05.1.  Ideclare under the penalties of N.D.C.C. § 12.1-11-02, which provides for a Class A misdemeanor for making a false statement in a governmental	2. Has the property been offered for sale on the open market?	O . If yes, how long	?		
Market value estimate: \$ 290000  Appraisal was made by whom? Border Appraisals  4. The applicant's estimate of market value of the property involved in this application is \$ 290000  5. The estimated agricultural productive value of this property is excessive because of the following condition(s):  Applicant asks that The Total and Full value of the property be lowered to match the market and the price described in appraisal.  By filing this application. I consent to an inspection of the above-described property by an authorized assessment official for the purpose of making an appraisal of the property. I understand the official will give me reasonable notification of the inspection. See N.D.C.C. § 57-23-05.1.  Ideclare under the penalties of N.D.C.C. § 12.1-11-02, which provides for a Class A misdemeanor for making a false statement in a governmental		to also			
Appraisal was made by whom?  Border Appraisals  4. The applicant's estimate of market value of the property involved in this application is \$ 290000  5. The estimated agricultural productive value of this property is excessive because of the following condition(s):  Applicant asks that The Total and Full value of the property be lowered to match the market and the price described in appraisal.  By filing this application. I consent to an inspection of the above-described property by an authorized assessment official for the purpose of making an appraisal of the property. I understand the official will give me reasonable notification of the inspection. See N.D.C.C. § 57-23-05.1.  I declare under the penalties of N.D.C.C. § 12.1-11-02, which provides for a Class A misdemeanor for making a false statement in a governmental	yes/no			ge	
4. The applicant's estimate of market value of the property involved in this application is \$ 290000  5. The estimated agricultural productive value of this property is excessive because of the following condition(s):  Applicant asks that The Total and Full value of the property be lowered to match the market and the price described in appraisal.  By filing this application. I consent to an inspection of the above-described property by an authorized assessment official for the purpose of making an appraisal of the property. I understand the official will give me reasonable notification of the inspection. See N.D.C.C. § 57-23-05.1.  I declare under the penalties of N.D.C.C. § 12.1-11-02, which provides for a Class A misdemeanor for making a false statement in a governmental					
Applicant asks that The Total and Full value of the property be lowered to match the market and the price described in appraisal.  By filing this application. I consent to an inspection of the above-described property by an authorized assessment official for the purpose of making an appraisal of the property. I understand the official will give me reasonable notification of the inspection. See N.D.C.C. § 57-23-05.1.  I declare under the penalties of N.D.C.C. § 12.1-11-02, which provides for a Class A misdemeanor for making a false statement in a governmental		n this application is \$_2	290000		
and the price described in appraisal.  By filing this application. I consent to an inspection of the above-described property by an authorized assessment official for the purpose of making an appraisal of the property. I understand the official will give me reasonable notification of the inspection. See N.D.C.C. § 57-23-05.1.  I declare under the penalties of N.D.C.C. § 12.1-11-02, which provides for a Class A misdemeanor for making a false statement in a governmental	5. The estimated agricultural productive value of this property is exce	essive because of the fol	llowing condition(s	5):	
	and the price described in appraisal.  By filing this application. I consent to an inspection of the above-describation appraisal of the property. I understand the official will give me reasona	ped property by an authoble notification of the in	orized assessment on spection. See N.D.	official for the purpos 0.C.C. § 57-23-05.1.	
				a false statement in a	1/13/202

# Recommendation of the Governing Body of the City or Township

	erning board of <u>C17y or</u> , <u>2029</u> , the gove	<i>y</i>		er examination of this	application and the facts, passed
Dated this/8	day of January	,2024.	S-	Els Sitter or Township Cle	دام
	Action b	y the Board of Cou			IK
nlication was	by actic	on of		County Board o	of Commissioners.
Appro	by action by act				
					rove this application. The taxab
					d accordingly. The taxes, if pair
be refunded to the extent year		The Board ac	ecepts \$		in full settlement of taxes for th
ched.					
cd	,				
w the following facts as to	of County Commissioners to the assessment and the pay	ment of taxes on the p	oove and the rec	Date Paid	Payment Made
Year	Taxable Value	Tax		(if paid)	Under Written Protest?
rther certify that the taxah	ole valuation and the taxes or	dered abated or refun	ded by the Boar	d of County Commiss	yes/no
Year		Taxable Valuation			ction in Taxes
			County	y Auditor	Date
			١ ١	~	in(c)
	Abatement )f Taxes			11/14/2023	(must be within five business days of filing date)
	Application For Abatement Or Refund Of Taxes	22 22		Was Filed y Auditor ditor Mailed ownship	(must be within
	Арр		Name of Applicant (1)	Date Application Was Filed With The County Auditor Date County Auditor Mailed Application to Township Clerk or City Auditor	

From: RR Real Estate LLC

To: <u>jhaley</u>

Cc: Michael Splonskowski
Subject: Re: 2822 8 ST N

**Date:** Monday, December 4, 2023 5:22:15 PM

**CAUTION:** This email originated from an outside source. Do not click links or open attachments

unless you know they are safe.

Yes, we agree to waive! Thank you.

On Mon, Dec 4, 2023 at 11:14 AM James Haley < jhaley@fargond.gov > wrote:

Hi Robert,

Thank you for confirming that January 8<sup>th</sup> will work for the re-scheduled hearing. As we discussed, my office is recommending a reduction in both years. Our proposed values are:

**2023** - \$ 310,000

2022 - \$ 305,000

If you agree with these values, it may be convenient for you to waive your hearing before the City Commission. We will present the information on your behalf. That information is enclosed in this email. By waiving your hearing, you would not need to be in attendance at the city hearing on January 8<sup>th</sup>. The only downside to this, is the off chance that the commission wishes to remove the item from its "consent agenda" and discuss it. We would still recommend the values I mentioned above, however you would not be present to answer any questions they may have.

If you wish to waive your hearing at the City Commission on January 8th, please reply and explicitly state your intention to do so.

After the city commission hearing, we send the recommendation to the Cass County Commission. They are responsible for ultimately deciding what to do. I would highly recommend being in attendance at that hearing. It will likely occur late January or early February of 2024. Please watch for any communication from Cass County with regard to that hearing.

Respectfully,

# James Haley | Deputy City Assessor

Fargo Assessment Department

225 4 St N W229 | 701.241.1445 | jhaley@fargond.gov