## Review Pacific Ridge Osgood Place, LP

## County Board of Equalization Appeal

Mr. Boswell is protesting his 2018 valuation for his property located at $505040^{\text {th }}$ Ave S in Fargo, parcel number 01-7001-00650-000. Mr. Boswell contacted me on April $27^{\text {th }}$ and I completed an onsite inspection of the 117 unit property with Petter Eriksmoen, Appraisal Service, Inc., Wayne Ham, City of Fargo Assessment Office, and the property manager.

## Cass County Board of Commissioners:

Mr. Boswell initially requested a reduction to the value of his property at the Fargo City Board of Equalization from $\$ 10,495,000$ to $\$ 5,606,094$, based on his application of the income approach to value. He emailed me on May $25^{\text {th }}$ with an updated appraisal and a notification that he had a large error in his Net Operating Income (NOI) calculation and is now requesting a reduction in value from $\$ 10,495,000$ to $\$ 9,760,000$, which is the value determined from Mr. Eriksmoen's appraisal.

Mr. Ericksmoen's appraisal utilizes the three approaches to value with the most emphasis placed on the income approach. The appraisal suggests an effective value date (as is value) of May $15^{\text {th }}, 2018$ which is after the February $1^{\text {st }}$ Assessment date, but goes on to state that no substantial changes have occurred that would affect the value of the property since February $1^{\text {st }}$. On a final note, Mr. Eriksmoen's appraisal uses a different definition of Market Value than the North Dakota Century Code.

The City of Fargo provided a detailed write-up of the property, prior to Mr. Boswell adjusting his PowerPoint presentation and valuation request to $\$ 9,760,000$. In the city write-up, the three approaches to value are utilized detailing equitability amongst competing properties. After the City of Fargo's initial review there was no increase in value between 2017 and 2018.

I had the opportunity to complete an on-site inspection of the property and sufficiently review the information provided by both parties. Although slightly lower in value, the appraisal submitted by Mr. Eriksmoen supports the current valuation set by the Fargo Assessment office. In their income approach, both the appraisal and The City of Fargo arrive at a similar NOI and the use of the correct cap rate is subjective. The City of Fargo demonstrated that their valuation, through the three approaches to value, is an equitable representation of similar competing properties.

## SUGGESTED MOTION: "Accept the City of Fargo's 2018 certified value of $\mathbf{\$ 1 0 , 4 9 5 , 0 0 0}$ for the 2018 taxable year."

Dated this $29^{\text {th }}$ day May, 2018


Paul Fracassi
Director of Tax Equalization

Mr. John Boswell is protesting the 2018 value of the above referenced parcel. In the process of reviewing the value of this parcel, an inspection of the property occurred on May 15, 2018 with Paul Fracassi, Cass County Tax Director, Petter Eriksmoen, Appraisal Service, Inc, and the property manager.

Parcel improvements include a three-story apartment building (constructed in 2005) with 117 apartment units, a rental office, community room, and exercise room. Additionally, there is heated underground parking for approximately 122 vehicles, nine garage structures with 119 single garage stalls, and approximately 77 surface parking spaces.

A 2018 reappraisal effort of similar properties resulted in an initial increase from $\$ 10,495,000$ to $\$ 11,582,000$. A subsequent review, triggered by Mr. Boswell's inquiry, resulting in a reversion to the previous value of $\$ 10,495,000$. As recapped in the following table, Mr. Boswell is requesting value of $\$ 5,606,094$, a reduction of $\$ 4,888,906$ or $46.6 \%$.

| Pacific Ridge Osgood Place, LP |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Requested } \\ 2018 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Land Value | \$ | 1,159,000 |
| Improvement Value | \$ | 4,447,094 |
| True \& Full Value | \$ | 5,606,094 |
| Building Area (sf) |  | 166,453 |
| Apartment Count (0/28/71/18) \& Total |  | 117 |
| Land Area (sf) |  | 289,680 |
| Total Value / sf (Bldg Area) | \$ | 33.68 |
| Improvement Value / sf (Bldg Area) | \$ | 26.72 |
| Land Value / sf (Land Area) | \$ | 4.00 |
| Total Value / Apartment | \$ | 47,915 |
| Improvement Value / Apartment | \$ | 38,009 |
| Dollar Change (from 2018 Certified Value) | \$ | $(4,888,906)$ |
| Percent Change (from Certified Value) |  | -46.6\% |
| Change Cause |  |  |


| Assessment Department |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Certified } \\ 2018 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | Non-Certified 2018 |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Certified } \\ 2017 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Certified } \\ 2016 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Certified } \\ 2015 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |
| \$ | 1,159,000 | \$ | 1,159,000 | \$ | 1,159,000 | \$ | 869,000 | \$ | 869,000 |
| \$ | 9,336,000 | \$ | 10,423,000 | \$ | 9,336,000 | \$ | 9,626,000 | \$ | 9,126,200 |
| \$ | 10,495,000 | \$ | 11,582,000 | \$ | 10,495,000 | \$ | 10,495,000 | \$ | 9,995,200 |
|  | 166,453 |  | 166,453 |  | 166,453 |  | 166,453 |  | 166,453 |
|  | 117 |  | 117 |  | 117 |  | 117 |  | 117 |
|  | 289,680 |  | 289,680 |  | 289,680 |  | 289,680 |  | 289,680 |
| \$ | 63.05 | \$ | 69.58 | \$ | 63.05 | \$ | 63.05 | \$ | 60.05 |
| \$ | 56.09 | \$ | 62.62 | \$ | 56.09 | \$ | 57.83 | \$ | 54.83 |
| \$ | 4.00 | \$ | 4.00 | \$ | 4.00 | \$ | 3.00 | \$ | 3.00 |
| \$ | 89,701 | \$ | 98,991 | \$ | 89,701 | \$ | 89,701 | \$ | 85,429 |
| \$ | 79,795 | \$ | 89,085 | \$ | 79,795 | \$ | 82,274 | \$ | 78,002 |
| \$ | (1,087,000) | \$ | 1,087,000 | \$ | - | \$ | $499,800$ |  | om Prior Year) |
|  |  |  | $10.4 \%$ |  | $0.0 \%$ |  | $5.0 \%$ |  | om Prior Year) |
|  | Recheck |  | Appraisal |  | nd w/ Zero Net |  | Market Index |  |  |

The applicant has stated, and included in a PowerPoint presentation, that the present value of $\$ 10,495,000$ equates to a $4.11 \%$ implied overall capitalization rate (OAR) and does not apply to the Fargo market but that more of the San Francisco market. Arriving at that conclusion, the applicant incorrectly includes financing expenses of $\$ 276,125$ as part of the operating costs of the property.

The Dictionary of Real Estate Appraisal, $6^{\text {th }}$ Edition, as published by the Appraisal Institute defines Net Operating Income (NOI) as "The actual or anticipated net income that remains after all operating expenses are deducted from effective gross income but before mortgage debt service and book depreciation are deducted."

When the expenses and subsequent Net Operating Income (NOI) are accurately determined the result is a cap rate of $6.74 \%$ and considered reasonable for the Fargo market.

## ASSESSMENT DEPARTMENT RECOMMENDATION

Retain the value of $\$ 10,495,000$ on 505040 Ave $S$ for the 2018 assessment.


505040 Ave S (Looking South)
Subject Property: Osgood Place Apartments


505040 Ave S (Looking South from $40^{\text {th }}$ Ave)
Subject Property: Osgood Place Apartments

505040 Ave S
01-7001-00650-000

Pacific Ridge Osgood Place, LP
John Boswell

Sample Interior Photos
Subject Property: Osgood Place Apartments
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The following is a reproduction of Page 5 of the draft "Statement of Operations" for the period ending December 31, 2017 as prepared by Swalm \& Associates, P.C. on March 19, 2018. This reproduction removes the financing costs from the expenses resulting in a revised NOI that is reflective of the current NOI definition as published by the Appraisal Institute.


Formula: 2017 Net operating Income / Assessed Valuation = Implied OAR
Applicants Determination: $\$ 431,491 / \$ 10,495,000=4.11 \%$
Recalculation before Financing (mortgage debt service and book depreciation)
$\$ 707,625 / \$ 10,495,000=6.74 \%$

The following is page 22 of the PowerPoint Mr. Boswell provided this office with the corrected NOI and present value of the property reflected resulting in an Implied Overall Capitalization Rate (OAR) of $6.74 \%$.

# Osgood Place-Income Approach 

 Formula2017 Net Operating Income/Assessed Valuation = Cap Rate $\mathrm{NOI}=\$ 707,616$ ( $\$ 431,491+\$ 276,125$ Financing Expense) $=6.74 \%$
\$10,495,000

$$
\$ 431,491 / \$ 10,495,000=4.11 \%
$$

As the previous slide indicates, low 4\% cap rates only apply to 2 cities in the United States:

The following table recaps the reconstructed operating statement for the period 2015 through 2017 and an estimate of a stabilized 2018 operating statement based on the prior three years. The reconstructed operating statement considers only actual costs to operating the property as originally designed; it does not consider costs, (as incurred) due to management decision, such as the conversion of several units within the complex to fully furnished units for corporate occupancy. An explanation relating to "supplies" was not obtained and is questioned due to the classification and amount reflected.

| 01-7001-00650-000 |  |  | 166,453 | Bldg Area |  |  | 2018 Land Value |  |  | \$ 1,159,000 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 117 | Uni |  |  |  | 18 Impr Val |  | \$ | 9,336,000 |  |
| Pacific Ridge Osgood Place |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2018 Total Value |  |  | \$ 10,495,000 |  |  |
|  | 2018 Stabilized |  |  | 2017 |  |  | 2016 |  |  | 2015 |  |  |
|  |  |  | \% of EGI |  |  | \% of EGI |  |  | \% of EGI |  |  | \% of EGI |
| Rents | \$ | 1,293,365 | 98.00\% |  | 1,281,634 | 98.22\% |  | 1,298,224 | 97.32\% | \$ | 1,305,878 | 97.95\% |
| Inv Income | \$ | 264 | 0.02\% | \$ | 223 | 0.02\% | \$ | 258 | 0.02\% | \$ | 247 | 0.02\% |
| Laundry Income | \$ | 1,056 | 0.08\% | \$ | 1,011 | 0.08\% | \$ | 754 | 0.06\% | \$ | 292 | 0.02\% |
| Tenant Charges | \$ | 18,477 | 1.40\% | \$ | 17,751 | 1.36\% | \$ | 16,060 | 1.20\% | \$ | 16,215 | 1.22\% |
| Mis Rev | \$ | 6,599 | 0.50\% | \$ | 4,181 | 0.32\% | \$ | 18,672 | 1.40\% | \$ | 10,552 | 0.79\% |
| EGI | \$ | 1,319,760 | 100.00\% |  | 1,304,800 | 100.00\% |  | 1,333,968 | 100.00\% | \$ | 1,333,184 | 100.00\% |
| Adv \& Mktg | \$ | 40,913 | 3.10\% | \$ | 40,250 | 3.08\% | \$ | 42,351 | 3.17\% | \$ | 45,632 | 3.42\% |
| Other Rental | \$ | 3,299 | 0.25\% | \$ | 2,955 | 0.23\% | \$ | 6,333 | 0.47\% | \$ | 3,376 | 0.25\% |
| Office Salaries | \$ | 24,416 | 1.85\% | \$ | 23,560 | 1.81\% | \$ | 23,422 | 1.76\% | \$ | 25,535 | 1.92\% |
| Office Expense | \$ | 330 | 0.03\% | \$ | 309 | 0.02\% | \$ | 4,800 | 0.36\% | \$ | 4,440 | 0.33\% |
| Management | \$ | 52,790 | 4.00\% | \$ | 52,042 | 3.99\% | \$ | 52,160 | 3.91\% | \$ | 52,305 | 3.92\% |
| Audit Fees | \$ | 13,198 | 1.00\% | \$ | 13,420 | 1.03\% | \$ | 12,768 | 0.96\% | \$ | 4,751 | 0.36\% |
| Acctg Fees | \$ | 6,599 | 0.50\% | \$ | 6,188 | 0.47\% | \$ | 6,278 | 0.47\% | \$ | 14,332 | 1.08\% |
| Bad Debt | \$ | 13,198 | 1.00\% | \$ | - | 0.00\% | \$ | - | 0.00\% | \$ | 13,688 | 1.03\% |
| Misc Admin | \$ | 660 | 0.05\% | \$ | 1,593 | 0.12\% | \$ | 68 | 0.01\% | \$ | 347 | 0.03\% |
| Electric | \$ | 32,334 | 2.45\% | \$ | 30,629 | 2.35\% | \$ | 33,027 | 2.48\% | \$ | 31,766 | 2.38\% |
| Water/Sewer | \$ | 32,334 | 2.45\% | \$ | 30,196 | 2.31\% | \$ | 31,936 | 2.39\% | \$ | 32,791 | 2.46\% |
| Gas | \$ | 19,796 | 1.50\% | \$ | 18,022 | 1.38\% | \$ | 19,307 | 1.45\% | \$ | 25,478 | 1.91\% |
| Supplies ??? | \$ | 125,377 | 9.50\% | \$ | 131,689 | 10.09\% | \$ | 108,571 | 8.14\% | \$ | 92,827 | 6.96\% |
| Contracts | \$ | 29,035 | 2.20\% | \$ | 33,189 | 2.54\% | \$ | 26,355 | 1.98\% | \$ | 28,162 | 2.11\% |
| Garbage | \$ | 7,259 | 0.55\% | \$ | 7,096 | 0.54\% | \$ | 7,070 | 0.53\% | \$ | 7,306 | 0.55\% |
| Security | \$ | 924 | 0.07\% | \$ | 885 | 0.07\% | \$ | 894 | 0.07\% | \$ | 885 | 0.07\% |
| Snow/Grounds | \$ | 9,898 | 0.75\% | \$ | 14,121 | 1.08\% | \$ | 7,415 | 0.56\% | S | 8,479 | 0.64\% |
| Insurance | \$ | 50,811 | 3.85\% | \$ | 50,150 | 3.84\% | \$ | 50,279 | 3.77\% | \$ | 49,847 | 3.74\% |
| Total Exp | \$ | 463,170 | 35.10\% | \$ | 456,294 | 34.97\% | \$ | 433,034 | 32.46\% | \$ | 441,947 | 33.15\% |
| NOI | \$ | 856,590 | \$ 5.15 | \$ | 848,506 | 65.03\% | \$ | 900,934 | 67.54\% | \$ | 891,237 | 66.85\% |


| 2017 Audited Profit \& Loss Statement |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| P\&L B/F Depreciation | $\$ 431,491$ |  |
| + Financing Costs | $\$ 276,125$ |  |
| NOI w/ RE Taxes | $\$ 707,616$ | $6.7 \%$ OAR |
| Real Estate Taxes | $\$ 132,159$ |  |
| NOI w/o RE Taxes | $\$ 839,775$ | $8.0 \%$ Loaded OAR |


| OAR (Mtg Equity) | $6.90 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Tax Load | $\underline{1.30 \%}$ |
| Loaded OAR | $8.20 \%$ |


| Indicated Value (Rnd) | $\$ 10,442,000$ |  |
| :---: | :--- | ---: |
| $\$ / \mathrm{sf}$ | $\$$ | 62.73 |
| $\$ / \mathrm{Apt}$ | $\$$ | 89,248 |

The following table recaps comparable apartment sales bracketing the year of the subject properties purchase and subsequent years. Recapped are several units of comparison as well as the mean and median units of comparison. At the time of the subject properties purchase, the median price per square foot of building area was $\$ 64.94$ while the median price per apartment was $\$ 75,222$ (contrast to $\$ 54.47$ and $\$ 77,491$ ). The mean and median has varied throughout the subsequent years; however, the overall median price per square for the years 2013 through 2018 is $\$ 80.88$ while the median price per apartment is $\$ 91,869$. This represent a $24.5 \%$ increase in purchase price on a per square foot basis and a $22.1 \%$ increase in purchase price on a price per unit basis.

Comparable Apartment Sales @ Time of Subject Sale

| Bldg Name | Sale Date | Adj Sale \$ |  | Yr Blt ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | Sale \$ / Bldg |  | otal Sale \$ / Aptal \# Ap |  |  | ss Apt | Net Apt ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 442230 AVE S | 09-Sep-10 | \$ | 3,099,450 | 2008 | \$ | 75.73 | \$ | 73,796 | 42 | 975 | 864 |
| 445030 AVE S | 09-Sep-10 | \$ | 3,099,450 | 2008 | \$ | 75.73 | \$ | 73,796 | 42 | 975 | 864 |
| 315543 ST S | 17-Jun-11 | \$ | 3,266,900 | 2008 | \$ | 54.15 | \$ | 77,783 | 42 | 1,436 | 1,237 |
| 314244 ST S | 17-Jun-11 | \$ | 3,219,200 | 2007 | \$ | 52.92 | \$ | 76,648 | 42 | 1,448 | 1,237 |
|  |  |  | Mean | 2008 | \$ | 64.63 | \$ | 75,506 | 42 | 1,208 | 1,050 |
|  |  |  | Median | 2008 | \$ | 64.94 | \$ | 75,222 | 42 | 1,205 | 1,050 |

505040 AVE S - SUBJECT $\quad 30$-Sep-10 $\$ \quad 9,066,400$|  | 2005 | $\$$ | 54.47 | $\$$ | 77,491 | 117 | 1,423 | 1,165 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Comparable Apartment Sales From 2013 through 2018


| 408334 AVE S | 26-Jan-16 | \$ | 3,494,800 | 2014 | \$ | 87.22 | \$ | 97,078 | 36 | 1,113 | 978 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 408334 AVE S | 04-Oct-16 | \$ | 3,504,760 | 2014 | \$ | 87.47 | \$ | 97,354 | 36 | 1,113 | 978 |
| 247960 AVE S5931 24 ST S | 15-Dec-16 | \$ | 4,009,100 | 2013 | \$ | 83.36 | \$ | 95,455 | 42 | 1,145 | 1,007 |
|  |  |  | Mean | 2014 | \$ | 86.01 | \$ | 96,629 | 38 | 1,124 | 988 |
|  |  |  | Median | 2014 | \$ | 87.22 | \$ | 97,078 | 36 | 1,113 | 978 |
| 340141 ST S | 26-Sep-17 | \$ | 3,987,900 | 2016 | \$ | 99.71 | \$ | 110,775 | 36 | 1,111 | 956 |
| 362042 ST S | 31-Jan-18 | \$ | 2,526,400 | 2013 | \$ | 58.71 | \$ | 70,178 | 36 | 1,195 | 951 |
| 1940 DAKOTA DR N1920 DA | 01-Mar-18 | \$ | 4,811,800 | 2001 | \$ | 71.67 | \$ | 84,418 | 57 | 1,178 | 1,012 |
|  |  |  | Mean | 2007 | \$ | 65.19 | \$ | 77,298 | 47 | 1,187 | 982 |
|  |  |  | Median ${ }^{\prime}$ | 2007 | \$ | 65.19 | \$ | 77,298 | 47 | 1,187 | 982 |
|  |  |  | Overall Mean | 2011 | \$ | 78.39 | \$ | 92,056 | 44 | 1,185 | 1,027 |
|  |  |  | Overall Median | 2012 | \$ | 80.88 | \$ | 91,869 | 36 | 1,113 | 978 |

The following table recaps the 2018 value of "box style" apartment buildings without underground parking and with underground parking that bracket the subject's age. (Box style apartments are contrast to townhome style apartments due to the common area i.e. hallways, laundry facilities, etc. associated with this type of building.) Based on a review of these values, the subject property appears to be valued equitably.

| 2018 Values of Box Style Apartments w/o Underground Parking |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Land Addr | Tot Land Value | Impr Value | T\&F Value | Yr Blt | $\begin{gathered} \text { Tot Val / } \\ \text { sf } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Tot Val / Apt | Total <br> Apts | Avg Gross <br> Area / Apt | Avg Net Area / Apt |
| 416118 AVE S | \$ 408,000 | \$ 4,366,600 | \$ 4,774,600 | 2002 | \$ 67.89 | \$ 79,577 | 60 | 1,172 | 1,034 |
| 1951 DAKOTA DR N | \$ 574,000 | \$ 4,566,700 | \$ 5,140,700 | 2007 | \$ 74.54 | \$ 88,633 | 58 | 1,189 | 968 |
| 1431 OAK MANOR AVE S | \$ 255,000 | \$ 3,081,300 | \$ 3,336,300 | 2003 | \$ 67.94 | \$ 69,506 | 48 | 1,023 | 904 |
| 3135 BROADWAY N | \$ 151,000 | \$ 2,466,400 | \$ 2,617,400 | 2004 | \$ 59.39 | \$ 68,879 | 38 | 1,160 | 886 |
| 437933 AVE S | \$ 579,000 | \$ 4,390,100 | \$ 4,969,100 | 2008 | \$ 72.45 | \$ 82,818 | 60 | 1,143 | 1,017 |
| 437933 AVE S | \$ 579,000 | \$ 4,410,900 | \$ 4,989,900 | 2008 | \$ 72.75 | \$ 83,165 | 60 | 1,143 | 1,017 |
| 5231 AMBER VALLEY PKWY S | \$ 378,000 | \$ 2,230,300 | \$ 2,608,300 | 2003 | \$ 68.26 | \$ 74,523 | 35 | 1,092 | 957 |
| 5251 AMBER VALLEY PKWY S | \$ 405,000 | \$ 2,105,600 | \$ 2,510,600 | 2004 | \$ 68.61 | \$ 71,731 | 35 | 1,046 | 912 |
| 5351 AMBER VALLEY PKWY S | \$ 291,000 | \$ 2,190,400 | \$ 2,481,400 | 2004 | \$ 67.81 | \$ 70,897 | 35 | 1,046 | 912 |
| 5331 AMBER VALLEY PKWY S | \$ 276,000 | \$ 2,156,600 | \$ 2,432,600 | 2004 | \$ 66.48 | \$ 69,503 | 35 | 1,046 | 912 |
| 5301 AMBER VALLEY PKWY S | \$ 290,000 | \$ 2,092,600 | \$ 2,382,600 | 2004 | \$ 65.11 | \$ 68,074 | 35 | 1,046 | 912 |
| 5170 AMBER VALLEY PKWY S | \$ 1,113,000 | \$ 8,829,400 | \$ 9,942,400 | 2002 | \$ 61.45 | \$ 85,710 | 116 | 1,395 | 1,197 |
| 442230 AVE S | \$ 383,000 | \$ 2,814,000 | \$ 3,197,000 | 2008 | \$ 78.11 | \$ 76,119 | 42 | 975 | 864 |
| 442230 AVE S | \$ 383,000 | \$ 2,790,000 | \$ 3,173,000 | 2008 | \$ 77.52 | \$ 75,548 | 42 | 975 | 864 |
| 446647 ST S | \$ 266,000 | \$ 2,255,000 | \$ 2,521,000 | 2005 | \$ 69.60 | \$ 70,028 | 36 | 1,006 | 890 |
| 445247 ST S | \$ 393,000 | \$ 2,123,800 | \$ 2,516,800 | 2005 | \$ 75.29 | \$ 76,267 | 33 | 1,013 | 889 |
| 448247 ST S | \$ 268,000 | \$ 2,263,400 | \$ 2,531,400 | 2005 | \$ 69.89 | \$ 70,317 | 36 | 1,006 | 890 |
| 453647 ST S | \$ 268,000 | \$ 2,263,400 | \$ 2,531,400 | 2005 | \$ 69.89 | \$ 70,317 | 36 | 1,006 | 890 |
| 452247 ST S | \$ 386,000 | \$ 2,110,000 | \$ 2,496,000 | 2006 | \$ 74.67 | \$ 75,636 | 33 | 1,013 | 889 |
| 455047 ST S | \$ 257,000 | \$ 2,253,600 | \$ 2,510,600 | 2006 | \$ 69.31 | \$ 69,739 | 36 | 1,006 | 890 |
| 457444 AVE S | \$ 767,000 | \$ 2,715,400 | \$ 3,482,400 | 2006 | \$ 71.50 | \$ 69,648 | 50 | 974 | 748 |
| 35026 AVE N | \$ 348,000 | \$ 2,869,000 | \$ 3,217,000 | 2007 | \$ 55.14 | \$ 74,814 | 43 | 1,357 | 762 |
| 442531 AVE S | \$ 437,000 | \$ 3,744,500 | \$ 4,181,500 | 2004 | \$ 69.20 | \$ 99,560 | 42 | 1,439 | 1,237 |
| 312044 ST S | \$ 449,000 | \$ 3,885,200 | \$ 4,334,200 | 2006 | \$ 71.84 | \$ 103,195 | 42 | 1,436 | 1,237 |
| 315543 ST S | \$ 390,000 | \$ 3,842,600 | \$ 4,232,600 | 2008 | \$ 70.16 | \$ 100,776 | 42 | 1,436 | 1,237 |
| 314244 ST S | \$ 401,000 | \$ 3,813,100 | \$ 4,214,100 | 2007 | \$ 69.85 | \$ 100,336 | 42 | 1,436 | 1,237 |
|  |  |  | Mean | 2005 | \$ 69.41 | \$ 78,666 | 45 | 1,138 | 967 |
|  |  |  | Median | 2005 | \$ 69.73 | \$ 75,181 | 42 | 1,046 | 912 |


| 2018 Values of Box Style Apartments w/ Underground Parking |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 290034 AVE S | \$ | 375,000 | \$ | 8,095,000 | \$ | 8,470,000 | 2002 | \$ | 62.59 | \$ | 89,158 | 95 | 1,425 | 1,227 |
| 70513 AVE N | \$ | 303,000 | \$ | 4,976,000 | \$ | 5,279,000 | 2002 | \$ | 63.78 | \$ | 85,145 | 62 | 1,335 | 1,049 |
| 170925 AVE S - SegID 1 | \$ | 1,342,000 |  | 12,460,000 |  | 13,802,000 | 2007 | \$ | 84.74 | \$ | 106,992 | 129 | 1,263 | 433 |
| 170925 AVE S - SegID 2 | \$ | - | \$ | 7,699,000 | \$ | 7,699,000 | 2010 | \$ | 78.78 | \$ | 104,041 | 74 | 1,321 | 441 |
| 170925 AVE S - SegID 3 | \$ | - | \$ | 8,600,000 | \$ | 8,600,000 | 2013 | \$ | 80.94 | \$ | 111,688 | 77 | 1,380 | 432 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Mean | 2007 | \$ | 74.16 | \$ | 99,405 | 87 | 1,345 | 716 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Median | 2007 | \$ | 78.78 | \$ | 104,041 | 77 | 1,335 | 441 |
| 505040 AVE S - SUBJECT | \$ | 1,159,000 | \$ | 9,336,000 |  | 10,495,000 | 2005 | \$ | 63.05 | \$ | 89,701 | 117 | 1,423 | 1,165 |

The following is a reproduction of the cost approach utilized to value this property for the 2018 mass reappraisal. The cost approach used by this office is based on a mass appraisal model calibrated to reflect market activity. This differs from a standard cost approach utilizing only replacement cost new, less depreciation, plus land value.


| 5/24/2018 | Summary |  |  | Page: | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Estimate Number | 4000 |  |  |  |  |
| ZIIP/Postal Code | 58104 |  |  |  |  |
| Building Cost New | 166,453 | 53.22 | 8,857,838 |  |  |
| Extras |  |  |  |  |  |
| BALCONY/DECK | 118 | 1,400.00 | 165,200 |  |  |
| WALL AC | 193 | 1,100.00 | 212,300 |  |  |
| BUILT-INS | 118 | 1,400.00 | 165,200 |  |  |
| SINGGE STALL GARAGE | 119 | 9,400.00 | 1,118,600 |  |  |
| PAVING | 77 | 1,100.00 | 84,700 |  |  |
| BATHROOMS | 89 | 4,100.00 | 364,900 |  |  |
| 1/2 BATHROOMS | 2 | 1,400.00 | 2,800 |  |  |
| Replacement Cost New | 166,453 | 65.91 | 10,971,538 |  |  |
| Less Depreciation |  |  |  |  |  |
| Physical \& Functional |  |  |  |  |  |
| Depreciated Cost | $166,453$ | 62.62 | 10,422,959 |  |  |
| Rounded to Nearest | 1,000 |  | 10,423,000 |  |  |
| Remarls for Section I: <br> Yr Bit 2005 (Completed 2006). Site Area: 289,680 sf. Canopy valued at $25 \%$ of basic cost. |  |  |  |  |  |

The following is the owner's description of this property as depicted at https://www.pacridgeproperties.com/residential. Of interest "was bought at a significant discount to replacement cost", financed with 35 year fixed-rate financing at a $3.9 \%$ interest rate" and "has enjoyed extraordinary returns".


The following correspondence was received from the applicant on March 28, 2018 and presented to the City Board of Equalization for their consideration on April 10, 2018.

From: John Boswell [mailto:jb657@sbcoxmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, March 28, 2018 12:03 PM
To: Wayne Ham [WHam@FND.gov](mailto:WHam@FND.gov); Wham@fnd.gov
Cc: Wayne Ham [WHam@FargoND.gov](mailto:WHam@FargoND.gov)
Subject: FW: Property Tax

Hi Wayne,

My first email bounced back so I sent it several different ways.

I ran the numbers and was very surprised by the result.

I am requesting a decrease in valuation of Osgood Place at 505040 AVE S, Parcel Number 01-7001-00650-000 from the proposed valuation of $\mathbf{\$ 1 1 , 5 8 2 , 0 0 0}$.

I am requesting a revised valuation of $\mathbf{\$ 5 , 6 0 6 , 0 9 4}$. This number was calculated by taking 2017 NOI , adding back depreciation, and dividing the result by a $7.5 \%$ capitalization rate.

2017 NOI (\$161,896.78) plus depreciation (\$258,560.34) =Adjusted NOI (\$420,457.12)/Capitalization rate (7.5\%) = \$5,606,094.93.

Attached are the following documents:
A) 2015, 2016 and 2017 Financial Statements. The 2015 statement is stamped "Pending". I never received any revision to it from the management company.
B) A current rent roll.
C) December 17 Market Survey

My comments are:

1) Fargo can accurately be described as a severely distressed tertiary rental market. Physical vacancy in Area 5, where Osgood Place is located, was $13.84 \%$, according to the December Market Survey attached. This would indicate falling rents.
2) A review of the NOI (adjusted by including depreciation) for the project reveals that it has dropped from $462,798.15$ in 2015 to \$420,457.12 in 2017.
3) Deteriorating markets result in higher capitalization rates for obvious reasons. I do not believe a market as distressed as Fargo would warrant a $7 \%$ cap rate. I have used $7.5 \%$

Thanks for your consideration. I look forward to discussing this with you further.

## John Boswell

Pacific Ridge Properties
211 E Carrillo St \#204
Santa Barbara, CA 93101
(O) 805-899-3210
(M) 805-729-1193

Jb657@sbcoxmail.com
www.pacridgeproperties.com

## Osgood Place

Tax Assessment Analysis


## 5050 40th Ave S, Fargo, ND 58104

## Current Status-Fargo Multi-family Market

## Severely Distressed

1) Overbuilt
2) High Physical 9.75\% and Higher

Economic Vacancy

# QUARTERLY MULTI-FAMILY VACANCY AND CONSTRUCTION 

- FARGO-MOORHEAD METROPOLITAN AREA -

Conducted by Appraisal Services Inc. - March 1, 2018

The table below illustrates the relationship between the metro area vacancy rate and apartment units under construction over the last five years. New construction has averaged 1,359 units per year since 2013, and the market now reflects an oversupply. Over 6,000 new apartment units have been constructed since 2013, when vacancy rates reached a floor of $2.6 \%$ metro wide.


# Osgood Place Location South Fargo Area 5 

Most new Construction Highest Physical and
Economic Vacancy Rate in
Fargo
14.45\%

## Result: Falling Rents

Eaglebrook - 2 MONTHS FREE ON ALL APARTMENTS!
5450 26th St S, Fargo, ND 58104 - Fargo
1 Bedroom \$775-810 $\quad 2$ Bedrooms $\$ 975-1,200 \quad 3$ Bedrooms $\$ 1,350$

Home / North Dakota / Fargo / Eaglebrook - 2 MONTHS FREE ON ALL APARTMENTS!


## Hunter's View-2 MONTHS FREE!

3807-3885 30th Ave S, Fargo, ND 58103 - Fargo
Studio \$715-805 1 Bedroom \$825-845 2 Bedrooms \$1,030-1,050

```
Home / North Dakota / Fargo / Hunter's View-2 MONTHS FREE!
```



# Osgood Place Performance in a Deteriorating Market 

Between 2015 and 2017, Rents dropped slightly. Because of promotions and increased operating costs, Net Operating Income fell $30 \%$. In the first quarter of 2018, total income dropped 8.6\% compared to 2017, and net operating income dropped 19.5\% compared to 2017.

## These are not happy times for

 apartment owners in Fargo. Many owners of newer properties are losing money, even after gettingthe properties fully leased up. Net
income is not covering debt service

My 2018 assessment in the face of this severely distressed and deteriorating market?

A $\$ 1,0087,000$ increase in my valuation!
10.35\% Bump

ASSESSMENT DEPARTMENT

```
PACIFIC RIDGE OSGOOD PLACE LP

\section*{211 E CARILLO ST STE 204}
```

SANTA BARBARA CA 93101

```

\section*{NOTICE OF INCREASE IN REAL ESTATE ASSESSMENT}

\section*{AND BOARD OF EQUALIZATION MEETINGS}

\section*{Parcel Number: 01-7001-00650-000 \\ Property Location: 505040 AVE S}

The Assessment Department has arrived at a new value on this property for the 2018 tax year. This change may have occurred because of new construction, improvements made to the property, a split from a larger tract, expiration of an exemption, a new appraisal, or an adjustment of the value due to changes in the market.

North Dakota statute (N.D.C.C. § 57-02-53) requires us to inform you that an increase in assessment value does not mean property taxes on the parcel will increase.

If you have any questions about your appraised value or this notice, please call our office.
Our hours are 7:45AM - 4:30PM, Monday thru Friday, and our phone number is (701) 241-1340.
Real Estate Description:
LOT 36 BLOCK 3 ADDITIONAL INFO *12/24/02 SPL/FR 3502-35000 *PLATTED
(4/10/03 B-T1, P-48) *SPL/FR 3502-35010, 3502-05030 \& 3502-40000
Osgood Townsite 1st
\begin{tabular}{rcc} 
& & \multirow{2}{*}{ Net After Exemptions } \\
\cline { 3 - 3 } Current Year: & \multirow{4}\text{FullValue}{\(* *\)} & \(\underline{\text { and Credits } * *}\) \\
\cline { 2 - 3 } Last Year: & \(11,582,000\) & \(11,582,000\) \\
Change: & \(10,495,000\) & \(10,495,000\) \\
& \(1,087,000\) & \(1,087,000\)
\end{tabular}

\section*{Hearing Schedule:}

The City Board of Equalization will meet on April 10, 2018, in the City Commission Room at 200 3rd St. N. in Fargo at 7:30AM

The County Board of Equalization will meet on June 4, 2018, in the Cass County Courthouse at 2119 th St. S. in Fargo at 3:30PM.

The State Board of Equalization will meet on August 14, 2018 in the State Capitol Building at 600 E. Boulevard Ave. in Bismarck at 9:30AM.

\section*{3 Ways to Value Real Estate}

Market Approach: Compare and adjust sales prices of comparable properties to determine value

Income Approach: Apply a capitalization rate to the income stream to determine value.

Cost Approach: Estimate replacement cost to determine value.

Question: Which of these is the most reliable method?

\title{
Most Reliable-Market Approach Second Most Reliable-Income Approach
}

Least Reliable-Cost Approach

\section*{The Primary Method Used to}

Appraise This Property According the City Appraiser?

\section*{Cost Approach}

\section*{Flawed Methodology Leads to Absurd Result}

As a result of using the cost approach, Osgood Place in a severely distressed, overbuilt market with sharply declining rents and income, receives a \(10 \%\) increase in assessed value?

Why: The multi-family market is in free fall, but construction costs continue to rise so, using the cost approach, assessed property values go up.
What's wrong with this picture?

\section*{The Income Approach}

\section*{How it works}

Divide the project net operating income by the desired rate of return \% (capitalization rate) to calculate the value of the project.

If the project makes \(\$ 500,000\) and you want a \(10 \%\) return on your investment, you will pay \(\$ 5,000,000\) for it. \(\$ 500,000 / 10 \%=\$ 5,000,000\)

\section*{Capitalization rates vary in}
different markets. Investors want a higher return in Topeka than in Manhattan or Los Angeles. The higher return the investor want, the higher the capitalization rate and the lower the price he/she will pay for the investment.

\title{
Example: Apartment Project makes \$100,000 per year (Net Operating Income)
}

If I want to earn \(10 \%\) on my investment it's worth \$1,000,000.

If I want to earn 5\% on my investment it's Worth \$2,000,000.

Higher Capitalization rate means lower Value.

To apply the income approach to Osgood Place we take the 2017 Net operating income and divide it by a capitalization rate appropriate to the Fargo Market.

\title{
The question is: What should the capitalization rate for Fargo be?
}

\section*{Marcus and Millichap 2018 Multi-family North American Investment Forecast}

2018 Pricing \& Valuation Trends
Yield Range Offers Compelling Options for Investors;
Most Metros Demonstrate Strong Appreciation Rates


\section*{Osgood Place-Income Approach}

\section*{Formula}

2017 Net Operating Income/Assessed Valuation = Cap Rate
\[
\$ 431,491 / \$ 10,495,000=4.11 \%
\]

As the previous slide indicates, low 4\% cap rates only apply to 2 cities in the United States:

At the assessed valuation, the income from Osgood Place is being valued as if the property were located in San Francisco or San Jose, the hottest and most desirable multi-family markets in the United States.

\title{
According to the Income Approach \\ Osgood Place is being valued as if it is located in San Francisco.
}

Does that make sense?

Conclusion: The assessor is using the cost approach in a rapidly declining market. This flawed methodology does not register deteriorating market conditions and is resulting in grossly distorted over-valuations of multi-family property in Fargo. The methodology must be changed to correct these inaccurate valuations.

To the extent this cost-based appraisal methodology has been used to value other apartment projects in Fargo for tax assessment purposes, all of those properties need to be reassessed.

\section*{APPRAISAL REPORT}

SUBJECT

\section*{OSGOOD PLACE APARTMENTS}

5050 40th Avenue South Fargo, North Dakota 58104

\section*{DATE OF REPORT}

May 25, 2018

\section*{Appraisal Services Inc.}

1220 MAIN AVENUE, SUITE 125
FARGO, NORTH DAKOTA 58103
PHONE: (701) 235-1189

\section*{Appraisal Services Inc.}

Neal A. Eriksmoen, MAI
Petter N. Eriksmoen

May 25, 2018
Mr. John Boswell
Pacific Ridge Properties
211 East Carillo Street, Suite 204
Santa Barbara, California 93101
Dear Mr. Boswell:
In accordance with your request, I have completed an appraisal of the following described property:

\author{
Osgood Place Apartments \\ 5050 40th Avenue South \\ Fargo, North Dakota 58104
}

This appraisal report was prepared at the request of Pacific Ridge Properties to be used as the basis for a tax assessment review. The intended users are limited to Pacific Ridge Properties, the Cass County Board of Equalization and the City of Fargo Assessor's Office. It is not to be relied on by any other parties for any other purpose, whatsoever.

I personally viewed the appraised property with the on-site manager, on May 15, 2018. I reviewed the building and site plans, the current rent roll and the available 2015-2017 income and expense information. These documents are included in the attachments.

Based on the appraisal analysis summarized in the attached report, it is my opinion that the market value of the fee simple interest in the appraised property, as of May 15, 2018, is:

\section*{NINE MILLION SEVEN HUNDRED SIXTY THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$9,760,000)}

The appraised value includes the land, building improvements, site improvements and personal property normally associated with an apartment building. The personal property included in the value conclusion consists of the typical movable appliances ( 117 ranges, 117 refrigerators, 117 washers and 117 dryers) associated with an apartment building. The personal property was considered to have a market value of about \(\$ 225,000\), estimating \(\$ 350\) per range, \(\$ 800\) per refrigerator, \(\$ 300\) per washer and \(\$ 300\) per dryer.

The current balance of special assessments total \(\$ 154,876.07\). It is customary in the local area for buyers to assume the balance of unpaid special assessments since they are usually financed at below market interest rates. This is a debt against the property. Therefore, the client and intended user should may choose to deduct the current balance of special assessments from the market value indications to reflect the net value for assessment purposes.

It is assumed that there are no adverse environmental conditions impacting the property. The appraiser is not an expert in determining these conditions, and other expertise should be sought, if so desired. No adverse conditions were apparent based on the property viewing.
\[
\text { Real } \Sigma_{\text {state }} \text { Appraisers and Consultants }
\]

Mr. Boswell
May 25, 2018
Page 2

The accompanying report relates a summary of the data, reasoning and analysis, which was used in the appraisal process to develop the appraiser's opinion of value. Additional supporting documentation, concerning the data, reasoning and analyses, is retained in the appraiser's files. The report is considered to conform to the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice (USPAP) and the appraisal requirements of Pacific Ridge Properties, the Cass County Board of Equalization and the City of Fargo Assessor's Office.

This appraisal assignment was not based on a requested minimum valuation or specific valuation or approval of a loan. The appraised value reflects a typical exposure time period of six to twelve months. Due to stable market conditions, the marketing time was also estimated to be six to twelve months.

Please call if any further information is required.
Respectfully submitted,
APPRAISAL SERVICES INC.


Petter N. Eriksmoen
ND Certified General Real Property Appraiser \#CG-21517
MN Certified General Real Property Appraiser License \#40367366

PNE/tim
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\section*{SUMMARY OF IMPORTANT FACTS AND CONCLUSIONS}

Property Name:
Property Address:
Osgood Place Apartments 5050 40th Avenue South Fargo, North Dakota


\section*{APPRAISAL REPORT}

\section*{Overview}

This is an Appraisal Report that is intended to comply with the reporting requirements set forth under Standards Rule 2 of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice (USPAP). It relates a summary discussion of the data, reasoning, and analyses that were used in the appraisal process to develop the appraiser's opinion of value. Supporting documentation concerning the data, reasoning, and analyses is retained in the appraiser's file. The depth of discussion contained in this report is specific to the needs of the client and for the intended use that is stated herein. The appraiser is not responsible for unauthorized use of this report.

\section*{Client}

Pacific Ridge Properties
211 East Carillo Street, Suite 204
Santa Barbara, California 93101
Appraiser
Petter N. Eriksmoen
Appraisal Services Inc.
1220 Main Avenue, Suite 125
Fargo, North Dakota 58103

\section*{Subject Property}

The appraised property is improved with a three-story, wood frame apartment building with basement parking and nine detached garage buildings. There are 122 basement parking spaces, 118 single stall garages, and concrete-surfaced on-site parking for 79 vehicles. The property was constructed in 2005 and is pictured in the 2017 satellite image at right, from the City of Fargo GIS.

Thirteen units were vacant at the time the property was viewed, per the rent roll included in the attachments, indicating an \(11.1 \%\) physical vacancy condition, which is inferior to the March 2018 9.77\% physical vacancy condition of the Fargo market, but slightly stronger than the \(14.45 \%\) physical vacancy reported for South Fargo Unit 5, per the Apartment Survey included in the attachments. The overall condition of the property was considered good for its age, as it is has been adequately maintained over its 12 -year life. The subject is depicted
 in the photographs and diagrams included in the report and attachments.

\section*{APPRAISAL REPORT (Cont.)}

\section*{Purpose of the Appraisal}

The purpose of this appraisal is to estimate the Market Value of the subject property as of the effective date of the value conclusion for assessment review purposes. Market Value is defined as follows:
"The most probable price which a property should bring in a competitive and open market under all conditions requisite to a fair sale, the buyer and seller each acting prudently and knowledgeably, and assuming the price is not affected by undue stimulus. Implicit in this definition are the consummation of a sale as of a specified date and the passing of title from seller to buyer under conditions whereby:
1. Buyer and seller are typically motivated;
2. Both parties are well informed or well advised, and acting in what they consider their own best interests;
3. A reasonable time is allowed for exposure in the open market;
4. Payment is made in terms of cash in U.S. dollars or in terms of financial arrangements comparable thereto; and
5. The price represents the normal consideration for the property sold unaffected by special or creative financing or sales concessions granted by anyone associated with the sale."1

\section*{Intended Use of Report}

This appraisal report was prepared at the request of Pacific Ridge Properties to be used as the basis for a tax assessment review. The intended users are limited to Pacific Ridge Properties, the Cass County Board of Equalization and the City of Fargo Assessor's Office. It is not to be relied on by any other parties for any other purpose, whatsoever.

\section*{Interest Valued}

Three terms are helpful in clarifying the rights appraised in real property. They are fee simple, leased fee and leasehold. They are defined as:
"Fee-Simple - Absolute ownership unencumbered by any other interest or estate, subject only to the limitations imposed by the governmental powers of taxation, eminent domain, police power, and escheat." \({ }^{2}\)
"Leased Fee - The ownership interest held by the lessor, which includes the right to receive the contract rent specified in the lease plus the reversionary right when the lease expires. \({ }^{13}\)

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Office of the Comptroller of the Currency under 12 CFR, Part 34, subpart C-appraisals, 34,42 Definitions (g).
\({ }^{2}\) The Dictionary of Real Estate Appraisal, Sixth Edition, The Appraisal Institute, Illinois, 2015, Page 90
\({ }^{3}\) Ibid., Page 128
}

\section*{APPRAISAL REPORT (Cont.)}
"Leasehold - The right held by the lessee to use and occupy the real estate for a stated term and under the conditions specified in the lease." \({ }^{4}\)

Thirteen units were vacant at the time the property was viewed, per the rent roll included in the attachments. The indicated vacancy rate is \(11.1 \%\). The tenants have short-term leases, resulting in no long-term leasehold interest in the property. The value analysis was based on market rent estimates for the individual units and a market vacancy and credit loss factor relating the fee simple interest.

\section*{Effective Date of Value}

The effective date of the value conclusion is May 15, 2018, the day the property was viewed. No substantial changes that would affect property value have occurred between the effective date of value and February 1, 2018, which is the day the assessor's taxable value for the year is to be certified.

\section*{Date of Report}

May 25, 2018

\section*{Scope of Work}

This appraisal was prepared in conformance with USPAP and the appraisal requirements of Pacific Ridge Properties, the Cass County Board of Equalization and the City of Fargo Assessor's Office. The appraised property is in Fargo, North Dakota, where I have previously appraised a variety of apartment properties including \(100+\) unit structures with basement parking. I have considerable experience appraising all types of apartments in the region. The assignment was considered within the scope of my qualifications. No additional steps were considered necessary or appropriate to comply with the competency USPAP. In preparing the appraisal, the appraiser:
- Physically viewed the property, reviewed the current rent roll and the available historic income and expense data and interviewed the owner. These documents are included in the attachments;
- Investigated and verified public records for descriptive data on the subject property including, but not limited to, taxes, assessments, easements, restrictions, zoning, municipal services, and a sales history of the subject property for the past three years;
- Inspected and analyzed the regional, community and neighborhood characteristics impacting the value of the appraised property;
- Considered the physical, governmental, social and economic factors, which impact the value of the subject property;
- Gathered information on site sales, construction costs, comparable property sales, rents, operating expenses, and capitalization rates;

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{4}\) Ibid., Page 128
}

\section*{APPRAISAL REPORT (Cont.)}
- Confirmed and analyzed the data using the Cost, Income and Sales Comparison Approaches to value as they apply to the valuation scenario;
- Reconciled the value indications into a final estimate of value and summarized the analysis and conclusions in an Appraisal Report in conformance with Standard 2 of USPAP.

\section*{Description of the Real Estate Appraised}

Community and Regional Data
The subject is in an established commercial/residential neighborhood (Osgood) on the southwest edge of Fargo, with frontage along \(40^{\text {th }}\) Avenue South. Its location is depicted on the area map that follows.
\begin{tabular}{|l|r|r|r|r|}
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{ Metropolitan Population } \\
\hline & \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{1990} & \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{2000} & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{2010} & 2015 (Est) \\
\cline { 2 - 5 } & \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{74,111} & 90,599 & 105,549 & 118,523 \\
West Fargo, ND & \(\underline{12,287}\) & \(\underline{14,940}\) & \(\underline{25,830}\) & \(\underline{33,597}\) \\
& 86,398 & 105,539 & 131,379 & 152,120 \\
Moorhead, MN & 32,295 & 32,177 & 38,065 & 42,005 \\
Dilworth, MN & \(\underline{2,562}\) & \(\underline{3,001}\) & \(\underline{4,024}\) & \(\underline{4,366}\) \\
& 34,857 & 35,178 & 42,089 & 46,371 \\
Metro Total & 153,296 & 174,367 & 208,777 & 233,836 \\
Source: U.S. Census &
\end{tabular} Fargo is the largest community in the state and is the nucleus of a metropolitan area consisting of Fargo and West Fargo, North Dakota, and Moorhead and Dilworth, Minnesota. The metro area is the regional center for retail and wholesale trade, transportation, finance, industry, education, culture, medicine, entertainment and conventions. This has resulted in the metropolitan area maintaining stable growth.

The general growth trend for the community is in a south/southwesterly direction. The Red River (North Dakota/Minnesota border), North Dakota State University, a regional airport and the community's industrial park restrict growth of the north portion of the community. The north side of the community is nearly fully developed. West Fargo is located immediately west of Fargo. These two communities continue to grow together around the regional retail center on the southwest edge of the Fargo. Most of the new residential development is occurring on the south and southwest edges of the metro area. The metro area has maintained a strong employment condition:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\cline { 2 - 5 } \multicolumn{1}{c|}{} & \multicolumn{4}{c|}{ Fargo-Moorhead MSA } & \begin{tabular}{c} 
ND \\
Rate
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
National \\
Rate
\end{tabular} \\
\hline Year & Labor Force & Employed & Unemployed & Rate & & \\
\hline 2013 & 121,184 & 117,286 & 3,898 & \(3.2 \%\) & \(2.9 \%\) & \(7.4 \%\) \\
2014 & 129,128 & 125,618 & 3,510 & \(2.7 \%\) & \(2.8 \%\) & \(6.2 \%\) \\
2015 & 130,872 & 127,680 & 3,192 & \(2.4 \%\) & \(2.7 \%\) & \(5.3 \%\) \\
\cline { 2 - 5 } 2016 & 136,112 & 132,597 & 3,515 & \(2.6 \%\) & \(3.2 \%\) & \(4.9 \%\) \\
2017 & 138,238 & 134,956 & 3,282 & \(2.4 \%\) & \(2.6 \%\) & \(4.4 \%\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Source: North Dakota Job Service
The economic downturn in late 2008 had a limited impact on the area due to the stability of the local and regional economy. The metro area is the major population center in the region, surrounded by rich agricultural land. It is also a regional distribution, education, medical and business center. Lending practices in the region are more conservative than in other parts of the nation. All these conditions have helped the local economy continue to grow, even during periodic downturns in the national economy.

\section*{APPRAISAL REPORT (Cont.)}


The metro area is located at two intersecting interstate highways, which is one of the major factors responsible for the metropolitan area developing into a regional distribution and industrial center. The current industrial and warehouse inventory is estimated at about 20 million square feet with a vacancy rate of \(2.88 \%\). Most of the industrial space in the local market is owneroccupied. The historic vacancy information, in the
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{ FMA Industrial Vacancy Rates } \\
\cline { 2 - 4 } & Total & Vacant & Rate \\
\hline 2012 & \(18,331,004\) & 317,356 & \(1.73 \%\) \\
2013 & \(18,933,532\) & 308,970 & \(1.63 \%\) \\
2014 & \(19,335,304\) & 318,665 & \(1.65 \%\) \\
2015 & \(19,594,732\) & 419,746 & \(2.14 \%\) \\
2016 & \(20,086,092\) & 577,693 & \(2.88 \%\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular} adjacent table, indicates that steady growth has been

Source: Konrad Olson Commercial Real Estate occurring in the industrial market.

The retail growth in the southwest portion of the community has been a catalyst for office space development in this area as well. Nominal office growth occurred in the CBD for many years, but the revitalization of this neighborhood has led to new office and retail space being developed. The development of Class B office space was exceeding demand in the community for several years, resulting in a temporary oversupply. The vacancy conditions have declined since 2013, as related in the office vacancy information below. The Class A office space vacancy rate has been consistently in

\section*{APPRAISAL REPORT (Cont.)}
the \(1 \%\) to \(3 \%\) range for several years; however, some recent large vacancies and the loss of some office space has altered this condition. The Class A vacancy rate is expected to rebound, as strong commercial growth continues. The Class B market has declined to about \(5.75 \%\). Demand appears to have caught up with supply, favorably affecting the absorption of local office properties.
\begin{tabular}{|l|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{10}{|c|}{ CMA Office Vacancy Rates } \\
\cline { 2 - 10 } & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{ Class A } & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{ Class B } & Total \\
\cline { 2 - 10 } & Total & Vacant & Rate & Total & Vacant & Rate & Total & Vacant & Rate \\
\hline 2012 & \(2,292,634\) & 28,982 & \(1.26 \%\) & \(4,946,392\) & 321,304 & \(6.50 \%\) & \(7,239,026\) & 350,286 & \(4.8 \%\) \\
2013 & \(2,292,634\) & 37,044 & \(1.62 \%\) & \(5,139,767\) & 480,167 & \(9.34 \%\) & \(7,432,401\) & 517,211 & \(7.0 \%\) \\
2014 & \(2,292,634\) & 49,372 & \(2.15 \%\) & \(5,381,507\) & 413,805 & \(7.69 \%\) & \(7,674,141\) & 463,177 & \(6.0 \%\) \\
2015 & \(2,186,240\) & 69,024 & \(3.16 \%\) & \(5,335,852\) & 381,972 & \(7.16 \%\) & \(7,522,092\) & 450,996 & \(6.0 \%\) \\
2016 & \(2,061,257\) & 140,123 & \(6.80 \%\) & \(5,335,154\) & 306,796 & \(5.75 \%\) & \(7,396,411\) & 446,919 & \(6.0 \%\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Source: Konrad Olson Commercial Real Estate
The dynamic growth of residential and commercial property in the community is reflected in the building permit summary table below.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{11}{|c|}{METRO AREA BUILDING PERMIT SUMMARY} \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{SINGLE FAMILY} & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{MULTIPLE FAMILY} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{COMMERCIAL PERMITS} \\
\hline Year & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \hline \text { \# of } \\
& \text { Units } \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\hline \text { Total } \\
\$ \text { Volume } \\
\hline
\end{gathered}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
\(\%\) of \\
Metro
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \hline \text { \# of } \\
& \text { Bldgs } \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \hline \text { \# of } \\
& \text { Units } \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] & Total \$ Volume & \begin{tabular}{l}
\(\%\) of \\
Metro
\end{tabular} & New \$ Volume & \begin{tabular}{l}
\(\%\) of \\
Metro
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
Remodel \\
\$ Volume
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 2013 & 1,175 & 236,426,491 & & 48 & 1,762 & 122,474,999 & & 138,803,677 & & 78,614,636 \\
\hline 2014 & 1,178 & 246,552,382 & & 65 & 2,559 & 199,419,203 & & 562,584,408 & & 156,700,585 \\
\hline 2015 & 1,176 & 263,072,964 & & 46 & 1,252 & 105,696,483 & & 112,128,499 & & 116,586,501 \\
\hline 2016 & 1,111 & 262,101,497 & & 40 & 1,136 & 99,165,376 & & 171,096,413 & & 191,304,192 \\
\hline 2017 & 883 & 194,889,915 & & 16 & 846 & 76,369,598 & & 207,275,730 & & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 191,305,9,92 \\
& \mathbf{1 1 2 , 8 5 2 , 0 7 4}
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 20 yr Avg & 950 & 152,599,446 & 100\% & 40 & 970 & 63,329,599 & 100\% & 120,246,284 & 100\% & 79,916,374 \\
\hline 10yr Avg & 931 & 182,509,270 & 100\% & 32 & 1,164 & 86,443,442 & 100\% & 158,511,164 & 100\% & 99,230,967 \\
\hline 5 yr Avg & 1,105 & 240,608,650 & 100\% & 43 & 1,511 & 120,625,132 & 100\% & 238,377,745 & 100\% & 131,211,598 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{11}{|c|}{FARGO BUILDING PERMIT SUMMARY} \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|r|}{SINGLE FAMILY} & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{MULTIPLE FAMILY} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{COMMERCIAL PERMITS} \\
\hline Year & \begin{tabular}{l}
\# of \\
Units
\end{tabular} & Total \$ Volume & \% of
Metro & \begin{tabular}{l}
\# of \\
Bldgs
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
\# of \\
Units
\end{tabular} & Total \$ Volume & \begin{tabular}{l}
\% of \\
Metro
\end{tabular} & New \$ Volume & \% of Metro & Remodel \$ Volume \\
\hline 2013 & 521 & 99,978,938 & 42.29\% & 29 & 1170 & 84,674,999 & 69.14\% & 76,140,336 & 54.85\% & 41,591,963 \\
\hline 2014 & 377 & 86,407,306 & 35.05\% & 44 & 1897 & 148,775,000 & 74.60\% & 482,318,840 & 85.73\% & 118,099,353 \\
\hline 2015 & 526 & 114,137,979 & 43.39\% & 27 & 902 & 76,040,603 & 71.94\% & 60,723,155 & 54.15\% & 76,695,244 \\
\hline 2016 & 476 & 122,155,124 & 46,61\% & 28 & 818 & 73,298,646 & 95.98\% & 86,914,623 & 41.93\% & 97,140,526 \\
\hline 2017 & 444 & 98,869,545 & 50.73\% & 15 & 801 & 71,969,598 & 94.24\% & 115,265,485 & 55.61\% & \begin{tabular}{l}
\(78,321,227\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 20 yr Avg & 379 & 54,927,748 & 35.99\% & 29 & 695 & 36,033,080 & 56.90\% & 63,645,710 & 52.93\% & 38,541,642 \\
\hline 10 yr Avg & 412 & 80,395,030 & 44.05\% & 22 & 862 & 64,778,037 & \(74.94 \%\) & 110,620,969 & 69.79\% & 60,701,469 \\
\hline 5 yr Avg & 469 & 104,309,778 & 43.35\% & 29 & 1,118 & 90,951,769 & 75,40\% & 164,272,488 & 68.91\% & \(82,369,663\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

The building permit information indicates that most of the metro area growth occurs in the Fargo portion of the market. The single-family housing construction in Fargo has averaged a rate of 469 houses per year over the last five years, with 2015 showing a new high for construction of housing units. Metro-wide, new single-family starts slowed about \(20 \%\) in 2017, indicating that the housing market may be tempering after several abnormally strong years. The apartment construction in Fargo has averaged 1,118 units per year from 2013 to 2017, with new starts decreasing from 1,897 units in
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2014 to 801 units in 2017, as vacancy conditions increased to about \(9.8 \%\) in Fargo and throughout the metro area. The amount of recent apartment construction has caused a temporary oversupply resulting in rental concessions, flat rental rates and increasing vacancies. The slowdown in apartment growth should help bring the supply and demand conditions more into balance over the next several years.

The Fargo growth rate has been stronger than Moorhead due to a differential in business and income expenses. The individual income tax, real estate taxes, and unemployment insurance costs in Moorhead are higher than in adjacent North Dakota, making Fargo a more favorable business environment.

Local higher education is a major stabilizing force for the metro area with two public universities (NDSU and MSUM), a private college (Concordia College) and several technical and vocational schools. These institutions have a combined student population exceeding 22,000. The financial impact of these institutions on the local economy is substantial. They are considered a major stabilizing force for the metro area.

Two regional hospitals, the primary facilities for two regional clinics and numerous small medical and dental clinics are also considered a major stabilizing force for the local economy. In July 2017, Sanford Health opened a one million square foot, state-of-the-art regional medical center on the south side of I94 between 45th Street South in Fargo and Veterans Boulevard in adjacent West Fargo. The total project cost was nearly 500 million dollars. The new hospital will employ about 2,000 full and part time employees.

The retail space in the metropolitan area totals about \(9,600,000\) square feet. The major retail center is the West Acres Mall, which contains about \(10 \%\) of the metro area retail space. This mall is located in the southwest quadrant of the 13th Avenue/ Interstate 29 interchange, four miles northeast of the subject. The community and regional retail center is related in yellow on the adjacent map. The primary streets of this retail center are 13th Avenue and 45th Street. This area now accounts for approximately \(50 \%\) of the retail space in the metropolitan area. The area surrounding West Acres is developed with a major
 concentration of motel rooms, restaurants, entertainment facilities, new office and apartment units.

The vacancy rate for retail space in the metro area is about 10 to \(12 \%\). The vacancy condition was elevated during the 2008 through 2010 because of the downturn in the national economy and the significant growth that had been occurring in the metro area. The retail market had strengthened over the last five years and experienced strong growth. The retail market is changing with more shopping online and vacancies have again started to increase. The peripheral commercial neighborhoods in the metro area are the areas with elevated commercial vacancy conditions.
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The Central Business District (CBD) is a secondary commercial location in the community that is overshadowed by the commercial development on the community's southwest edge, surrounding the West Acres Mall. The CBD continues to be an office and business center and is improving as a boutique retail shopping center. A resurgence of residential development over the last ten years, through the extensive renovations of upper floors in many of the buildings in the CBD, has had a favorable impact on demand for commercial and residential space in the CBD. The CBD is located 7.6 miles northeast of the appraised property in the east-central portion of the metro area.

In conclusion, the Fargo Metropolitan Area is the major regional center for all types of trade and services. This status has been beneficial as indicated by the steady growth summarized herein. Economic growth typically begins with employment growth. Employment growth is related to expanding demand for office and industrial space, which in turn increases the demand for housing, education, and healthcare services. As housing and population growth occur, the demand for retail services and space increases. As demonstrated by the community and regional statistics related in this section, the Fargo/Moorhead metropolitan area experiences steady growth, even during downturns in the national economy. The steady growth is expected to continue for the foreseeable future, favorably affecting most real estate values.

\section*{Neighborhood Description}


City of Fargo GIS 2017 | Overlay by appraiser
The subject is part of a neighborhood mixed with single and multi-family residential, and commercial development. It is indicated by the red dot and cursor overlay in the above GIS imagery. It is near the \(40^{\text {th }}\) Avenue- \(45^{\text {th }}\) Street intersection, which is a primary commercial corner in the southwest portion of
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the community, anchored by a Hornbacher's supermarket and large multi-tenant commercial strip centers. Forty-Firth Street is five blocks to the east of the subject site and is a primary north-south corridor providing Interstate access about 1.5 miles to the north. Fortieth Avenue South, a major east/west corridor, borders the subject parcel to the north.

The subject is bordered to the east by twin-home development and a multi-building apartment project to its south. A storm-water diversion channel borders the site to the west. There are public elementary, middle and high schools within walking distance of the subject and access to public transportation is also within walking distance. Public parks and walking paths are also within a block of the property.

The location of the property is suitable for a market rate apartment project based on its proximity to amenities desired by apartment dwellers including public schools, public transportation, restaurants, retail, banking and a supermarket. Based on all these characteristics the short-term value outlook for the property is stable.

\section*{Property Description}

The subject site is square-shaped, as indicated in the satellite imagery that follows and the Plat Map included in the attachments. The appraised site is 289,680 square feet, according to City records.

The property is located in an area primarily designated Zone X-Other Flood Areas, according to the Flood Insurance Rate Map (Community Panel No. 38017C0778G, dated 1/16/2015) included in the attachments. This indicates areas of 0.2 percent annual chance flood; areas of one percent annual chance flood with average depths of less than one foot or with drainage areas less than one square mile; and areas protected by levees from one percent annual chance flood.

The site is zoned MR-3, Multiple Dwelling District. This district is primarily intended to accommodate household living in detached houses, attached houses, duplexes and multi-dwelling structures. This district allows up to 24 dwelling units per acre of land. The subject's density is 17.6 units per acre, which conforms to the Land Development Code (LDC). The location is suitable for apartment use as indicated by the other high-density residential development in the area and its proximity to retail and service amenities desired by apartment dwellers. The subject is connected to the city sewer and water systems and has adequate utility services to support its use.

Site improvements include nine detached garage buildings with steel siding, metal garage doors, and asphalt-shingled roofs. The garage buildings contain 118 single stall garages, each of which is leased with a unit. There is an extra garage for occupancy by the property's maintenance manager. There is also on-site, concrete-surfaced and lined parking for 79 vehicles. The apartment building is constructed atop a basement parking garage with 122 spaces, one for each unit and five for visitors and on-site staff. The on-site parking provides a parking ratio of 2.73 spaces per unit, which exceeds the 2.25 spaces per unit required by the Land Development Code.

The appraised property is improved with a three-story, wood frame apartment buildings constructed atop drive-in basement parking. The building has a single, 4,500-pound elevator serving all four levels. It was constructed in 2005 and has minimal maintenance steel siding, wood-cased vinyl slider windows,
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metal fascia, soffit and gutters, and an asphalt-shingled roof. The building has multiple controlled access entries with security intercom entrance systems, closed-circuit cameras, a fire alarm system, and a wet fire sprinkler system. The electrical and telecom utility room is on the main floor. There are four utility/mechanical rooms in the basement that house the fire sprinkler riser, water mains, central hot water boilers that provide heat and the central hot water heaters that provide hot water. The subject does not have a common laundry area because each unit is leased with an in-unit washer and dryer. The main level also houses a small property management office, fitness room and resident lounge room with a kitchenette.


Image: City of Fargo GIS 2017
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The 117-unit property has a total unit mix of 28 1-bedroom/1-bath units, 71 2-bedroom/2-bath units, and 18 3-bedroom/2-bath units. Each unit has a refrigerator, range, dishwasher, microwave/hood fan, washer, dryer, and wall air conditioner. The two and three-bedroom units have two wall air conditioners. The ground level units have walk-out patios and the upper floor units have balconies. The units are heated by central gas-fired, hot water baseboard heat and cooled by wall air conditioners. Centralized high-efficiency gas-fired hot water heaters provide hot water. Tenants are responsible for their electrical expenses including lights, cooking, and air conditioning. The property owner provides internet in all the units and cable TV in the furnished, corporate units. The owner also pays for heat, hot and cold water, and garbage service.

The notable dimensions for the property are as follows:
\begin{tabular}{lr} 
Lot Size: & \(289,680 \mathrm{Sq} . \mathrm{Ft}\) \\
Gross Building Area (Above Grade): & \(166,453 \mathrm{Sq} . \mathrm{Ft}\) \\
Basement Parking Area (Not in GBA): & \(60,933 \mathrm{Sq} . \mathrm{Ft}\) \\
Exterior Garage Area: & \(31,372 \mathrm{Sq} . \mathrm{Ft}\) \\
Concrete Paved Parking \& Drives: & \(88,657 \mathrm{Sq} . \mathrm{Ft}\) \\
Sidewalks: & \(7,920 \mathrm{Sq} Ft\).
\end{tabular}

The overall condition of the property was noted to be good, as the facility has only been in service for about 12 years and has been well maintained. The units are re-floored and painted as necessary when they are turned. The property owner reportedly spent about \(\$ 250,000\) over the last couple years replacing all the kitchen appliances with new, stainless steel appliances. Most of the washers and dryers appear to be original. The concrete parking and drives appeared to be in good condition. The condition of the appraised property is depicted in the photographs included in the attachments. The effective age of the property was estimated about 10 years, which is less than its actual physical age. The Marshall Valuation Service (MVS) manual indicates that the typical life for these improvements was estimated to be about 50 years, indicating a remaining economic life of about 40 years.

The Legal Description for the appraised property is Lot 36, Block 3, Osgood Townsite 1st Addition to the City of Fargo, Cass County, North Dakota The Tax Parcel Identification Number for the appraised property is 01-7001-00650-000. The current assessment and tax information for the appraised property is as follows:
\begin{tabular}{llr} 
True \& Full Value: & Land & \(\$ 1,159,000\) \\
& Improvements & \(\underline{\$ 9,336,000}\) \\
& Total & \(\$ 10,495,000\) \\
Taxes: & General Real Estate Tax* & \(\$ 136,765.60\) \\
& Annual Specials Payment & \(\$ 17,029.23\) \\
& Annual Drain Payment & \(\$ 2,231.92\) \\
& Total & \(\$ 156,026.75\)
\end{tabular}
*North Dakota allows a five percent reduction in general taxes if paid by the 15 th of February in the year they are due.
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The current balance of special assessments total \(\$ 154,876.07\). It is customary in the local area for buyers to assume the balance of unpaid special assessments since they are usually financed at below market interest rates. This is a debt against the property. Therefore, the client and intended should deduct the current balance of special assessments from the market value indications to reflect the net value for assessment purposes.

The appraised property is owned by Pacific Ridge Osgood Place, LLC, which purchased the property from its developer in September of 2010 for a reported \(\$ 8,750,000\), with the buyer assuming all special unpaid special assessments. The most recent sale price is not considered to have any relation to the current market value of the property. There have been no other recent sales of the subject nor is it currently listed for sale.

\section*{Highest and Best Use}

The determination of highest and best use is a result of the appraiser's judgment and analytical skill. It represents an opinion, rather than a fact that is found. The highest and best use analysis is based on the following definition: "The reasonably probable use of property that results in the highest value. The four criteria that the highest and best use must meet are legal permissibility, physical possibility, financial feasibility, and maximum productivity. \({ }^{.5}\)

The site is zoned MR-3, Multiple Dwelling District. This district is primarily intended to accommodate household living in detached houses, attached houses, duplexes and multi-dwelling structures. This district allows up to 24 dwelling units per acre of land. The subject's density is 17.6 units per acre, which conforms to the Land Development Code (LDC). The site is in an area where a high density of single and multi-family residential development has occurred, indicating the appropriateness and financial viability of the use. The neighborhood is in the southern portion of the community, near employment, shopping and major thoroughfares. This should continue to provide reasonable demand for the subject units. The facility is currently operating at a lower physical vacancy than its sub section of South Fargo Unit 5, per the Apartment Survey included in the attachments. The Fargo and Metrowide physical vacancy rate is around \(9.8 \%\), indicating an oversupply of units. Considering its zoning, the number of apartments in the immediate neighborhood of the subject and the favorable proximity to the community and regional commercial center, the highest and best use of the site, as if vacant, is considered the primary permitted use, for apartment development.

The appraised property is improved with a 117-unit apartment project that has a substantial remaining physical life. The subject's density of development is 17.6 units per acre, conforming to the current zoning code. The property has adequate on-site parking and has been maintained in good condition. The recent income and expense data and rent roll from the property indicate that the current use is financially viable and likely to continue. It is unlikely that it would be purchased and converted to a different use. Considering the characteristics of the property, it is my opinion that the highest and best use is the current and designed use, as a market-rate apartment project.

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{5}\) The Dictionary of Real Estate Appraisal, Sixth Edition, The Appraisal Institute, Illinois, 2015, Page 109
}
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\section*{Summary of Valuation and Analysis}

The appraisal of real estate typically includes the three approaches to value (Cost, Income Capitalization and Sales Comparison), which are reconciled into a final value conclusion. All the approaches were considered applicable to this valuation assignment.

\author{
Site Value Analysis
}

This valuation analysis begins with estimating the value of the subject site, as if vacant, for use in the Cost Approach. The market was researched for similar sized sites purchased for multi-family development. Five larger multi-family site sales from the local area are documented in the attachments. They are related to the appraised site in the Comparable Site Sales Grid that follows.

All the sales occurred within roughly the last four years. Multi-family site values peaked in the 20132014 period and have remained stable since this time, as reflected by the comparable sales. Therefore, only a modest upward time adjustment to the 2014 and 2015 sales was warranted.

All the comparable sales were considered arm's-length, market transactions that did not require condition of sale adjustments. Sale 1 was zoned LC for commercial development. The buyer had it re-zoned to MR-3 to accommodate multi-family development, which is a primary use in its neighborhood. It was not considered to warrant an adjustment for this. Sale 3 was zoned for General Office development, but obtained a conditional use permit for multi-family development, which is a primary use in its neighborhood as well, warranting no adjustment.

The comparable sales widely bracket the size of the subject site. The sales indicate that as size decreases, the price per square foot increases, reflecting the principle of diminishing marginal utility. The subject and sales 3-5 are reasonably comparably-sized in the 8.4 to 8.6 -acre range and do not appear to warrant an adjustment. Sale 2 is substantially larger at nearly 20 acres and reflects the lowest unadjusted price per square foot indicator, warranting an upward size adjustment. Sales 1 and 3 are the smallest sales at 2.8 to 3.9 acres, and indicate the highest price per square foot indicators.

The subject and all the comparable sales are in the southwest portion of the metro area, where most of the new apartment growth is occurring. The subject has good visibility and direct access from 40th Avenue. This is inferior to Sale 1, which has visibility from \(45^{\text {th }}\) Street, which is a higher density traffic route than \(40^{\text {th }}\) Avenue. Sale 2 is directly south of the Microsoft campus with visibility from Interstate 29. This is considered superior visibility, but is in a developing neighborhood considered inferior to the higher level of development in the subject neighborhood, warranting no adjustment. Sale 3 has visibility and indirect access from \(42^{\text {nd }}\) Street, warranting no adjustment. Sale 4 is one block off \(45^{\text {th }}\) Street with indirect access and visibility. It warranted an upward adjustment. Sale 5 has frontage and direct access from Veterans Boulevard, warranting no adjustment.

The subject and Sales 1,3, and 5 are generally rectangular-shaped. Sales 2 and 4 are slightly irregular shaped, but their development does not appear to be adversely impacted by this, based on their price per square foot indicators, warranting no adjustment.
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The subject is zoned MR-3, which allows 24 units per acre. Sale 5 is zoned R-3, which also allows for 24 unit per acre development. Sales 1, 24 and 5 were not adjusted. Sale 3 is zoned GO, but obtained a conditional use permit that would allow for a similar development density, warranting no adjustment. zonings, which allow 24 units per acre. The sales do not appear to reflect an appreciable adjustment for this difference in zoning. Sale 2 is zoned for General Office, but has a conditional use permit for multi-family development. Its price indication is reasonably in line with the other comparable sales.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|c|}{COMPARABLE SITE SALES GRID} \\
\hline & & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 \\
\hline Sale No. & Subject & YN-78 & Z-94 & YN-71 & Z-75 & WF-45 \\
\hline Sale Date: & 5/18 & 1/17 & 9/16 & 11/15 & 6/14 & 4/14 \\
\hline Land Size (SF): & 289,680 & 168,684 & 870,464 & 377,412 & 120,280 & 368,244 \\
\hline Location: & SW Metro & SW Metro & SW Metro & SW Metro & SW Metro & SW Metro \\
\hline Access: & Good & Comparable & Inferior & Comparable & Inferior & Comparable \\
\hline Visibility: & Good & Superior & Superior & Comparable & Comparable & Superior \\
\hline Condition of Sale: & Normal & Re-Zoned & Normal & Normal & Normal & Normal \\
\hline Zoning: & MR-3 & MR-3 & MR-3 & GO & MR-3 & MR-3 \\
\hline Development Density; & 117 & Not Available & Not Available & Not Available & 42 & 180 \\
\hline Sale Price: & & \$1,286,664 & \$4,351,703 & \$2,172,587 & \$748,665 & \$2,088,251 \\
\hline Sale Price/Unit: & & & & & \$17,825 & \$11,601 \\
\hline Sale Price/SF of Site: & & \$7.63 & \$5.00 & \$5.76 & \$6.22 & \$5.67 \\
\hline & & & & Adjustments & & \\
\hline Time & & \$0.00 & \$0.00 & \$0.12 & \$0.19 & \$0.17 \\
\hline Condition of Sale & & \$0.00 & \$0.00 & \$0.00 & \$0.00 & \$0.00 \\
\hline Size & & (\$0.80) & \$0.60 & \$0.00 & (\$1.00) & \$0.00 \\
\hline Location & & (\$1.53) & \$0.00 & \$0.00 & \$0.31 & \$0.00 \\
\hline Zoning & & \$0.00 & \$0.00 & \$0.00 & \$0.00 & \$0.00 \\
\hline Shape & & \$0.00 & \$0.00 & \$0.00 & \$0.00 & \$0.00 \\
\hline Other & & \$0.00 & \$0.03 & \$0.01 & \$0.00 & \$0.03 \\
\hline ADJUSTED SALE PRICE/SF & & \$5.30 & \$5.63 & \$5.89 & \$5.72 & \$5.87 \\
\hline Indicated Value of Subject & & \$1,535,304 & \$1,630,898 & \$1,706,596 & \$1,656,970 & \$1,700,422 \\
\hline Average of Value Indications & & \$1,646,038 & & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Estimated Market Value of Site (Rounded) \\
Equates to
\end{tabular}}} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{\$1,650,000} & & \\
\hline & & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\$5.70 /SF} & & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\$14,103 /Unit} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

The final adjustment is for new special assessments that have been applied, or are pending, to the comparable sales, since they occurred. This was a relatively small adjustment.

The sales were considered comparable in most other respects. After being adjusted to the subject site, the comparable sales indicated a value range of \(\$ 1,535,304\) to \(\$ 1,706,596\). The average of the value indicators was \(\$ 1,646,038\). The sales were considered to bracket the subject characteristics well and were given equal weight in the analysis. Based on the enclosed sales, it is my opinion that the value of the appraised site, as if vacant, with all special assessments paid, is \(\$ 1,650,000\) or \(\$ 5.70\) per square foot.
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\author{
Cost Approach
}

The Cost Approach estimates the cost to replace the property and adjusts the cost for depreciation and obsolescence affecting the property. It is most reliable when dealing with new construction that fulfills the highest and best use of the site. The subject is about 12 -year-old construction, that has been adequately maintained in good condition, indicating that the Cost Approach has some reliability for this analysis. It should be noted that market participants in the region primarily buy and sell apartment properties based on their income potential, rather than a depreciated replacement cost as reflected by the Cost Approach. However, a Cost Approach valuation was still completed. The conclusions of this approach are summarized below.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{COST APPROACH SUMMARY} \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{SYNOPSIS} \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{As Is Value} \\
\hline Land Value (from Land Value Analysis) & & & \$1,650,000 \\
\hline Cost New Of The Improvements & & & \$14,433,000 \\
\hline Total Cost New & ( & \$96.62 /SF) & \$16,083,000 \\
\hline Less Depreciation From All Sources & ( & 41.60\%) & (\$6,004,000) \\
\hline Indicated Value By The Cost Approach & ( & \$60.55 /SF) & \$10,079,000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

The Marshall Valuation Service (MVS) was used to provide the estimate of replacement cost for the appraised property. The Fair Quality Multiple Residence, Class D construction in MVS relates the cost of construction in the region, even though the quality of construction in the local area exceeds the quality defined in MVS. Adjustments to the MVS costs are necessary to reflect the basement parking, elevators, fire sprinkler systems and heating/cooling systems. Adjustments are also necessary to relate the economies associated with the size of the individual units, the story height, and the current and local cost multipliers.

The actual kitchen appliance costs were available from the owner's recent capital expenditure. MVS and data collected appraising other newer apartment properties provided the basis for the forecast costs new for the washer/dryers, window treatments and lounge/fitness center equipment. Cost information from MVS provided the basis for the estimating the replacement cost of the garages and site improvements. This information is related in the cost calculations that follow.

MVS costs exclude indirect costs and entrepreneurial incentive. The indirect costs, relating legal fees, costs of land planning, appraisal, financing, etc., were estimated at \(3.00 \%\) of the direct cost, based on analysis of other project costs in the region.

Entrepreneurial incentive is a market-derived figure that represents the amount an entrepreneur expects to receive for his or her contribution to a project. It is the difference between the total cost of the property and its market value, after completion. The typical real estate investor expectation is around \(6 \%\) to \(12 \%\) depending on the type of facility. Due to the elevated vacancy condition of the metro apartment market, the bottom of this range was considered appropriate for the subject.
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The replacement cost new for the building and improvements was estimated at \(\$ 14,433,000\). The overall replacement cost new for the entire property is \(\$ 16,083,000\), using my market value estimate for the site \((\$ 1,650,000)\).


The final adjustments in the Cost Approach are for depreciation and obsolescence that affect the property. Depreciation relates the loss of value associated with normal wear and tear. The building
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improvements were estimated to have an effective age of 10 years, based on the actual age and observed condition. The typical life for similar buildings is approximately 50 years. Based on an effective age/life method of estimating physical deterioration, the property was estimated to be \(20.0 \%\) percent physically depleted.

Functional obsolescence reflects conditions within the property that have an impact on its value. The cost calculations were based on replacement cost, which tends to eliminate functional obsolescence from consideration. The design of the appraised property is appropriate for the marketplace. Functional obsolescence was not considered a factor.

External obsolescence reflects conditions outside the property that have an adverse impact on value. Vacancy rates in the apartment market have been steadily rising for the last three years with the significant amount of new apartment construction occurring in the metro area. Current metro wide vacancies are near \(10 \%\), while the Fargo portion of the market is at about \(9.8 \%\) city-wide and above \(14 \%\) in the southwest portion of the community, where the subject is located. This information is summarized in the apartment vacancy survey included in the attachments. The elevated vacancies have resulted in stagnant rents and substantial incentives (1-3 months free rent) becoming commonplace in the market. Currently the owner is offering one month free for the subject, with the signing of a 12month lease. It appears that rising area costs have outpaced rental rates in the apartment market, indicating the potential for external obsolescence.

The value, prior to considering external obsolescence (Replacement Cost New of \(\$ 14,433,000\), less physical depreciation \(\$ 2,886,600\) ) and adding the land value of \(\$ 1,650,000\), is \(\$ 13,196,400\). The necessary net operating income (NOI) to support this level of cost is \(\$ 956,739\), using the market-based capitalization rate used in the Income Approach of \(7.25 \%\). The available NOI forecasted in the Income Approach is \(\$ 697,673\) or \(72.92 \%\) of the income necessary to support the depreciated cost. This analysis indicates that the external obsolescence, associated with the failure of rental rates to keep pace with rising costs due to the elevated vacancy condition of the metro area appears to impact the subject. Therefore, an external obsolescence estimate of \(27.00 \%\) was considered appropriate.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Total Cost New of Entire Property} \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{Cost New of Improvements} & Rounded & \$14,433,000 \\
\hline Land Value 289, & 289,680 SF@ & \$5.70 & & & \$1,650,000 \\
\hline Total Cost New & & & & & \$16,083,000 \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Less Depreciation} \\
\hline Physical Deterioration & n 10yrs/5 & 20\% & \$2,886,600 & & \\
\hline Functional Obsolescence & ence & 0.00\% & \$0 & & \\
\hline External Obsolescence & & 27.00\% & \$3,117,528 & & \\
\hline & Total D & tion & & & (\$6,004,128) \\
\hline & Net Va & cation & & & \$10,078,872 \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{INDICATED VALUE BY THE COST APPROACH} & \$10,079,000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

The indicated market value of the appraised property is \(\$ 10,079,000\), based on the Cost Approach.
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The Income Capitalization Approach provides an indication of value based on the income potential of the appraised property. It is one of the primary methods used to value apartment properties. Several techniques are available to convert the income to be derived from the property into a value estimate. The technique that is typically applied to apartment properties is the direct capitalization of the anticipated net operating income (NOI) derived from the property. This technique converts the NOI into a value indication using the following calculation: \(\mathrm{NOI} /\) Overall Rate \(=\) Value .

The rate used in direct capitalization is based on the prevailing relationship of the NOI to the selling prices for comparable properties. It is referred to as the overall rate and is abstracted from the market via the following calculation: \(\mathrm{NOI} /\) Sale Price \(=\) Overall Rate. NOI is the annual income remaining after deducting all fixed and operating expenses and reserves for replacements, but excluding financial charges, such as recapture or debt service. It is obtained by constructing the likely income statement from the property reflecting the rent and expenses that would be incurred under prudent operation of the property.

The current rent roll for the subject is included in the attachments. It was the starting point for estimating the market rent for the subject units. The subject's rents reflect some variance, which is typical for most apartment properties. This appears to be primarily related to differences in the movein date as the owner has changed rents to entice more occupants. The current gross scheduled rents are equal to \(\$ 115,640\) per month, which includes the gross scheduled rents for the 13 vacant units, four of which are furnished, corporate units. Adjusting the corporate units to reflect typical market rents results in gross scheduled rents of \(\$ 113,290\).

The manager reported that their current forecast gross asking rent schedule is as follows:
\begin{tabular}{lrrr} 
& 1st Floor & 2nd Floor & 3rd Floor \\
\(1 \mathrm{Bed} / 1\) Bath "A" Unit & \(\$ 820\) & \(\$ 810\) & \(\$ 830\) \\
\(2 \mathrm{Bed} / 2\) Bath "B" Unit & \(\$ 970\) & \(\$ 960\) & \(\$ 980\) \\
\(3 \mathrm{Bed} / 2\) Bath "C" Unit & \(\$ 1,120\) & \(\$ 1,110\) & \(\$ 1,130\) \\
\(3 \mathrm{Bed} / 2\) Bath "D" Unit & \(\$ 1,140\) & \(\$ 1,130\) & \(\$ 1,150\) \\
\(1 \mathrm{Bed} / 1\) Bath "E" Unit & \(\$ 860\) & \(\$ 850\) & \(\$ 870\) \\
\(1 \mathrm{Bed} / 1\) Bath "F" Unit & \(\$ 840\) & \(\$ 830\) & \(\$ 850\) \\
\(2 \mathrm{Bed} / 2\) Bath "G" Unit & \(\$ 990\) & \(\$ 980\) & \(\$ 1,000\)
\end{tabular}

The third floor has vaulted ceilings and no upper level neighborhoods, resulting in the highest asking rents. The middle floor has upper and lower level neighborhoods resulting in the lowest asking rents and the main floor has the middle asking rent, reflecting walk-out patios and only upper level neighbors. Using the manager's gross forecast rents results in gross scheduled rents of \(\$ 112,770\), which is comparable to the current gross scheduled rents of \(\$ 113,290\), but reflects 13 vacant units.

To simplify this analysis, I developed the following Monthly Rent Schedule based on the forecast gross asking rents:
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MONTHLY RENT SCHEDULE - SUBJECT PROPERTY
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Unit Descrip} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{array}{|c|}
\hline \text { No. of } \\
\text { Units } \\
\hline
\end{array}
\]} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Unit Rm Count} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Total \\
Rooms
\end{tabular}} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Unit \\
Size
\end{tabular}} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Vacant Units} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Scheduled Rents} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Economic Rents} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Sq. Ft.} \\
\hline & & Tot. & BR & Bath & & & & Unfurn & Total & Unfurn & Total & \\
\hline A1 & 4 & 3 & 1 & 1 & 12 & 819 & & \$820 & \$3,280 & \$820 & \$3,280 & \$1.00 \\
\hline A2 & 4 & 3 & 1 & 1 & 12 & 819 & & \$810 & \$3,240 & \$810 & \$3,240 & \$0.99 \\
\hline A3 & 4 & 3 & 1 & 1 & 12 & 819 & & \$830 & \$3,320 & \$830 & \$3,320 & \$1.01 \\
\hline B1 & 20 & 5 & 2 & 2 & 100 & 1,250 & & \$970 & \$19,400 & \$970 & \$19,400 & \$0.78 \\
\hline B2 & 20 & 5 & 2 & 2 & 100 & 1,250 & & \$960 & \$19,200 & \$960 & \$19,200 & \$0.77 \\
\hline B3 & 20 & 5 & 2 & 2 & 100 & 1,250 & & \$980 & \$19,600 & \$980 & \$19,600 & \$0.78 \\
\hline C1 & 4 & 6 & 3 & 2 & 24 & 1,480 & & \$1,120 & \$4,480 & \$1,120 & \$4,480 & \$0.76 \\
\hline C2 & 4 & 6 & 3 & 2 & 24 & 1,480 & & \$1,110 & \$4,440 & \$1,110 & \$4,440 & \$0.75 \\
\hline C3 & 4 & 6 & 3 & 2 & 24 & 1,480 & & \$1,130 & \$4,520 & \$1,130 & \$4,520 & \$0.76 \\
\hline D1 & 2 & 6 & 3 & 2 & 12 & 1,550 & & \$1,140 & \$2,280 & \$1,140 & \$2,280 & \$0.74 \\
\hline D2 & 2 & 6 & 3 & 2 & 12 & 1,550 & & \$1,130 & \$2,260 & \$1,130 & \$2,260 & \$0.73 \\
\hline D3 & 2 & 6 & 3 & 2 & 12 & 1,550 & & \$1,150 & \$2,300 & \$1,150 & \$2,300 & \$0.74 \\
\hline E1 & 2 & 3 & 1 & 1 & 6 & 949 & & \$860 & \$1,720 & \$860 & \$1,720 & \$0.91 \\
\hline E2 & 2 & 3 & 1 & 1 & 6 & 949 & & \$850 & \$1,700 & \$850 & \$1,700 & \$0.90 \\
\hline E3 & 2 & 3 & 1 & 1 & 6 & 949 & & \$870 & \$1,740 & \$870 & \$1,740 & \$0.92 \\
\hline F1 & 2 & 3 & 1 & 1 & 6 & 852 & & \$840 & \$1,680 & \$840 & \$1,680 & \$0.99 \\
\hline F2 & 4 & 3 & 1 & 1 & 12 & 852 & & \$830 & \$3,320 & \$830 & \$3,320 & \$0.97 \\
\hline F3 & 4 & 3 & 1 & 1 & 12 & 852 & & \$850 & \$3,400 & \$850 & \$3,400 & \$1.00 \\
\hline G1 & 3 & 5 & 2 & 2 & 15 & 1,240 & & \$990 & \$2,970 & \$990 & \$2,970 & \$0.80 \\
\hline G2 & 4 & 5 & 2 & 2 & 20 & 1,240 & & \$980 & \$3,920 & \$980 & \[
\$ 3,920
\] & \$0.79 \\
\hline G3 & \[
\underline{4}
\] & 5 & 2 & 2 & & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{1,240} & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{\$1,000}} & \[
\$ 4,000
\] & \$1,000 & \[
\$ 4,000
\] & \$0.81 \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{117} & \multicolumn{4}{|r|}{\[
547
\]} & & & & \$112,770 & & \[
\$ 112,770
\] & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


Comparable rental data from the southwest portion of the metro is summarized in the table that follows, providing additional support for the market rent forecasts utilized in this analysis. This information provided support for the subject's market rent estimates included in the Economic Rents column of the Monthly Rent Schedule table above. These rents take into account the subject's location, amenities and a market vacancy and credit loss factor of \(10.00 \%\).

Rental housing typically produces additional income, such as garage income, income from common laundry facilities and miscellaneous income associated with money management, security deposit forfeitures and vending.

The property has detached garage buildings with 118 single stall garages and 122 basement parking stalls. Each of the units is leased with one single stall garage and one basement garage stall; therefore,
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there was no additional garage income to forecast. Each unit is leased with a washer and dryer; therefore, there is no additional laundry income to forecast.
The subject has vending machines, which historic operations data indicates have netted between \(\$ 2\) and \(\$ 9\) per unit annually. A forecast vending income of \(\$ 0.50\) per unit per month was considered appropriate.

Four of the subject units are furnished, corporate suites that the manager indicated rent for about \$525 more than an unfurnished unit. All four of the furnished units are vacant. Therefore, I have forecast only \(\$ 300.00\) per furnished unit per month in additional income.

The income attributable to miscellaneous income sources, such as money management, security deposit forfeitures, pet fees, and vending machines, was based on the historic miscellaneous income data from the subject. A miscellaneous income forecast of \(\$ 16.00\) per unit per month, prior to vacancy and credit losses, was used herein and was based on the historic miscellaneous income data from the subject.


The Potential Gross Income for the subject was forecasted at \(\$ 1,390,806\). The income forecast is based on a stabilized vacancy and credit loss factor, estimated at \(10.00 \%\). This vacancy estimate accounts for the location, the characteristics of the property, the current vacancy condition in the subject, and the current elevated vacancy conditions in the local market relating to an over-supply condition. The attachments include a vacancy survey that provides an indication of vacancy conditions throughout the metro area. The Effective Gross Income was estimated to be \(\$ 1,251,725\), as related in the Income Approach Summary calculations that follow. This is reasonably in line with the 2017 annual effective gross income of \(\$ 1,307,498\).
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The 2015-2017 historic income and expense information for the subject is included in the attachments and summarized in the following table. It should be noted that effective gross income and net operating income have declined year-over-year since 2015, while area vacancies have climbed from around \(6 \%\) to near \(10 \%\) today. The historic income and expense information provided some of the basis for the expense forecast in the Income Approach Summary on page 24. The subject's historic expense data indicated an expense range of \(\$ 4,829\) to \(\$ 5,198\) per unit, with an average of \(\$ 4,964\) per unit.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{3}{*}{Gross Income} & \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{HISTORIC INCOME AND EXPENSE SUMMARY} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{2015} & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{\multirow[b]{2}{*}{12 Months}} \\
\hline & 2017 & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{12 Months} & 2016 & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{12 Months} & & & \\
\hline & & \% EGI & Unit & & \% EGI & Unit & & \% EGI & /Unit \\
\hline Rent Receipts & \$1,281,634 & 98.0\% & \$10,954 & \$1,298,224 & 97.8\% & \$11,096 & \$1,305,878 & 98.0\% & \$11,161 \\
\hline Vending. & \$1,011 & 0.1\% & \$9 & \$754 & 0.1\% & \$6 & \$292 & 0.0\% & \$2 \\
\hline Miscellaneous & \$1 \$24.853 & 1.9\% & \(\underline{\$ 212}\) & \$128,351 & 2.1\% & \(\underline{\$ 242}\) & \$26,868 & 2.0\% & \$230 \\
\hline Effective Gross Income & \$1,307,498 & 100.0\% & \$11,175 & \$1,327,329 & 100.0\% & \$11,345 & \$1,333,038 & 100.0\% & \$11,393 \\
\hline Less Expenses & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Real Estate Taxes & \$132,159 & 10.1\% & \$1,130 & \$126,756 & 9.5\% & \$1,083 & \$127,126 & 9.5\% & \$1,087 \\
\hline Special Assessments & \$0 & 0.0\% & \$0 & \$0 & 0.0\% & \$0 & \$0 & 0.0\% & \$0 \\
\hline Insurance & \$50,150 & 3.8\% & \$429 & \$50,279 & 3.8\% & \$430 & \$49,847 & 3.7\% & \$426 \\
\hline Electricity/Gas & \$56,166 & 4.3\% & \$480 & \$50,710 & 3.8\% & \$433 & \$60,696 & 4.6\% & \$519 \\
\hline Water/Sewer/Trash & \$40,841 & 3.1\% & \$349 & \$38,577 & 2.9\% & \$330 & \$40,195 & 3.0\% & \$344 \\
\hline Pest Control & \$723 & 0.1\% & \$6 & \$723 & 0,1\% & \$6 & \$723 & 0.1\% & \$6 \\
\hline Maintenance/Repairs & \$131,001 & 10.0\% & \$1,120 & \$112,257 & 8.5\% & \$959 & \$92,712 & 7.0\% & \$792 \\
\hline Paint/Decorate & \$9,302 & 0.7\% & \$80 & \$8,877 & 0.7\% & \$76 & \$10,111 & 0.8\% & \$86 \\
\hline Cleaning & \$15,681 & 1.2\% & \$134 & \$16,620 & 1.3\% & \$142 & \$17,948 & 1.3\% & \$153 \\
\hline Supplies & \$0 & 0.0\% & \$0 & \$0 & 0.0\% & \$0 & \$0 & 0.0\% & \$0 \\
\hline Snow Remove/Lawn & \$30,906 & 2.4\% & \$264 & \$18,572 & 1.4\% & \$159 & \$15,825 & 1.2\% & \$135 \\
\hline Elevator Expense & \$0 & 0.0\% & \$0 & \$0 & 0.0\% & \$0 & \$0 & 0.0\% & \$0 \\
\hline Management & \$52,042 & 4.0\% & \$445 & \$52,160 & 3.9\% & \$446 & \$52,305 & \(3.9 \%\) & \$447 \\
\hline Resident Manager & \$23,560 & 1.8\% & \$201 & \$23,422 & 1.8\% & \$200 & \$25,535 & 1.9\% & \$218 \\
\hline Other Salary-Maint & \$0 & 0.0\% & \$0 & S0 & 0.0\% & S0 & \$0 & 0.0\% & \$0 \\
\hline Advertising
Phone/Internet/Cable TV & \(\$ 40,250\)
\(\$ 0\) & \(3.1 \%\)
\(0.0 \%\) & \(\$ 344\)
\(\$ 0\) & \$42,351 & 3.2\% & \$362 & \$45,632 & 3.4\% & \$390 \\
\hline Phone/Internet/Cable TV
Legal \& Acct & \$0
\(\$ 21,108\) & \(0.0 \%\)
\(1.6 \%\) & \$0
\$180 & \(\$ 0\)
\(\$ 19.046\) & 0.0\% & \(\$ 0\)
\(\$ 163\) & \$0 & 0,0\% & \$0 \\
\hline Office/Admin & \$21,108
\(\$ 3,264\) & 1.6\% & \$180
\(\$ 28\) & \$19,046
\(\mathbf{\$ 7 , 8 8 6}\) & \(1.4 \%\)
\(0.6 \%\) & \$163
\(\$ 67\) & \(\$ 19,084\)
\(\$ 6,180\) & 1.4\% & \$163 \\
\hline Miscellaneous & \$978 & 0.1\% & \$8 & \$962 & 0.1\% & \$8 & \$6,180 & 0.5\% & \$53

\(\$ 9\) \\
\hline Replacements & \$0 & 0.0\% & \$0 & S0 & 0.0\% & S0 & S0 & 0.0\% & \$0 \\
\hline Total Expenses & ( \(\mathbf{S 6 0 8 , 1 3 2 \text { ) }}\) & - \(46.5 \%\) & (\$5,198) & (\$569,198) & -42.9\% & ( \(\$ 4.865\) ) & (\$564,985) & -42.4\% & (\$4,829) \\
\hline Net Operating Income & \$699,366 & 53.5\% & \$5,977 & \$758,132 & 57.1\% & \$6,480 & \$768,053 & 57.6\% & \$6,565 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Comparable expense data is also included in the Comparable Expense Summary at the bottom of the following page, providing additional support for the stabilized expenses forecast. The comparable data indicates an expense range of \(\$ 3,450\) to \(\$ 4,821\) per unit, with an average of \(\$ 4,023\) per unit, in line with the subject's historic expense ratios.

The stabilized expense forecast is related in the center of the expense comparable table that follows and in the Income Approach Summary on page 24, indicating a stabilized expense equal to \(\$ 4,760\) per unit. This is appropriately bracketed by the comparable expense data and is positioned at the low end of the subject's historic operating expenses. It should be noted that I utilized the 2017 tax expense for the subject, since this analysis is being completed for an assessment challenge.

Reserves for replacements relates an allowance for the periodic replacements of building components that wear out more rapidly than the building itself and must be replaced during the building's economic life. Examples include heating systems, floor covering, parking lot, etc. Based on the current condition of the property, and the in-unit appliances, the reserves for replacements were estimated at
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\(\$ 200\) per unit, which is appropriate for the condition and quality of the subject. The stabilized expense forecast is well supported by the enclosed expense data, considering the subject's characteristics, resulting in a forecast net operating income of \(\$ 697,673\).
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{10}{|c|}{COMPARABLE EXPENSE SUMMARY} & \\
\hline \multirow[b]{3}{*}{Number Of Units:} & & & & & \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{Comparables} & \\
\hline & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{SUBJECT} & Fargo & West Fargo & Moorhead & Fargo & Fargo & \\
\hline & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{117} & 144 & 78 & 90 & 84 & Fargo & \\
\hline GBA/Unit; & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{1,423} & 1,195 & 1,469 & 1,094 & 1,438 & \[
\begin{gathered}
63 \\
1.031
\end{gathered}
\] & \\
\hline Heat Provided: & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{Yes}} & Yes & Yes & & & & \\
\hline Style: & & & & & Elevator & Elevator & Walk-up & \begin{tabular}{l}
Yes \\
Elevator
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
Yes \\
Walk Up
\end{tabular} & \\
\hline Income/Expense Year & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{2005} & 2013 & 2006 & 2007 & 2004-06 &  & \\
\hline Income/Expense Year: & 201 & & Appraisers & Forecast & & & & & & \\
\hline & & Per Unit & & Per Unit & Per Unit & Per Unit & Per Unit & Per Unit & Per Unit & \\
\hline Furnished Unit & \$1,281,634 & \$10,954 & \$1,217,916 & \$10,410 & \$9,765 & \$10,737 & \$9,534 & \$10,427 & \$9,032 & \\
\hline Vending & \$0
\(\$ 1,011\) & \$0
\$9 & \$632 & \$5 & \$0 & \$35 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \\
\hline Other & \$24,853 & \$212 & \$12,960 & \$111 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$135 & \\
\hline Effective Gross Income & \$1,307,498 & \$11,175 & 251, & 仿 & \$166 & \$287 & \$299 & \$89 & \$179 & \\
\hline \multicolumn{11}{|l|}{Less Expenses} \\
\hline Real Estate Taxes & \$132,159 & \$1,130 & & & & & & & & Average \\
\hline Special Assessments & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$1,130 & \$1,273 & \$1,092 & \$1,461 & \$1,209 & \$913 & \$1,190 \\
\hline Insurance & \$50,150 & \$429 & \$50,310 & \$430 & \$250 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 \\
\hline Electricity/Gas & \$56,166 & \$480 & \$57,330 & S 4 & S25 & \$253 & \$175 & \$280 & \(\$ 340\) & \$260 \\
\hline Water,Sewer,Trash & \$40,841 & \$349 & \$40,950 & \$350 & \$335 & \$482 & \$338 & \$999 & \$355 & \$492 \\
\hline Maintenance/Repairs & \$131,725 & \$1,126 & \$46,800 & \$400 & \$335
\(\$ 276\) & \(\$ 385\)
\(\$ 237\) & \$343 & & \$345 & \$352 \\
\hline Paint/Decorate & \$9,302 & \$80 & \$
\(\$ 9,360\) & \$
\(\$ 80\)
\(\$ 80\) & \$276 & \(\$ 237\)
\(\$ 75\) & \$270
\(\$ 19\) & \$291 & \$617 & \$338 \\
\hline Cleaning & \$15,681 & \$134 & \$16,380 & \$140 & \$123 & S & \(\$ 19\)
\(\$ 160\) & & \(\$ 73\) & \$56 \\
\hline Supplies & \$0 & \$0 & \$585 & \$5 & \$123 & \$166 & \$160 & \$58
\$6 & \$209 & \$143 \\
\hline Parking/Snow/Lawn & \$30,906 & \$264 & \$30,420 & \$260 & \$2
\(\$ 94\) & & & \$6 & \$4 & \$4 \\
\hline Management & \$52,042 & \$445 & \$62,586 & \$535 & \$94
\(\$ 497\) & \(\$ 75\)
\(\$ 611\) & \(\$ 94\)
\(\$ 518\) & \$26 & \$89 & \$76 \\
\hline Resident Manager & \$23,560 & \$201 & \$25,272 & \$216 & \$497
\(\$ 181\) & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \$ 611 \\
& \$ 395
\end{aligned}
\] & \$518 & \$431 & \$467 & \$505 \\
\hline Other Salary-Maint & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & S181 & \(\$ 395\)
\(\$ 292\) & \$33 & \$126 & \$303 & \$208 \\
\hline Advertising & \$40,250 & \$344 & \$39,780 & \$340 & \$35 & \$2 & \$70 & & \$62 & \$141 \\
\hline Telephone/Internet & S0 & \$0 & \$0 & S0 & \%
\(\$ 3\) & \$54 & \$21 & \$71 & \$58 & \$48 \\
\hline Legal \& Accounting & \$21,108 & \$180 & \$14,625 & \$125 & \$3 & \$88 & \$25 & \$12 & \$0 & \$10 \\
\hline Office/Administration & \$3,264 & \$28 & 14,625

\(\$ 2,925\) & \$125
\(\$ 25\) & & & \(\$ 123\)
\(\$ 56\) & \$100 & \$12 & \$65 \\
\hline Miscellaneous & \$978 & \$8 & \$1,170 & \$10 & \$44 & \$73 & \$56 & \$20 & \$22 & \$37 \\
\hline Replacements Reserves & \$0 & S0 & \$26,325 & \$225 & & & \$8 & \$24 & & \$25 \\
\hline Total Expenses & (\$608,132) & (\$5.198) & (\$556,978) & (\$4,760) & (\$3.450) &  & & & \$673 & \$427 \\
\hline Net Operating Income & \$699,366 & \$5,977 & \$694,747 & \$5,938 & \$6,481 & \$6,605 & (\$3 & (\$3,653) & (\$4.821) & \((\$ 4,023)\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

The final item required for the direct capitalization process is to select the appropriate overall rate. The sales in the Sales Comparison Approach had adequate income information available for abstracting market-based overall rates. This data is summarized in the table below.
\begin{tabular}{l|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & Units & OAR & Adj OAR & Exp \% & Heat Paid \\
\hline Sale 1 & 57 & \(6.70 \%\) & \(7.06 \%\) & \(45.88 \%\) & Yes \\
Sale 2 & 144 & \(6.76 \%\) & \(6.76 \%\) & \(35.10 \%\) & Yes \\
Sale 3 & 84 & \(7.03 \%\) & \(6.81 \%\) & \(38.52 \%\) & Yes \\
Sale 4 & 80 & \(7.21 \%\) & \(7.21 \%\) & \(32.86 \%\) & Yes \\
Sale 5 & 78 & \(7.24 \%\) & \(7.26 \%\) & \(36.88 \%\) & Yes \\
Average & & \(6.99 \%\) & \(7.02 \%\) & \(37.85 \%\) & \\
Subject & 117 & & & \(44.26 \%\) & Yes
\end{tabular}

\section*{APPRAISAL REPORT (Cont.)}

The adjusted overall rate (Adj OAR) column in the overall rate table, above, reflects an overall rate range of \(6.76 \%\) to \(7.26 \%\) after recalculating the net income of the comparable sales to reflect the same level of reserves for replacements ( \(\$ 200\) per unit) included in the subject's expense forecast. The abstracted overall rates need to be adjusted to reflect this condition; otherwise, they would tend to understate the value of the appraised property.

As age increases, condition often declines and the overall rate tends to increase. Based on the good condition of the appraised property, the property's characteristics, the current elevated vacancy condition of the local market and the overall rate data related by the enclosed comparable sales, it is my opinion that the appropriate overall rate for capitalizing the subject's net operating income is \(7.25 \%\). The value indicated by the Income Capitalization Approach is \(\$ 9,620,000\), per the calculations below.
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The Sales Comparison Approach is based on comparative indicators that are abstracted from sales of similar properties and applied to the appraised property. It requires an ample amount of sales information to provide a reliable estimate of value.

Four local sales were found that bracket most of the characteristics of the subject, including its design, condition, unit type, size and amenities. The comparable sales are documented in the attachments and related to the appraised property in the comparable sales grid on the following page.

Sale 1 is the most recent sale, occurring in March 2018. It is of three buildings (two 24-plexes and a 9 -plex) near the NDSU campus that is primarily occupied by, and marketed to students. The 24 -plexes have owned paid heat, common laundry and garages, but no basement parking. Making it inferior to the subject. The 9-plex has tenant paid heat with in-unit washers and upscale appliances, making it more comparable to the subject. It reflects a reasonably comparable \(\mathrm{GBA} /\) unit with the subject and the different buildings bracket the age of the subject. Based on its quality, it is inferior to the subject, but its location near the region's largest public University is considered advantageous to strong occupancy. Its unadjusted price per unit of \(\$ 84,358\) is considered in line with what the subject should capture.

Sale 2 is a 2016 sale of four, three-story apartment buildings in the southeast portion of Fargo, near Davies High School. This sale included additional land and two 36 -plex foundations, the contributory value of which was not included in the sale price reflected by this approach. It has owner heat paid, garages, balconies and in-unit laundry, but was constructed in 2014, making it far superior to the subject's age and condition. This facility does not have elevators and reflects a smaller gross building area per unit. It also does not have basement parking. Basement parking is not a common attribute of most facilities in the area, and does not appear to capture a greater demand than typical facilities with on-grade garage parking, as reflected by the subject's \(13 \%\) vacancy. Due to its much newer age, Sale 2 was still considered superior.

Sale 3 is a 2015 sale of a two-building, 84-unit apartment property that is about one-mile northeast of the subject in a similar multi-family neighborhood that borders 45 th Street South. This property was constructed in 2004/2006 and has amenities including elevator access design, detached garages, dishwashers, balconies and in-unit washers/dryers. This property has owner-paid heat and hot water, and a comparable gross building area per unit size, making it a strong comparable to the subject.

Sale 4 is a 2015 sale of a two-building, 80-unit apartment property located in a similar multi-family neighborhood near a major thoroughfare in Moorhead, Minnesota. This property is located near a new Sanford Clinic in south Moorhead. This property is similar as the buildings have elevators. The amenities are similar, including detached garages, dishwashers, balconies, and in-unit washers/dryers. This property has a comparable gross building area per unit size as the subject. This property has central air conditioning and owner-paid heat and hot water, reflecting superior tenant features. It is also 2015 construction, being a full 10 -years newer than the subject. Therefore, its unadjusted sale price per unit of \(\$ 103,199\) is considered superior to the subject.
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Sale 5 is a 2010 sale, but was included being the most recently available sale of a property with basement parking. It is wood-framed construction with walk-out patios and balconies. It has ownerpaid heat with in-unit laundry. All the units are leased with a basement parking spot and a single garage like the subject. It also has a community room and fitness room like the subject. It was constructed in 2005 , like the subject. It was \(15 \%\) vacant at the time of sale. Our historic vacancy survey indicates that the metro area was at a \(6.0 \%\) vacancy condition when this sale occurred, reflecting a stronger market than the current. Based on its \(15 \%\) vacancy in a stronger market, and its status being an 8 -year old sale prior to the elevated construction costs and overbuilding trend in the current market, its unadjusted price per unit of \(\$ 65,415\) is considered inferior to the subject. As a reference, the subject property sold for about \(\$ 74,000\) per unit three months after this sale occurred.

The comparable sales indicate unadjusted sale prices per unit of \(\$ 65,415\) (Sale 5) to \(\$ 104,687\) (Sale 2), indicating that the subject's price per unit estimate should fall within this range.

The sales information demonstrates that there is a direct relationship between the value per unit and net income per unit. As the net income per unit increases, the value per unit also increases. The primary adjustments in the comparable sales grid have been based on the relationship of the net income per unit produced by the individual properties to the net income per unit produced by the subject. This "per unit" adjustment relates all the differences between the subject property and the individual comparable sale.

The adjustment process provided a per unit value range of \(\$ 82,142\) to \(\$ 88,211\). The average of this range was \(\$ 85,010\). Therefore, my forecast price per unit of \(\$ 85,000\), results in a market value estimate of \(\$ 9,945,000\).

The comparative indicators also included the effective gross income multiplier (EGIM). This indicator reflects the relationship of the total annual collected rents (Effective Gross Income, or EGI) to the sale price, as related in the following formula: Sale Price/EGI=EGIM. The enclosed sales indicate an EGIM range of 7.67 to 9.60 , with an average of 8.80 . The EGIM is sensitive to expense ratios, as indicated by the following EGIM graph. The EGIM decreases as expense ratio increases. Newer properties and properties in better than average condition tend to reflect EGIMs above the line.


Based on the subject's forecasted expense ratio of 44.26 \% and its good condition, an EGIM of 8.00 was considered appropriate for the subject. The resulting value indication from applying a 8.00 EGIM to the subject's forecasted Effective Gross Income of \(\$ 1,251,725\) is \(\$ 10,013,800\).

The comparative indicators abstracted from the comparable sales also included the price per room and the price per square foot. These indicators have little significance to the market and were not applied to the appraised property.
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The lump sum value indication and EGIM value indication formed a narrow range. The average of the value indications from the selected comparative indicators was \(\$ 9,979,400\). Based on the enclosed comparable sales and the current condition of the property, it is my opinion that the indicated value via the Sales Comparison Approach is \(\$ 9,980,000\).


\section*{Reconciliation and Value Conclusion}

Indications of value for the appraised property have been presented in this report by utilizing all three approaches to value, as follows:

Value by the Cost Approach
Value by the Income Approach
Value by the Sales Comparison Approach
\$10,079,000
\$9,620,000
\$9,980,000

The Cost Approach is applicable to valuing new or proposed construction. The subject has been in operation for more than 12 years and has an estimated effective age of about 10 years. The Cost
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Approach required a subjective depreciation estimate. Due to the overbuilt status of the metro apartment market, a substantial external obsolescence estimate was also warranted, affecting the reliability of this approach. It was weighted least heavily in the final reconciliation of value.

The Income Capitalization Approach relates the value of the property as an investment. It is one of the primary approaches used by the market in valuing apartment properties. The quality and quantity of supportive information for this approach was considered adequate, resulting in a reliable indication of value. The Income Approach produced a reliable value indication, which supported the Sales Comparison Approach value indication. Apartment properties are bought and sold based on their income potential, resulting in the Income Approach being weighted most heavily in the final reconciliation of value.

The Sales Comparison Approach included five comparable sales from the local area that bracketed most of the characteristics of the appraised property. The quality and quantity of supportive information for this approach was considered adequate, resulting in a reliable indication of value. The weakness of this approach was the availability of only one 2018 comparable sale with the rest of the sales having occurred between April 2016 and June 2010. The local market has experienced additional increases in vacancies since this period. The value indication from the Sales Comparison Approach was supportive of the Income Approach value indication, but was weighted less heavily.

Based on the appraisal analysis summarized herein, it is my opinion that the market value of the fee simple interest in the appraised property, as of May 15, 2018, was:

\section*{NINE MILLION SEVEN HUNDRED SIXTY THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$9,760,000)}

The value conclusion includes the land, building, site improvements and the personal property normally associated with an apartment building. The personal property is the movable appliances, which includes 117 washers, 117 dryers, 117 ranges and 117 refrigerators. The personal property was considered to have a market value of about \(\$ 225,000\), estimating \(\$ 350\) per range, \(\$ 800\) per refrigerator, \(\$ 300\) per washer and \(\$ 300\) per dryer.

The current balance of special assessments total \(\$ 154,876.07\). It is customary in the local area for buyers to assume the balance of unpaid special assessments since they are usually financed at below market interest rates. This is a debt against the property. Therefore, the client and intended user may choose to deduct the current balance of special assessments from the market value indications to reflect the net value for assessment purposes.

It is assumed that there are no adverse environmental conditions impacting the property. The appraiser is not an expert in determining the presence of adverse environmental conditions and other expertise should be sought, if so desired.

Two additional estimations are required in the appraisal process. These include the estimate of the typical market exposure time and the typical marketing time. Market exposure time is that period that the property is exposed to the market, prior to the date of the appraisal, which results in a sale at the
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appraised value. Marketing time is the period after the date of appraisal, which is required to affect a sale at the appraised value.

The comparable sales information is usually the basis for the best indication of the appropriate market exposure time. The enclosed sales related transactions where knowledgeable buyers and sellers came together without typical market exposure for the property to a property that was exposed to the market for about four months. Apartment properties experience strong demand in the local area and have short exposure time periods of only two to four months. Sales exceeding one year tend to indicate properties that are initially overpriced.

It is noteworthy that market exposure time is a process. It requires the listing of the property, exposure of the property to the market, negotiations of the sale between the buyer and the seller, due diligence of the buyer and additional time to obtain financing. Considering this process and the characteristics of the appraised property, it is my opinion that the typical market exposure time period reflected by the value conclusion herein is six to twelve months.

Due to the stability of the local market, it is my opinion that the typical marketing time period would also be six to twelve months, based on the value conclusion.

\section*{Assumptions and Limiting Conditions}
1. This is an Appraisal Report that is intended to comply with the reporting requirements set forth under Standards Rule 2 of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice. It provides a summary of the data, reasoning, and analyses that were used in the appraisal process to develop the appraiser's opinion of value. Supporting documentation concerning the data, reasoning, and analyses is retained in the appraiser's file. The depth of discussion contained in this report is specific to the needs of the client and for the intended use. The appraiser is not responsible for unauthorized use of this report.
2. No responsibility is assumed by thfor matters that are legal in nature. The title is assumed to be good and marketable and the legal description, as furnished to me by others, is assumed to be true and correct.
3. The property is appraised as if free and clear of any or all liens and encumbrances unless otherwise stated in this report.
4. Responsible ownership and competent property management are assumed unless otherwise stated in this report.
5. The information furnished by others is believed to be reliable. However, no warranty is given for its accuracy.
6. All engineering is assumed to be correct. Any plot plans and illustrative material in this report are included only to assist the reader in visualizing the property.
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7. It is assumed that there are no hidden or unapparent conditions of the property, subsoil, or structures that render it more or less valuable. No responsibility is assumed for such conditions or for arranging for engineering studies that may be required to discover them.
8. It is assumed that there is full compliance with all applicable federal, state, and local environmental regulations and laws unless otherwise stated in this report.
9. It is assumed that all applicable zoning and use regulations and restrictions have been complied with, unless non-conformity has been identified, defined, and considered in the appraisal report.
10. It is assumed that all required licenses, certificates of occupancy, consents or other legislative or administrative authority from any local, state or national governmental or private entity or organization have been or can be obtained or renewed for any use on which the value estimates in this report are based.
11. Any sketch in this report may show approximate dimensions and is included to assist the reader in visualizing the property. Maps and exhibits found in this report are provided for reader reference purposes only. No guarantee as to accuracy is expressed or implied unless otherwise stated in this report. No survey has been made for the purpose of this report.
12. It is assumed that the utilization of the land and improvements is confined within the boundaries or property lines of the property described and that there are no encroachments or trespasses unless otherwise stated in this report.
13. The appraiser is not qualified to detect hazardous waste and/or toxic materials. Any comment by the appraiser that might suggest the possibility of the presence of such substances should not be taken as confirmation of the presence of hazardous waste and/or toxic materials. Such determination would require investigation by a qualified expert in the field of environmental assessment. The presence of substances such as asbestos, urea-formaldehyde foam insulation or other potentially hazardous materials may affect the value of the property. The appraiser's value estimate is predicated on the assumption that there is no such material on or in the property that would cause a loss in value, unless otherwise stated in this report. No responsibility is assumed for any environmental conditions, or for any expertise or engineering knowledge required to discover them. The appraiser's descriptions and resulting comments are the result of the routine observations made during the appraisal process.
14. Unless otherwise stated in this report, the subject property is appraised without a specific compliance survey having been conducted to determine if the property is or is not in conformance with the requirements of the Americans with Disabilities Act. The presence of architectural and communications barriers that are structural in nature that would restrict access by disabled individuals may adversely affect the property's value, marketability, or utility.
15. The distribution, if any, of the total valuation in this report between land and improvements applies only under the stated program of utilization. The separate allocations for land and buildings must not be used in conjunction with any other appraisal and are invalid if so used.
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16. Possession of this report, or a copy thereof, does not carry with it the right of publication. It may not be used for any purpose by any person other than the party to whom it is addressed without the written consent of the appraiser, and in any event, only with proper written qualification and only in its entirety.
17. Neither all nor any part of the contents of this report (especially any conclusions as to value, the identity of the appraiser, or the firm with which the appraiser is connected) shall be disseminated to the public through advertising, public relations, news sales, or other media without the prior written consent and approval of the appraiser.

\section*{Certification}

I certify that, to the best of my knowledge and belief:
- The statements of fact contained in this report are true and correct.
- The reported analyses, opinions and conclusions are limited only by the reported assumptions and limiting conditions, and is my personal, impartial, and unbiased professional analyses, opinions and conclusions.
- I have performed no services, as an appraiser or in any other capacity, regarding the property that is the subject of this report within the three-year period immediately preceding acceptance of this assignment.
- I have no present or prospective interest in the property that is the subject of this report, and no personal interest with respect to the parties involved.
- I have no bias with respect to the property that is the subject of this report or to the parties involved with this assignment.
- My engagement in this assignment was not contingent upon developing or reporting predetermined results.
- My compensation for completing this assignment is not contingent upon the development or reporting of a predetermined value or direction in value that favors the cause of the client, the amount of the value opinion, the attainment of a stipulated result, or the occurrence of a subsequent event directly related to the intended use of this appraisal.
- My analyses, opinions, and conclusions were developed, and this report has been prepared, in conformity with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice and in accordance with the Code of Professional Ethics of the Appraisal Institute.
- The use of this report is subject to the requirements of the Appraisal Institute relating to review by its duly authorized representatives.
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- As of the date of this report, I, Petter N. Eriksmoen, have completed the Standards and Ethics Education Requirements for Candidates of the Appraisal Institute.
- No one provided significant real property appraisal assistance to the person signing this certification.
- The appraisal assignment was not based on a requested minimum valuation or specific valuation or approval of a loan.
- I have viewed the appraised property and took photographs and viewed the interior and exterior of the appraised property.

Osgood Place Apartments
5050 40th Avenue South
Fargo, North Dakota
APPRAISAL SERVICES INC.


Petter N. Eriksmoen
ND Certified General Real Property Appraiser \#CG-21517 MN Certified General Real Property Appraiser License \#40367366
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Walk Out Patio
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\section*{CONSTRUCTION FIGURES}

\section*{Comparable Site Sales}

\section*{Osgood Place Apartments}

Subject
Sale 1
Sale 2
Sale 3
Sale 4
Sale 5


Date of Sale:
Property Address:
Data on Recording:
Grantor:
Grantee:
Verified To: Petter Eriksmoen
Legal Description:

1/20/2017
4462 30th Avenue S
Fargo, ND
Warranty Deed\# 1501579
Gate City Bank
Fargo Housing \& Redevelopment
Verified By: Records
Lot 3, Block 2, Calico Prairie Addition to the City of Fargo, Cass County, North Dakota.

MR-3, Multiple Dwelling 168,684 Sq. Ft.
\$1,286,664.00
\(\$ 7.63 /\) sq. ft.

Listed at \(\$ 7.50 /\) sf plus special since May 2015. Went under contract in September 2016 for \(\$ 1,200,000\) plus specials. Zoned LC at time of listing and contract. Buyer had re-zoned Zoned LC at time of listing and contract. Buyer had re-
to MR-3. Sale price includes \(\$ 86,664\) of unpaid special assessments.

Zoning:
Land Size:
Frontage:
Sale Price:
Sale Price Per Unit:
Remarks:


\section*{Sale Number Z-94}

Date of Sale:
Property Address:
Data on Recording:
Grantor:
Grantee:
Verified To: Neal Eriksmoen Legal Description:

9/19/2016
4835 38th Street South
Fargo, ND
Warranty Deed \#1490763
Fred Hector, Jr.
Edition Partners, LLP
Verified By: Records / Buyer
Lot 1, Block 1, Edition Addition to the City of Fargo, Cass County, North Dakota. (PID 01-8626-00100-000)

MR-3, Multi-Family Residential
870,464 Sq. Ft. 19.98 Acres
1,485 feet on 38th Street South
\$4,351,703.00
\$5.00/sq. ft. \$217,803/acre
Vacant parcel along Interstate 29 frontage road. Sale price includes unpaid special assessment balance of \(\$ 869,847\) assumed by the buyer.


\section*{Sale Number YN-71}

Date of Sale:
Property Address:
Data on Recording:
Grantor:
Grantee:
Verified To: Jeff Mangen Legal Description:

11/18/2015
4245 28th Avenue South
Fargo, ND
Warranty Deed \#1463904
AIR Properties, LLC
Beyond Shelter, Inc.
Verified By: Buyer's Representative
Lots 1, 2 and 3, Block 1, Autumn Fields Second Addition to the City of Fargo, Cass County, North Dakota.

GO, General Office
377,412 Sq. Ft.
\(562.15^{\prime}\) on 42 nd \(\mathrm{St} \mathrm{S}, 647.07\) ' on 28th Ave S
\$2,172,587.00
\(\$ 5.76 /\) sq. ft.
Frontage also includes 344.53' on Saffron Drive South and \(680.02^{\prime}\) on 26th Avenue South of which only approximately \(180^{\prime}\) are paved. Property has conditional use permit for multifamily development. Sale price includes unpaid special assessment balance of \(\$ 272,587.13\) assumed by the buyer.


> Sale Number Z-75

Date of Sale:
Property Address:
Data on Recording:
Grantor:
Grantee:
Verified To: SMM
Legal Description:

6/30/2014
4700 46th Street S
Fargo, ND
Warranty Deed\# 1420862
J \& O Real Estate, LLC
45th Street Group Apartments \#3 LLC
Verified By: Records
Lot 1, Block 2, Osgood Townsite 12th Addition to the city of Fargo, Cass County, North Dakota.

Zoning:
Land Size:
Frontage:
Sale Price:
Sale Price Per Unit:
Remarks:

MR-3, Multiple Dwelling
\(120,280 \mathrm{Sq} . \mathrm{Ft}\).
\$748,665.00
\(\$ 6.22 /\) sq. ft.
The site is being developed with a 42 unit apartment building. Sales price includes SPUNS \(=\$ 298,665.09\)


Date of Sale:
Property Address:
Data on Recording:
Grantor:
Grantee:
Verified To: PNE
Legal Description:

4/1/2014
34th Ave \& Veterans Blvd
West Fargo, ND
WD 1413842
Atlas Capital, LLC
Shadow Bay Apartments, LLC
Verified By: Records/Buyer
Lot 2, Block 1, Shadow Wood 6th Addition to the City of West Fargo, Cass County, North Dakota

R-3, Multiple Dwelling
\(368,244 \mathrm{Sq}\). Ft.
\(690^{\prime}\) on Veterans Boulevard
\$2,088,251.00
\$5.67/sq. ft.
Vacant parcel purchased for development of 180-unit apartment complex. Direct access from Veterans Blyd to be installed. Sale price includes \(\$ 627,251\) balance of special assessments assumed by the buyer. Sale was second transfer because Shadow Bay entity was not set up for original purchase from Shadow Ridge Estates II, LLC. No discount in sale price.


Date of Sale:
Property Address:
Data on Recording:
Grantor:
Grantee:
Verified To: PNE
Legal Description:

Zoning:
Land Size:
Frontage:
Sale Price:
Sale Price Per Unit:
Remarks:

4/1/2014
815 34th Ave E
West Fargo, ND
WD 1413842
Atlas Capital, LLC
Shadow Bay Apartments, LLC
Verified By: Records/Buyer
Lot 2, Block 1, Shadow Wood 6th Addition to the City of West Fargo, Cass County, North Dakota
\(\mathrm{R}-3\), Multiple Dwelling
\(368,244 \mathrm{Sq}\). Ft.
690' on Veterans Boulevard
\$2,088,251.00
\$5.67/sq. ft.
Vacant parcel purchased for development of 180-unit apartment complex. Direct access from Veterans Blvd to be installed. Sale price includes \(\$ 627,251\) balance of special assessments assumed by the buyer. Sale was second transfer because Shadow Bay entity was not set up for original purchase from Shadow Ridge Estates II, LLC. No discount in sale price.


\section*{INCOME CAPITALIZATION APPROACH ATTACHMENTS}

\section*{Rent Roll with Lease Charges}

Pacific Ridge Osgood Place, LP (r-proplc)
As of: 05/11/2018
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Unit & Unit type & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Unit } \\
& \text { Sq Ft }
\end{aligned}
\] & Resident & Name & Market Rent & Charge Code & Amount & Resident Deposit & Other Deposit & Move In & Lease Expiration & Move-Out & Balance \\
\hline \multicolumn{14}{|l|}{Current/Notice Residents} \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{OP-101} & .092/2. & 1,354 & \(t 0023262\) & Susie Buretta & 990.00 & & 990.00 & 250.00 & 0.00 & 9/29/2017 & 9/30/2018 & & 0.00 \\
\hline & & & & & & Total & 990.00 & & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{OP-103} & .og2/2. & 1,271 & t0015754 & Joan Swanson & 970.00 & & 970.00 & 250.00 & 0.001 & 12/12/2016 & 12/31/2018 & & -970.00 \\
\hline & & & & & & Total & 970.00 & & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{OP-104} & . \(0 \mathrm{~g} 2 / 2\). & 1,271 & t0007703 & Brittany Davis & 970.00 & & 1,020.00 & 250.00 & 0.00 & 5/1/2015 & 4/30/2018 & 5/31/2018 & 185.00 \\
\hline & & & & & & Total & 1,020.00 & & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{OP-105} & . \(0.2 / 2\). & 1,271 & t0005690 & Aaron Cutlip & 970.00 & rent & 970.00 & 250.00 & 0.00 & 6/1/2013 & 5/31/2018 & & 0.00 \\
\hline & & & & . & & Total & 970.00 & & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{OP-106} & .092/2. & 1,271 & t0008114 & Adam Miller & 970.00 & & 970.00 & 250.00 & 0.00 & 8/24/2015 & 8/31/2019 & & 0.00 \\
\hline & & & & & & Total & 970.00 & & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{OP-107} & . \(0 \mathrm{~g} 2 / 2\). & 1,271 & t0010223 & Taioc Munoz & 970.00 & & 1,020.00 & 250.00 & 0.00 & 3/19/2016 & 4/30/2018 & 5/31/2018 & 0.00 \\
\hline & & & & & & Total & 1,020.00 & & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{OP-108} & .092/2. & 1,271 & t0018910 & Joseph Radmanovich & 970.00 & rent & 970.00 & 250.00 & 0.00 & 5/11/2017 & 5/31/2018 & & 0.00 \\
\hline & & & & & & Total & 970.00 & & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{OP-109} & .og3/2. & 1,465 & t0023707 & Ricardo Castillo & 1,120.00 & & 1,120.00 & 300.00 & 0.001 & 10/28/2017 & 10/31/2018 & & -540.48 \\
\hline & & & & & & Total & 1,120.00 & & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{OP-110} & .0g1/1. & 828 & VACANT & VACANT & 820.00 & & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & & & & 0.00 \\
\hline & & & & & & Total & 0.00 & & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{OP-113} & .og3/2. & 1,577 & t0007416 & Betty Vorwerk & 1,140.00 & rent & 1,140.00 & 300.00 & 0.001 & 11/14/2014 & 5/31/2019 & & 0.00 \\
\hline & & & & & & Total & \(1,140.00\) & & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{OP-114} & .og3/2. & 1,577 & t0020671 & Ann Grotiohn & 1,140.00 & & 1,140.00 & 300.00 & 0.00 & 9/15/2017 & 9/30/2018 & & 2,250.00 \\
\hline & & & & & & Total & 1,140.00 & & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{OP-115} & .og1/1. & 966 & t0032600 & Marissa Lindemoen & 860.00 & incent & -860.00 & 200.00 & 0.00 & 4/27/2018 & 4/30/2019 & & -860.00 \\
\hline & & & & & & rent & 860.00 & & & & & & \\
\hline & & & & & & Total & 0.00 & & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{OP-116} & .og1/1. & 966 & VACANT & VACANT & 860.00 & & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & & & & 0.00 \\
\hline & & & & & & Total & 0.00 & & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{OP-117} & .092/2. & 1,271 & t0007790 & Lance Greff & 970.00 & rent & 970.00 & 250.00 & 0.00 & 6/1/2015 & 5/31/2020 & & 0.00 \\
\hline & & & & & & Total & 970.00 & & & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{14}{|r|}{Page 2} \\
\hline Unit & Unit type & Unit SqFt & Resident & Name & Market Rent & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Charge } \\
& \text { Code }
\end{aligned}
\] & Amount & Resident Deposit & Other Deposit & Move In & Lease Expiration & Move-Out & Balance \\
\hline \multicolumn{14}{|l|}{Current/Notice Residents} \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{OP-118} & .og2/2. & 1,271 & t0021226 & Max Hager & 970.00 & & 970.00 & 250.00 & 0.00 & 8/15/2017 & 8/31/2018 & 8/31/2018 & 0.00 \\
\hline & & & & & & Total & 970.00 & & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{OP-119} & .og3/2. & 1,465 & VACANT & VACANT & 1,675.00 & & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & & & & 0.00 \\
\hline & & & & & & Total & 0.00 & & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{OP-120} & .0g1/1. & 828 & t0010511 & Marvin Kaseman & 820.00 & \begin{tabular}{l}
incent \\
rent
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{array}{r}
-820.00 \\
820.00
\end{array}
\] & 200.00 & 0.00 & 4/6/2016 & 4/30/2019 & 4/30/2019 & -820.00 \\
\hline & & & & & & Total & 0.00 & & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{OP-121} & .092/2. & 1,354 & t0010702 & Paul Sire & 990.00 & incent & -990.00 & 250.00 & 0.00 & 5/1/2016 & 4/30/2019 & & 0.00 \\
\hline & & & & & & rent & 990.00 & & & & & & \\
\hline & & & & & & Total & 0.00 & & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{OP-122} & .0g1/1. & 869 & VACANT & VACANT & 840.00 & & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & & & & 0.00 \\
\hline & & & & & & Total & 0.00 & & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{OP-123} & .og2/2. & 1,271 & t0006073 & Susan Smith & 970.00 & rent & 970.00 & 250.00 & 0.00 & 10/1/2013 & 9/30/2019 & & 0.00 \\
\hline & & & & & & Total & 970.00 & & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{OP-124} & .og2/2. & 1,271 & t0007776 & Marjorie Conyers & 970.00 & & 970.00 & 250.00 & 0.00 & 5/31/2015 & 11/30/2018 & & -550.00 \\
\hline & & & & & & Total & 970.00 & & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{OP-125} & .0g2/2. & 1,271 & t0025065 & Murina Sackie & 970.00 & rent & 970.00 & 250.00 & 0.00 & 12/1/2017 & 11/30/2018 & & -490.00 \\
\hline & & & & & & Total & 970.00 & & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{OP-126} & . \(0.92 / 2\). & 1,271 & VACANT & VACANT & 970.00 & & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & & & & 0.00 \\
\hline & & & & & & Total & 0.00 & & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{OP-127} & . \(0 \mathrm{~g} 2 / 2\). & 1,271 & t0025378 & Adam Landin & 970.00 & rent & 970.00 & 250.00 & 0.00 & 12/1/2017 & 11/30/2018 & & -10.00 \\
\hline & & & & & & Total & 970.00 & & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{OP-128} & .og2/2. & 1,271 & t0015261 & Neha Bhogal & 970.00 & rent & 1,020.00 & 250.00 & 0.00 & 10/30/2016 & 11/30/2018 & & 50.00 \\
\hline & & & & & & Total & 1,020.00 & & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{OP-129} & .093/2. & 1,465 & t0018316 & Margo Glogoza & 1,120.00 & rent & 1,120.00 & 300.00 & 0.00 & 6/22/2017 & 6/30/2018 & & 0.00 \\
\hline & & & & & & Total & 1,120.00 & & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{OP-130} & .091/1. & 828 & t0012503 & Katie Skadeland & 820.00 & rent & 820.00 & 200.00 & 0.00 & 8/26/2016 & 8/31/2018 & 8/31/2018 & 0.00 \\
\hline & & & & & & Total & 820.00 & & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{OP-131} & .0g1/1. & 869 & VACANT & VACANT & 840.00 & & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & & & & 0.00 \\
\hline & & & & & & Total & 0.00 & & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{OP-132} & .092/2. & 1,354 & t0019088 & Shayla Gustafson & 990.00 & rent & 990.00 & 250.00 & 0.00 & 6/26/2017 & 6/30/2018 & & 0.00 \\
\hline & & & & & & Total & 990.00 & & & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Unit} & & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Unit Sq Ft} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Resident} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Name} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Market Rent} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Charge Code} & & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Resident Deposit} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Other
Deposit} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Move In} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Lease Expiration} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{} & Page 3 \\
\hline & Unit type & & & & & & Amount & & & & & & Balance \\
\hline \multicolumn{14}{|l|}{Current/Notice Residents Balance} \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{OP-133} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{.0g2/2.} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{1,271} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{t0005195} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Eric Buringrud} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{970.00} & & 970.00 & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{250.00} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{0.00} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{12/1/2012} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{11/30/2018} & & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{0.00} \\
\hline & & & & & & Total & 970.00 & & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{OP-134} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{. \(0 \mathrm{~g} 2 / 2\).} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{1,271} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{t0024084} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Jeremiah Gwaro} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{970.00} & rent & 970.00 & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{250.00} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{0.00} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{10/1/2017} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{9/30/2018} & & \multirow{2}{*}{0.00} \\
\hline & & & & & & Total & 970.00 & & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{OP-135} & . \(0 \mathrm{~g} 2 / 2\). & 1,271 & t0007261 & Joseph Carter & 970.00 & & 970.00 & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{250.00} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\(0.0011 / 15 / 2014\)} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{11/30/2018} & & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{1,000.00} \\
\hline & & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{1,271} & & & & Total & 970.00 & & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{OP-136} & .og2/2. & & t0006439 & Douglas Miller & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{970.00} & rent & 970.00 & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{250.00} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{0.00} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{2/14/2014} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{3/31/2019} & & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{0.00} \\
\hline & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{.og2/2.} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{1,271} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{t0010725} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Zachary Akers} & & Total & 970.00 & & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{OP-137} & & & & & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{970.00} & rent & 970.00 & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{250.00} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{0.00} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{5/31/2016} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{5/31/2018} & & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{0.00} \\
\hline & & & & & & Total & 970.00 & & & & & & \\
\hline OP-138 & .og2/2. & 1,271 & t0017482 & Andrea Eide & 970.00 & rent & 970.00 & 250,00 & 0.00 & 4/20/2017 & 4/30/2019 & & 0.00 \\
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{OP-139} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{.0g3/2.} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{1,465} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{t0027071} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Misty Miller} & \multirow{3}{*}{1,120,00} & Total & 970.00 & \multirow{3}{*}{300,00} & \multirow[t]{3}{*}{} & & & & \\
\hline & & & & & & rent & 1,120.00 & & & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{12/28/2017} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{12/31/2018} & & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{0.00} \\
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{OR-140} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{.091/1.} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{828} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{VACANT} & & & Total & 1,120.00 & & & & & & \\
\hline & & & & VACANT & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{820.00} & & 0.00 & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{0.00} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{0.00} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{} & & & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{0.00} \\
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{OP-201} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{.og2/2.} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{1,354} & & & & Total & 0.00 & & & & & & \\
\hline & & & t0014846 & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Willam Winter} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{1,625.00} & rent & 1,625.00 & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{812.50} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{0.00} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{9/29/2016} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{10/31/2016} & & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{1,625.00} \\
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{OP-202} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{.og1/1.} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{869} & & & & Total & 1,625.00 & & & & & & \\
\hline & & & VACANT & VACANT & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{1,405.00} & & 0.00 & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{0.00} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{0.00} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{} & & & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{0.00} \\
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{OP-203} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{.092/2.} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{1,271} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{t0012501} & & & Total & 0.00 & & & & & & \\
\hline & & & & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Bryan Bloemendaal} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{960.00} & rent & 960.00 & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{250.00} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{0.00} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{6/17/2016} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{6/30/2018} & & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{0.00} \\
\hline \multirow{3}{*}{OP-204} & \multirow{3}{*}{. \(0.92 / 2\)} & \multirow{3}{*}{1,271} & & & & Total & 960.00 & & & & & & \\
\hline & & & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{t0002683} & \multirow[t]{3}{*}{Sharon Larson} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{960.00} & incent & -960.00 & \multirow[t]{3}{*}{250.00} & \multirow[t]{3}{*}{0.00} & \multirow[t]{3}{*}{5/1/2007} & \multirow[t]{3}{*}{4/30/2019} & & \multirow[t]{3}{*}{0.00} \\
\hline & & & & & & rent & 960.00 & & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{OP-205} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{. \(0 \mathrm{~g} 2 / 2\).} & & & & & Total & 0.00 & & & & & & \\
\hline & & 1,271 & t0021999 & Ashley Meehan & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{960.00} & rent & 960.00 & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{250.00} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{0.00} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{9/22/2017} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{9/30/2018} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{5/31/2018} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{0.00} \\
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{OP-206} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{. \(0 \mathrm{~g} 2 / 2\).} & & & & & Total & 960.00 & & & & & & \\
\hline & & 1,271 & t0004984 & Greg Hanson & 960.00 & rent & 1,010.00 & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{250.00} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{0.00} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{10/1/2012} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{9/30/2014} & & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{0.00} \\
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{OP-207} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{. \(0 \mathrm{~g} 2 / 2\).} & & & & & Total & 1,010.00 & & & & & & \\
\hline & & 1,271 & t0002713 & Douglas Neison & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{960.00} & rent & 960.00 & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{250.00} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{0.00} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{6/23/2007} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{12/31/2018} & & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{0.00} \\
\hline \multirow{3}{*}{OP-208} & & & & & & Total & 960.00 & & & & & & \\
\hline & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{. \(0 \mathrm{~g} 2 / 2\).} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{1,271} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\(t 0005783\)} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Phebe Freeland} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{960.00} & rent & 960.00 & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{250.00} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{0.00} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{6/1/2013} & 5/31/2018 & & 0.00 \\
\hline & & & & & & Total & 960.00 & & & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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Rent Roll with Lease Charges
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Unit & Unit type & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Unit } \\
& \text { Sq Ft }
\end{aligned}
\] & Resident & Name & Market Rent & Charge Code & Amount & Resident Deposit & Other
Deposit & Move In & Lease Expiration & & Page 7 \\
\hline \multicolumn{14}{|l|}{Current/Notice Residents Balance} \\
\hline OP-317 & .og2/2. & 1,271 & t0027980 & Lynelda Rodriguez & 980.00 & & 980.00 & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{250.00} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{0.00} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{2/1/2018} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{1/31/2019} & & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{0.00} \\
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{OP-318} & & & & & & Total & 980.00 & & & & & & \\
\hline & .og2/2. & 1,271 & t0021928 & Trista Curry & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{980.00} & rent & 980.00 & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{250.00} & 0.00 & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{9/1/2017} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{8/31/2018} & & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{0.00} \\
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{OP-319} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{.og3/2.} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{1,465} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{t0005599} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Steve Resell} & & Total & 980.00 & & & & & & \\
\hline & & & & & 1,130.00 & rent & 1,130.00 & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{300.00} & 0.00 & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{5/17/2013} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{5/31/2019} & & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{0.00} \\
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{OP-320} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{.091/1.} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{828} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{t0004536} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Chetan Maingi} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{830.00} & Total & 1,130.00 & & & & & & \\
\hline & & & & & & rent & 880.00 & 200.00 & 0.00 & 5/11/2012 & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{11/30/2012} & & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{-5.00} \\
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{OP-321} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{. \(0.2 / 2\).} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{1,354} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{t0008446} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Kelli Brevoort} & & Total & 880.00 & & & & & & \\
\hline & & & & & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{1,000.00} & rent & 1,000.00 & 250.00 & 0.00 & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{11/9/2015} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{5/31/2019} & & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{0.00} \\
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{OP-322} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{.og1/1.} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{869} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{VACANT} & & & Total & 1,000.00 & & & & & & \\
\hline & & & & VACANT & 850.00 & & 0.00 & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{0.00} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{0.00} & & & & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{0.00} \\
\hline & & & & & & Total & 0.00 & & & & & & \\
\hline OP-323 & .0g2/2. & 1,271 & t0017401 & Stephanie Deemer & 980.00 & rent & 980.00 & 250.00 & 0.00 & 6/1/2017 & 5/31/2018 & & . 00 \\
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{OP-324} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{. \(0 \mathrm{~g} 2 / 2\).} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{1,271} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{t0003224} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Roxianne Gau} & \multirow{3}{*}{980.00} & Total & 980.00 & \multirow{3}{*}{250.00} & & & & & \\
\hline & & & & & & rent & 980.00 & & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{0.00} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{4/1/2011} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{12/31/2018} & & \multirow{2}{*}{-5.00} \\
\hline & & & & & & Total & 980.00 & & & & & & \\
\hline OP-325 & . \(0.092 / 2\) & 1,271 & t0028235 & Dino Musielewicz & 980.00 & rent & 980.00 & 250.00 & 0.00 & 2/1/2018 & 7/31/2018 & 7/31/2018 & 0.00 \\
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{OP-326} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{. \(0 \mathrm{~g} 2 / 2\).} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{1,271} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{t0002891} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Brooke Brekke} & \multirow{3}{*}{980.00} & Total & 980.00 & & & & & & \\
\hline & & & & & & rent & 1,030.00 & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{250.00} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{0.00} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{7/30/2009} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{4/30/2015} & & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{0.00} \\
\hline & & & & & & Total & 1,030.00 & & & & & & \\
\hline OP-327 & . \(0.92 / 2\). & 1,271 & t0006291 & Lakshmi Yervasu & 980.00 & rent & 980.00 & 250.00 & 0.00 & 11/1/2013 & 1/31/2019 & & 980.00 \\
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{OP-328} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{. \(092 / 2\).} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{1,271} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{t0022130} & \multirow{3}{*}{Christopher Walton} & \multirow{3}{*}{980.00} & Total & 980.00 & & & & & & 0.00 \\
\hline & & & & & & rent & 980.00 & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{250.00} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{0.00} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{9/1/2017} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{8/31/2018} & & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{0.00} \\
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{OP-329} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{.0g3/2.} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{1,465} & & & & Total & 980.00 & & & & & & \\
\hline & & & t0012302 & Daniel Cervantez & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{1,130.00} & rent & 1,130.00 & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{300.00} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{0.00} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{6/28/2016} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{6/30/2018} & & \multirow{2}{*}{0.00} \\
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{OP-330} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{.091/1.} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{828} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{t0008957} & & & Total & 1,130.00 & & & & & & \\
\hline & & & & Morgan Tupa & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{830.00} & rent & 830.00 & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{200.00} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\(0.0012 / 30 / 2015\)} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{12/31/2018} & & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{0.00} \\
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{OP-331} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{. 0 1/1.} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{869} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{t0031411} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Devin Murray} & & Total & 830.00 & & & & & & \\
\hline & & & & & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{850.00} & rent & 850.00 & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{200.00} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{0.00} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{4/1/2018} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{3/31/2019} & & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{0.00} \\
\hline \multirow{3}{*}{OP-332} & \multirow{3}{*}{.og2/2.} & \multirow{3}{*}{1,354} & \multirow{3}{*}{t0011663} & \multirow{3}{*}{Barbara Elt:} & & Total & 850.00 & & & & & & \\
\hline & & & & & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{1,000.00} & rent & 1,000.00 & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{250.00} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{0.00} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{7/1/2016} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{6/30/2019} & & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{0.00} \\
\hline & & & & & & Total & 1,000.00 & & & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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Friday, May 11, 2018
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Unit & Unit type & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Unit } \\
& \text { Sq Ft }
\end{aligned}
\] & Resident & Name & Market Rent & Charge Code & Amount & Resident Deposit & Other Deposit & Move In & Lease Expiration & & Page 8 \\
\hline \multicolumn{14}{|l|}{Current/Notice Residents Exalance} \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{OP-333} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{.og2/2.} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{1,271} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{t0006188} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Carol Bjerke} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{980.00} & rent & 980.00 & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{250.00} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{0.00} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{10/1/2013} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{9/30/2018} & & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{980.00} \\
\hline & & & & & & Total & 980.00 & & & & & & \\
\hline OP-334 & . 0 2/2. & 1,271 & t0006413 & Shannon Miller & 980.00 & rent & 980.00 & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{250.00} & 0.00 & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{2/1/2014} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{1/31/2017} & & \multirow{2}{*}{0.00} \\
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{OP-335} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{.092/2.} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{1,271} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{t0005051} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Barbara Olson} & & Total & 980.00 & & & & & & \\
\hline & & & & & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{980.00} & rent & 980.00 & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{250.00} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{0.00 10/20/2012} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{10/31/2019} & & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{0.00} \\
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{OP-336} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{. 0 2/2/2.} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{1,271} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{t0007497} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Richard Allen} & & Total & 980.00 & & & & & & \\
\hline & & & & & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{980.00} & rent & 980.00 & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{250.00} & 0.00 & 1/30/2015 & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{1/31/2019} & & \multirow{2}{*}{0.00} \\
\hline & & & & & & Total & 980.00 & & & & & & \\
\hline OP-337 & .og2/2. & 1,271 & t0008430 & Joshua Brelje & 980.00 & rent & 980.00 & 250.00 & 0.00 & 11/12/2015 & 5/31/20 & /31/20 & \\
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{OP-338} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{.og2/2.} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{1,271} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{t0022440} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Sharon Evenson} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{980.00} & Total & 980.00 & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{250.00} & & & & 1/2018 & 0.00 \\
\hline & & & & & & rent & 980.00 & & 0.00 & 9/1/2017 & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{8/31/2018} & & \multirow{2}{*}{0.00} \\
\hline & & & & & & Total & 980.00 & & & & & & \\
\hline OP-339 & .093/2. & 1,465 & t0018330 & Austin Noyes & 1,130.00 & rent & 1,130.00 & 300.00 & 0.00 & 6/18/2017 & 6/30/20 & & \\
\hline \multirow{3}{*}{OP-340} & \multirow{3}{*}{.og1/1.} & \multirow{3}{*}{828} & \multirow{3}{*}{t0008358} & \multirow{3}{*}{Jerilyn Chesley} & \multirow{3}{*}{830.00} & Total & 1,130.00 & & & & 6/30/2018 & & 0,00 \\
\hline & & & & & & rent & 830.00 & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{200.00} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{0.00} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{9/17/2015} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{9/30/2018} & & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{0.00} \\
\hline & & & & & & Total & 830.00 & & & & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{14}{|l|}{Future Residents/Applicants} \\
\hline OP-107 & .og2/2. & 1,271 & t0033710 & Ashleigh Burbank & 970.00 & & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 6/1/2018 & 5/31/2019 & & 0.00 \\
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{OP-116} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{.og1/1.} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{966} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{t0031838} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Mariah Bertolotto} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{860.00 Total}} & 0.00 & \multirow{3}{*}{0.00} & & & & & 0.00 \\
\hline & & & & & & & 0.00 & & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{0.00} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{6/1/2018} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{5/31/2019} & & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{0.00} \\
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{OP-126} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{.0g2/2.} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{1,271} & & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Michael Carpenter} & & Total & 0.00 & & & & & & \\
\hline & & & t0032424 & & 970.00 & & 0.00 & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{0.00} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{0.00} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{6/1/2018} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{5/31/2019} & & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{250.00} \\
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{OP-202} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{. 0 1/1.} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{869} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{t0032497} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Timothy Regan} & & Total & 0.00 & & & & & & \\
\hline & & & & & 1,405.00 & & 0.00 & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{705.00} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{0.00} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{6/1/2018} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{11/30/2018} & & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{0.00} \\
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{OP-325} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{. 0 2/2.} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{1,271} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{10032389} & & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{\({ }^{\text {Total }}\)}} & 0.00 & & & & & & \\
\hline & & & & Makayla Schroeder & & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Total} & 0.00 & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{250.00} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{0.00} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{8/1/2018} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{7/31/2019} & & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{0.00} \\
\hline \multirow{3}{*}{OP-337} & \multirow{3}{*}{. \(0 \mathrm{~g} 2 / 2\).} & \multirow{3}{*}{1,271} & \multirow{3}{*}{t0033506} & \multirow{3}{*}{Morgan Paige} & 980.00 & & 0.00 & & & & & & \\
\hline & & & & & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{980.00} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Total} & 0.00 & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{250.00} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{0.00} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{6/1/2018} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{5/31/2019} & & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{0.00} \\
\hline & & & & & & & 0.00 & & & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


Summary of Charges by Charge Code (Current/Notice residents oniy)
\begin{tabular}{lr} 
incent & \(-4,760.00\) \\
rent & \(102,475.00\) \\
\cline { 3 - 3 } Total & \(\mathbf{9 7 , 7 1 5 . 0 0}\)
\end{tabular}
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\section*{For The Period Ending 12/31/2017}
\begin{tabular}{l|l|l} 
& Month to Date & \(\%\) \\
\hline INCOME & & Year to Date
\end{tabular}

RENT INCOME
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Rent} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{101,779.52} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{104.32} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{1,297,453.78} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{99.22} \\
\hline & & & & \\
\hline NET RENT INCO & -5,810.00 & -5.96 & -15,820.00 & -1.21 \\
\hline & 95,969.52 & 98.37 & 1,281,633.78 & 98.01 \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{OTHER INCOME} \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{Passthru App. Processing Fee 200.00} \\
\hline Passthru Repair \& Maintenance & 0.00 & 0.21 & 3,435.00 & 0.26 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Passthru Re-rental Fee} & 0.00 & 0.00 & 3,842.36 & 0.29 \\
\hline & 150.00 & 0.15 & 300.00 & 0.02 \\
\hline Passthru Miscellaneous & 0.00 & 0.00 & 50.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Passthru Utilities} & 333.50 & 0.34 & 6,230.00 & 0.48 \\
\hline & 0.00 & 0.00 & 333.71 & \\
\hline Miscellaneous Income & 710.00 & & & 0.03 \\
\hline Vending \& Laundry Income & & 0.73 & 7,062.00 & 0.54 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Interest Income} & 18.35 & 0.02 & 1,010.93 & 0.08 \\
\hline & 9.56 & 0.01 & 14.06 & 0.00 \\
\hline Interest on Bank Accounts & 9.25 & 0.01 & 122.26 & 0.01 \\
\hline Fee & 160.00 & 0.16 & 3,600.00 & 0.28 \\
\hline TOTAL OTHER INCOME & 1,590.66 & 1.63 & 26,000.32 & 1.99 \\
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{TOTAL INCOME} & & & & \\
\hline & 97,560.18 & 100.00 & 1,307,634.10 & 00.00 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{EXPENSES}

\section*{DIRECT EXPENSES}
\begin{tabular}{lr} 
Utilities - Electricity & \(3,207.78\) \\
Utilities-Water (Lawn) & 0.00 \\
Utilities - Water \& Sewer & \(2,825.19\) \\
Utilites - Waste Disposal & 650.00 \\
Utilities - Gas & \(2,701.45\) \\
Painting \& Decorating & 0.00 \\
Custodial Expense & 0.00 \\
Furnished Apartment Expense & 516.39 \\
Extermination / Pest Control & 0.00 \\
Contracted Cleaning & 650.00 \\
Repair \& Maint. - Misc. & \(-2,510.70\) \\
Snow removal & 977.25 \\
Grounds Maintenance & 0.00 \\
Security Expense & 0.00 \\
Fire Alarm Monitoring & 0.00 \\
Property Insurance Expense & \(4,294.19\)
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{rrr}
3.29 & \(33,880.50\) & 2.59 \\
0.00 & 926.30 & 0.07 \\
2.90 & \(31,998.16\) & 2.45 \\
0.67 & \(7,916.50\) & 0.61 \\
2.77 & \(22,285.31\) & 1.70 \\
0.00 & \(9,302.01\) & 0.71 \\
0.00 & 174.38 & 0.01 \\
0.53 & \(8,730.89\) & 0.67 \\
0.00 & 723.40 & 0.06 \\
0.67 & \(15,507.04\) & 1.19 \\
-2.57 & \(122,270.50\) & 9.35 \\
1.00 & \(14,121.00\) & 1.08 \\
0.00 & \(16,784.59\) & 1.28 \\
0.00 & 123.75 & 0.01 \\
0.00 & 761.50 & 0.06 \\
4.40 & \(50,149.93\) & 3.84
\end{tabular}
```

Books = Accrual

```
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\section*{For The Period Ending 12/31/2017}

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{INCOME \(\quad\) \%}} \\
\hline & & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{RENT INCOME} \\
\hline Rent & 108,616.30 & 97.82 & 1,298,867.65 & \\
\hline Less: Concessions & 0.00 & 0.00 & 1,298,667.65 & \\
\hline NET RENT INCOME & 108,616.30 & & & 0.05 \\
\hline & 108,616.30 & 97.82 & 1,298,224.13 & 97.80 \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{OTHER INCOME} \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{Pasthru App. Processing Fee 180.00 00.16} \\
\hline Passthru Repair \& Maintenance & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{22.00} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{0.02} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{1,438.58} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{0.11} \\
\hline Pasthru Re-rental Fee & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Passthru Miscellaneous} & 0.00 & 0.00 & 300.00 & 0.02 \\
\hline & 275.00 & 0.25 & 475.00 & 0.04 \\
\hline Passthru Cleaning & 988.75 & 0.89 & & 0.41 \\
\hline Passthru Utilities & 0.00 & & \[
97.11
\] & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{0.41
0.01} \\
\hline Misceilaneous Income & 538.00 & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{0.48} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{12,221.00} & \\
\hline Vending \& Laundry Income & 12.35 & & & 0.92 \\
\hline Interest Income & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{7.50} & 0.01 & 754.21 & 0.06 \\
\hline Interest on Bank Accounts & & 0.01 & 19.77 & 0.00 \\
\hline Late Fee & 10.93 & 0.01 & 122.33 & 0.01 \\
\hline Late Fee & 390.00 & 0.35 & 4,020.00 & 0.30 \\
\hline NSF Fee & 0.00 & 0.00 & 105.00 & 0.01 \\
\hline TOTAL OTHER INCOME & 2,424.53 & 2.18 & 29,247.45 & 2.20 \\
\hline TOTAL INCOME & 111,040.83 & \(\underline{00}\) & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

EXPENSES

DIRECT EXPENSES
\begin{tabular}{lrrrr} 
Utilities - Electricity & \(2,485.18\) & 2.24 & \(32,607.95\) & 2.46 \\
Utilities-Water (Lawn) & 0.00 & 0.00 & 322.80 & 0.02 \\
Utilities - Water \& Sewer & \(2,555.48\) & 2.30 & \(31,524.86\) & 2.37 \\
Utilites - Waste Disposal & 520.00 & 0.47 & \(6,729.00\) & 0.51 \\
Utilities - Gas & \(1,797.36\) & 1.62 & \(18,102.38\) & 1.36 \\
Painting \& Decorating & \(2,164.13\) & 1.95 & \(8,877.22\) & 0.67 \\
Custodial Expense & 0.00 & 0.00 & 674.57 & 0.05 \\
Furnished Apartment Expense & 764.00 & 0.69 & \(11,780.73\) & 0.89 \\
Extermination / Pest Control & 0.00 & 0.00 & 723.40 & 0.05 \\
Contracted Cleaning & \(1,512.25\) & 1.36 & \(15,945.25\) & 1.20 \\
Repair \& Maint. - Misc. & \(15,227.31\) & 13.71 & \(100,476.15\) & 7.57 \\
Snow removal & \(1,750.00\) & 1.58 & \(9,560.00\) & 0.72 \\
Grounds Maintenance & 390.00 & 0.35 & \(9,011.92\) & 0.68 \\
Fire Alarm Monitoring & 0.00 & 0.00 & 894.00 & 0.07 \\
Property Insurance Expense & \(4,132.32\) & 3.72 & \(50,279.41\) & 3.79
\end{tabular}
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For The Period Ending 12/31/2016
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & Month to Date & \% & Year to Date & \% \\
\hline Real Estate Taxes & 8,008.05 & 7.21 & 123,508.05 & . 30 \\
\hline Management & 4,360.25 & & & \\
\hline Property Staff Expense & & 3.93 & 52,159.57 & 3.93 \\
\hline & 1,905.00 & 1.72 & 23,421.60 & 1.76 \\
\hline Security Deposit Interest Ex & 70.00 & 0.06 & 70.00 & 0.01 \\
\hline TOTAL DIRECT EXPENSES & 47,641.33 & 42.90 & 496,668.86 & 37.41 \\
\hline GENERAL \& ADMINISTRATIVE & & & & \\
\hline Office Supplies \& Expense & 0.00 & 0.00 & & \\
\hline Travel Expense & & 0.00 & 206.53 & 0.02 \\
\hline Advertising \& Promotion & 1,213.92 & 1.09 & 1,213.92 & 0.09 \\
\hline Professional Fees & 3,612.75 & 3.25 & 42,350.88 & 3.19 \\
\hline Prosessional fees & 0.00 & 0.00 & 12,768.35 & 0.96 \\
\hline Legal Fees & 0.00 & 0.00 & 278.00 & 02 \\
\hline Accounting Fees & 500.00 & 0.45 & & \\
\hline Credit Check Costs & & & 6,000.00 & 0.45 \\
\hline Bank Fees & 165.00 & 0.15 & 1,595.00 & 0.12 \\
\hline & 11.00 & 0.01 & 132.00 & 0.01 \\
\hline Collection Fees & 4,000.00 & 3.60 & 4,738.22 & 0.36 \\
\hline State Income Tax & 0.00 & 0.00 & & \\
\hline Bad Debt Expense & -10,000,00 & 0.00 & 3,248.00 & 0.24 \\
\hline Miscellaneous Expense & & & -6,450.56 & -0.49 \\
\hline & 5.68 & 0.01 & 68.16 & 0.01 \\
\hline Interest Expense & 162.02 & 0.15 & 8,962.02 & 0.68 \\
\hline TOTAL G \& A EXPENSE & -329.63 & -0.30 & 75,110.52 & 5.66 \\
\hline DEPRECIATION EXPENSE & & & & \\
\hline Depreciation Expense & 39,706.67 & 35.76 & 247,153.92 & 18.62 \\
\hline TOTAL DEPRECIATION & 39,706.67 & 35.76 & 247,153.92 & 18.62 \\
\hline NON-OPERATING EXPENSE & & & & \\
\hline Mortgage Interest & 19,415.96 & 17.49 & & \\
\hline Amortization - Financing Fees & 606.00 & & & \\
\hline Mortgage Ins. Expense & & & 7,272.00 & 0.55 \\
\hline & 2,525.04 & 2.27 & 30,445.53 & 2.29 \\
\hline TOTAL NON-OPERATING EXPENSE & 22,547.00 & 20.31 & 272,799.86 & 20.55 \\
\hline TOTAL EXPENSES & 109,565.37 & 98.67 & 1,091,733.16 & 82.24 \\
\hline NET INCOME & 1,475.46 & 1.33 & 235,738.42 & 17.76 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{For The Period Ending 12/31/2015}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & Month to Date & \% & Year to Date & \% \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{INCOME} \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{RENT INCOME} \\
\hline Rent & 103,937.49 & 99.07 & 1,305,878.14 & 97.95 \\
\hline NET RENT INCOME & 103,937.49 & 99.07 & 1,305,878.14 & 97.95 \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{OTHER INCOME} \\
\hline Passthru App. Processing Fee & 70.00 & 0.07 & 3,920.00 & 0.29 \\
\hline Passthru Repair \& Maintenance & 3.55 & 0.00 & 1,410.82 & 0.29 \\
\hline Passthru Re-rental Fee & 0.00 & 0.00 & 1,050.00 & 0.08 \\
\hline Passthru Miscellaneous & 0.00 & 0.00 & 50.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline Passthru Cleaning & 477.20 & 0.45 & 6,712.20 & 0.50 \\
\hline Passthru Utilities & 0.00 & 0.00 & 33.03 & \\
\hline Miscellaneous Income & 0.00 & 0.00 & 10,552.33 & 0.00 \\
\hline Vending \& Laundry Income & 29.35 & 0.03 & \(10,532.33\)
291.70 & 0.79
0.02 \\
\hline Interest Income & 7.35 & 0.01 & 7.35 & 0.02
0.00 \\
\hline Interest on Bank Accounts & 9.87 & 0.01 & 126.42 & 0.01 \\
\hline Late Fee & 340.00 & 0.32 & & \\
\hline NSF Fee & 35.00 & & 3,000.00 & 0.23 \\
\hline TOTAL OTHER INCOME & & 0.03 & 140.00 & 0.01 \\
\hline & 972.32 & 0.93 & 27,293.85 & 2.05 \\
\hline TOTAL INCOME & 104,909.81 & 0.00 & 1,333,171.99 & 100.00 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

DIRECT EXPENSES
\begin{tabular}{lrrrr} 
Utilities - Electricity & \(2,429.98\) & 2.32 & \(32,047.96\) & 2.40 \\
Utilities-Water (Lawn) & 0.00 & 0.00 & 322.80 & 0.02 \\
Utilities - Water \& Sewer & \(2,809.58\) & 2.68 & \(32,656.21\) & 2.45 \\
Utilites - Waste Disposal & 530.00 & 0.51 & \(7,538.50\) & 0.57 \\
Utilities - Gas & \(2,062.23\) & 1.97 & \(28,324.98\) & 2.12 \\
Painting \& Decorating & 200.00 & 0.19 & \(10,110.93\) & 0.76 \\
Custodial Expense & 0.00 & 0.00 & 54.79 & 0.00 \\
Furnished Apartment Expense & 684.65 & 0.65 & \(10,891.45\) & 0.82 \\
Extermination / Pest Control & 0.00 & 0.00 & 723.40 & 0.05 \\
Contracted Cleaning & 962.20 & 0.92 & \(17,893.20\) & 1.34 \\
Repair \& Maint. - Misc. & \(-3,892.95\) & -3.71 & \(81,820.92\) & 6.14 \\
Snow removal & 884.10 & 0.84 & \(9,334.10\) & 0.48 \\
Grounds Maintenance & \(1,017.29\) & 0.97 & 884.95 & 0.71 \\
Fire Alarm Monitoring & 0.00 & 0.00 & \(49,846.65\) & 0.07 \\
Property Insurance Expense & \(3,349.24\) & 3.19 & \(125,440.56\) & 3.74 \\
Real Estate Taxes & \(7,190.56\) & 6.85 & 9.41
\end{tabular}
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For The Period Ending 12/31/2015
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & Month to Date & \% & Year to Date & \% \\
\hline Management & 4,171.10 & 3.98 & 52,305.31 & 3.92 \\
\hline Property Staff Expense & 2,005.00 & 1.91 & 25,535.00 & 1.92 \\
\hline Security Deposit Interest Exp & 101.00 & 0.10 & & 1.92 \\
\hline TOTAL DIRECT EXPENSES & & & 101.00 & 0.01 \\
\hline & 24,503.98 & 23.36 & 492,323.60 & 36.93 \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{GENERAL \& ADMINISTRATIVE} \\
\hline Office Supplies \& Expense & 462.84 & 0.44 & 580.81 & 0.04 \\
\hline Travel Expense & 1,942.64 & 1.85 & 1,942,64 & 0.15 \\
\hline Vehicle Exp/Mileage & 54.35 & 0.05 & 54.35 & 0.00 \\
\hline Advertising \& Promotion & 3,856.47 & 3.68 & 45,631.95 & 3.42 \\
\hline Professional Fees & 0.00 & 0.00 & 13,083.50 & 0.98 \\
\hline Administration Services Fees & 50.00 & 0.05 & 50.00 & 0.00 \\
\hline Accounting Fees & 500.00 & 0.48 & 6,000.00 & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{0.45} \\
\hline Credit Check Costs & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{55.00} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{0.05} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{2,905.00} & \\
\hline Bank Fees & & & & 0.22 \\
\hline & 11.00 & 0.01 & 176.72 & 0.01 \\
\hline Collection Fees & 0.00 & 0.00 & 470.87 & 0.04 \\
\hline State Income Tax & 0.00 & 0.00 & 1,685.00 & 0.13 \\
\hline Bad Debt Expense & 613.17 & 0.58 & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{18,087.77} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{1.36} \\
\hline Miscellaneous Expense & 98.15 & 0.09 & & \\
\hline Interest Expense & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\(-3,836.82\)} & 0.09 & 181.42 & 0.01 \\
\hline Interest Expense & & -3.66 & 9,363.18 & 0.70 \\
\hline TOTAL G \& A EXPENSE & 3,806.80 & 3.63 & 100,213.21 & 7.52 \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{DEPRECIATION EXPENSE} \\
\hline Depreciation Expense & 18,075.00 &  & 216,900.00 & 16.27 \\
\hline TOTAL DEPRECIATION & 18,075.00 & 17.23 & 216,900.00 & 16.27 \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{NON-OPERATING EXPENSE} \\
\hline Mortgage Interest & 19,793.73 & 18.87 & 239,544.18 & 17.97 \\
\hline Amortization - Financing Fees & 606.00 & 0.58 & 7,272.00 & 0.55 \\
\hline Mortgage Ins. Expense & 2,573.40 & 2.45 & 31,020.85 & 2.33 \\
\hline TOTAL NON-OPERATING EXPENSE & 22,973.13 & 21.90 & 277,837.03 & 20.84 \\
\hline TOTAL EXPENSES & 69,358.91 & 66.11 & 1,087,273.84 & 81.56 \\
\hline NET INCOME & 35,550.90 & 33.89 & 245,898.15 & 18.44 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\title{
QUARTERLY MULTI-FAMILY VACANCY AND CONSTRUCTION \\ - FARGO-MOORHEAD METROPOLITAN AREA Conducted by Appraisal Services Inc. - March 1, 2018
}

The March 1 survey received responses from 58 of the 62 managers and owners surveyed, reflecting 32,539 units. This is 649 more units than the December survey captured. Our figures show that 191 new units came online over the last quarter, with 563 units remaining under construction.

Vacancy rates held mostly steady in the last quarter with a slight decline in the total metro vacancy rate and a minor increase in Fargo's overall vacancy rate. The March 1 vacancy rate for the metro area is \(9.75 \%\), down slightly from December's figure of \(9.91 \%\). Fargo moved up from \(9.65 \%\) in December to \(9.77 \%\) in March, with Areas 2,3 and 5 showing full percentage point increases over the last period.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Survey \\
Area
\end{tabular}} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Units Surveyed} & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{Percent Vacant} & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{Average Annual Vacancy} \\
\hline & & 3/18 & 3/17 & 3/16 & 3/15 & 2017 & 2016 & 2015 & 2014 \\
\hline North Fargo & 3,324 & 9.60 & 6.30 & 5.51 & 3.46 & 7.9 & 6.1 & 4.4 & 2.9 \\
\hline Downtown Fargo & 1,745 & 7.30 & 8.17 & 3.38 & 3.29 & 8.9 & 5.3 & 3.7 & \\
\hline S Fgo Area 1 & 4,652 & 7.40 & 7.17 & 3.73 & 2.42 & 7.3 & 4.9 & 2.7 & 3.2 \\
\hline S Fgo Area 2 & 1,923 & 10.70 & 5.26 & 3.10 & 2.04 & 7.9 & 4.5 & 2.4 & 2.9 \\
\hline S Fgo Area 3 & 1,109 & 7.80 & 5.64 & 5.31 & 3.66 & 6.6 & 6.4 & 3.5 & 4.6 \\
\hline S Fgo Area 4 & 5,664 & 8.20 & 7.46 & 6.81 & 3.15 & 7.4 & 6.7 & 4.2 & 3.4 \\
\hline S Fgo Area 5 & 5,314 & \(\underline{14.45}\) & \(\underline{16.49}\) & \(\underline{10.32}\) & 6.28 & 13.3 & 12.3 & 7.2 & 4.0 \\
\hline Total Fargo & 23,731 & 9.77 & 9.29 & 5.94 & 3.61 & 9.0 & 7.1 & 4.3 & 3.4 \\
\hline West Fargo, ND & 4,212 & 8.67 & 9.80 & 9.86 & 6.38 & 9.6 & 10.1 & 8.9 & 5.8 \\
\hline Moorhead, MN & 4,410 & 10.84 & 8.15 & 7.85 & 7.99 & 9.1 & 7.4 & 7.5 & 4.1 \\
\hline Dilworth, MN & \(\underline{186}\) & 6.45 & 7.65 & \(\underline{12.10}\) & 3.16 & 4.6 & 11.7 & 6.2 & 6.9 \\
\hline Overall & 32,539 & 9.75 & 9.20 & 6.71 & 4.53 & 9.1 & 7.5 & 5.3 & 3.8 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

The table at right shows the last four quarters of vacancy percentages. West Fargo and Downtown Fargo appear to be strengthening their occupancy with most other sectors experiencing stable or increasing vacancies. South Fargo 5 remains the highest vacancy sector, but is also where the majority of new unit development is occurring. The tightening in West Fargo, with losses in other sectors, may suggest that more tenants are opting to move to this portion of the community to take advantage of newer products with incentives and competitive rents. Moorhead continued a rather precipitous loss of occupancy, up \(2.38 \%\) since June of last year.

New construction this quarter included 135 units in the South Fargo 5 sector and 56 new units in
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Survey Area} & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{Percent Vacant} \\
\hline & 3/18 & 12/17 & 9/17 & 6/17 \\
\hline North Fargo & 9.60 & 9.34 & 8.35 & 7.39 \\
\hline DT Fargo & 7.28 & 9.68 & 10.74 & 7.26 \\
\hline S Fgo Area 1 & 7.42 & 7.81 & 7.31 & 6.91 \\
\hline S Fgo Area 2 & 10.71 & 9.60 & 8.02 & 8.53 \\
\hline S Fgo Area 3 & 7.84 & 5.99 & 7.62 & 7.09 \\
\hline S Fgo Area 4 & 8.23 & 8.32 & 7.54 & 6.56 \\
\hline S Fgo Area 5 & \(\underline{14.45}\) & \(\underline{13.34}\) & \(\underline{10.87}\) & \(\underline{12.47}\) \\
\hline Total Fargo & 9.77 & 9.65 & 8.66 & 8.39 \\
\hline West Fargo & 8.67 & 11.17 & 9.32 & 8.28 \\
\hline Moorhead & 10.84 & 10.49 & 9.30 & 8.46 \\
\hline Dilworth & \(\underline{6.45}\) & \(\underline{4.95}\) & 4.57 & \(\underline{1.18}\) \\
\hline Total & 9.75 & 9.91 & 8.81 & 8.35 \\
\hline
\end{tabular} Moorhead, which included the 43 -unit homelessness-transition facility on the east edge of the community. In Fargo, permits show 5 units under construction in North Fargo, 86 units Downtown, and 288 units in SF5. Two foundations for 36-unit buildings in SF4 have remained unimproved since 2015.

This survey measures physical vacancy. It does not consider economic vacancy factors such as rental incentives, delinquencies and lost rent from skips, which are also part of the true vacancy picture. This is especially important to note in the current rental climate, as most apartment managers are now offering free month rental incentives. This suggests that the economic vacancy in the metro is likely well above \(10 \%\).

The table below illustrates the relationship between the metro area vacancy rate and apartment units under construction over the last five years. New construction has averaged 1,359 units per year since 2013, and the market now reflects an oversupply. Over 6,000 new apartment units have been constructed since 2013, when vacancy rates reached a floor of \(2.6 \%\) metro wide.


Occupied units increased \(2.26 \%\) from last quarter, while units surveyed increased only \(2.10 \%\), indicating a positive demand trend for only the third time in the last nine quarters.

Metro-wide, our construction figures show that 1,392 new units came online in 2017. In the first three months of 2018, 191 units have come online and 620 remain under construction. This contrasts with 210 units completed in the first quarter of 2017 and another 1,328 still under construction.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{*FM Metro Multi-Family Growth} \\
\hline Year & Fargo & West Fargo & Moorhead & Dilworth & Metro Total \\
\hline 2014 Bldg Permits & \(\underline{1,897}\) & 513 & \(\underline{227}\) & \(\underline{0}\) & \(\underline{2,637}\) \\
\hline Units Completed & 1,825 & 513 & 227 & 0 & 2,565 \\
\hline 2015 Bldg Permits & 933 & 191 & 302 & \(\underline{0}\) & \(\underline{1.426}\) \\
\hline Units Completed & 933 & 191 & 302 & 0 & 1,426 \\
\hline 2016 Bldg Permits & 811 & \(\underline{65}\) & 275 & 0 & 1,151 \\
\hline Units Completed & 739 & 65 & 275 & 0 & 1,079 \\
\hline 2017 Bldg Permits & 587 & 46 & 45 & \(\underline{0}\) & 678 \\
\hline Units Completed & 228 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 228 \\
\hline 2018 Bldg Permits & 14 & \(\underline{4}\) & 12 & \(\underline{0}\) & 30 \\
\hline Units Completed & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline Approx Existing Multi-Family Total & 30,450 & 3,756 & 5,887 & 405 & 40,498 \\
\hline 5-Yr Avg Growth (2013-2017) & 979 & 194 & 216 & 0 & 1,388 \\
\hline Completd Construction 12/17-3/18 & 0 & 135 & 56 & 0 & 191 \\
\hline Under Construction as of 3/18 & 517 & 46 & 57 & 0 & 620 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
*Multi-family building defined in this table as a building with three (3) or more single-family units, based on ACS parameters.
Petter N. Eriksmoen - Appraisal Services Inc.




\section*{SALES COMPARISON APPROACH ATTACHMENTS}

\section*{Comparable Building Sales}

\section*{Osgood Place Apartments \\ E Subject \\ Sale 1 \\ Sale 2 \\ Sale 3 \\ Sale 4 \\ Sale 5}


\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Date of Sale: Sale Price: & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 3 / 1 / 2018 \\
& \$ 4,808,415
\end{aligned}
\] & Address: & 1920, 1930, 1940 Dakota Dr Fargo, ND \\
\hline Price/Unit: Sale Price/Room: Price/Sq.Ft.: & \$84,358/unit \$16,355/room \(\$ 62.34 / \mathrm{sq}\). ft. & \begin{tabular}{l}
Units: \\
Rooms: \\
Building Size
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 57 \\
& 294 \\
& 77,135 \text { Sq. Ft. }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Eff Gross Income: \\
Net Income: \\
Reserves in NI:
\end{tabular} & \(\$ 627,000\)
\(\$ 322,000 \quad\) Actual
\(\$ 504\) & \begin{tabular}{l}
E.G.I.M.: O.A.R.: \\
Expense Ratio:
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 7.67 \\
& 6.70 \% \\
& 48.64 \%
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Land Size: \\
Year Built: \\
Condition:
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 106,982 \mathrm{Sq} . \mathrm{Ft} . \\
& 2001,2010 \\
& \text { Ave-Good }
\end{aligned}
\] &  & UMU, Univ Mixed Use 51 Sgl ; 85 Ext Ave-Good \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Data on Recording: \\
Grantor: \\
Grantee:
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
Warranty Deed\# 1532890 \\
Campbell Properties, LLC \\
Wayzata Village Shoppes, LLP
\end{tabular} & Days on Market: Verified To: Verified By: & Private Sale MME Records/Buyer \\
\hline Legal: Lot & Norther & the City of Farg & ss \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Remarks: Two 24-plexes, a 9-plex, \& 2 garage bldgs. Apts: steel-sided, vinyl windows, asphalt shingle roofs, secure access entry. 24-plx: HWBB heat (O), common laundry. 9-plx: blt 2010, EBB heat (T), in-unit w/d, upscale appliances, fire sprinklered, no balcs.
Terms: Conventional -Eagle Valley Bank. Sale price includes \(\$ 248,415\) in unpaid special assessments.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{ UNIT MIX } \\
\hline Units & Rooms Bedrooms & Bathrooms \\
\hline 6 & 3 & 1 \\
\hline 33 & 5 & 2
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lcc|}
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{ AMENITIES IN RENT* } \\
\begin{tabular}{lcc} 
Amenity & & Paid By: \\
Heat & HW & O \\
Hot Water & & O \\
A/C & Wall & T \\
Laundry & Common & \\
*Paid By: T=Tenant, O=Owner &
\end{tabular}
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lc|}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{ AMENITIES IN RENT } \\
\hline Amenity & \\
Dishwasher & Y \\
Garage & Most \\
Elevator & N \\
Balcony & Most \\
Pool & N \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Heat Types: EBB=Electric Baseboard, HW=Hot Water, GFA=Gas Forced Air
A/C Types: Wall=Through-the-wall or window unit, C/A=Central Air
Laundry: Hook-Ups=WasherDryer Hook-ups in units, In Unit=Furnished Washer Dryer in units, Common=Laundry in building, but no private facilities
\begin{tabular}{l} 
Sale Number A16102FG \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{l} 
Sale Number Al5117FG \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Date of Sale: & \(7 / 1 / 2015\) & Address: & 2400 36th Street South \\
Sale Price: & \(\$ 8,255,936\) & & Moorhead, MN
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{ UNIT MIX } \\
\hline Units & Rooms & Bedrooms & Bathrooms \\
\hline 8 & 2 & 0 & 1 \\
\hline 12 & 3 & 1 & 1 \\
\hline 48 & 4 & 2 & 1 \\
\hline 12 & 6 & 3 & 2 \\
\hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lcc}
\hline \multicolumn{3}{c}{ AMENITIES IN RENT* } \\
Amenity & & Paid By: \\
Heat & GFA & O \\
Hot Water & & O \\
A/C & Central & T \\
Laundry & In Unit & \\
*Paid By: T=Tenant, O=Owner &
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|lc|}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{c|}{ AMENITIES IN RENT } \\
\hline Amenity & \\
\hline Dishwasher & Y \\
Garage & Y \\
Elevator & Y \\
Balcony & Y \\
Pool & N \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Heat Types: EBB=Electric Baseboard, HW=Hot Water, GFA=Gas Forced Air A/C Types: Wall=Through-the-wall or window unit, C/A=Central Air Laundry: Hook-Ups=WasherDryer Hook-ups in units, In Unit=Furnished Washer Dryer in units, Common=Laundry in building, but no private facilities


\section*{COMMUNITY PROFILE}

\title{
2017 Fargo-Moorhead \& West Fargo Community Profile
}
Population
\begin{tabular}{lccr} 
Fargo & 120,762 & Moorhead & 42,492 \\
West Fargo & 34,858 & Dilworth & 4,397 \\
Metropolitan & Statistical Area (MSA) & & 241,356
\end{tabular}

\section*{Labor Statistics}
Fargo-Moorhead MSA labor force 139,151
Unemployment rate
2.4\%
National average unemployment rate \(4.4 \%\)

\section*{Metropolitan Area Major Employers}
Employer Name \& Industry
Sanford Health System - Healthcare Services ..... 7,151
\# Employed
North Dakota State University - Education
Essentia Health - Healthcare Services ..... 4,315 ..... 4,315 ..... 2,540
Fargo Public School District \#1 - Education ..... 1,860
West Fargo Public School District \#6 - Education ..... 1,622
US Bank - Business Services ..... 1,229
Veterans' Affairs Medical Center - Healthcare Services ..... 1,114
Noridian Administrative Services - Insurance ..... 1,074
Microsoft (Fargo Locations) - Computer Software/Service ..... 1,024
Integrity Windows \& Doors - Manufacturing ..... 1,000
Blue Cross Blue Shield of North Dakota - Insurance ..... 991
City of Fargo - Government ..... 948
Moorhead Area Public Schools - Education ..... 925
Eventide Senior Living Communities - Nursing Homes ..... 883
Hornbacher's Foods - Retail ..... 875
Wanzek Construction, Inc. - General Contractor ..... 800
Minnesota State University Moorhead - Education ..... 724
Discovery Health Benefits - Insurance ..... 699
John Deere Electric Solutions. - Manufacturing ..... 675
Concordia College - Education ..... 652
CNH America LLC - Agriculture ..... 600
Swanson Health Products - Retail ..... 592
Concordia Language Villages ..... 540
TrueNorth Steel - Manufacturing ..... 505
Bell Bank - Financial ..... 505

Represents Fargo-Moorhead Chamber member firms (October 2017). Employee numbers are based on full-time equivalents.

\section*{Employment By Industry}
\begin{tabular}{lrlr} 
Trade (Wholesale \& Retail) & \(17.5 \%\) & Leisure \& Hospitality & \(9.8 \%\) \\
Government & \(13.4 \%\) & Manufacturing & \(6.9 \%\) \\
Professional \& Business & \(11.1 \%\) & Education \& Health Services & \(17.0 \%\) \\
Mining \& Construction & \(6.2 \%\) & Financial \& Information & \(10.2 \%\) \\
Transport, Warehouse, Util & \(4.0 \%\) & Other Services & \(3.9 \%\)
\end{tabular}

\footnotetext{
Sources: U.S. Census Bureau, Fargo-Moorhead West Fargo Chamber of Commerce, North Dakota Jobs Service, Fargo-Moorhead Convention \& Visitors Bureau
}
Real Estate
Average Apartment Rental (2 bed/2 bath, 950 sq. ft., \(<10\) yrs. old): \(\quad \$ 829 /\) Month
Average Home Purchase Price (Existing Homes \& New Construction): ..... \$224,536

\section*{Transportation}
Airlines: Hector International Airport serviced by: Delta Air Lines, United Airlines,Allegiant Air; Frontier Airlines; multiple cargo/freight carriers; aircraftmaintenance \& avionics repair station; aircraft charter service; flightschool; aircraft rental; heated hangar space for all aircraft; complete 24-hour service and a U.S. Port of Entry with on-site customs services.
Bus: Jefferson Lines; charters; Metropolitan Area Transit (MAT) (Greater Fargo-Moorhead Area), Clay County Rural Transit, Handi-Wheels and Paratransit.
Freeways: The community sites at the crossroads of I-94 and I-29. The I-94 corridorconnects with I-90 to stretch from Boston on the east coast to Seattle on thewest. I-29 reaches from Winnipeg, Canada to Kansas City, where I-35continues to the board of Mexico
Railroads: Passenger: AmtrakFreight: BNSF Railway Company hub with intermodal terminal
Trucking: More than 125 trucking companies and brokers
Meeting Facilities
Hotels: 63 Total Rooms: ..... 6,100+
Meeting FacilitySeating (Maximum)
FARGODOME ..... 25,000
Scheels Arena ..... 6,000
Newman Outdoor Field ..... 4,500
Fargo Civic Center / Centennial Hall ..... 2,700
Holiday Inn of Fargo ..... 2,560
Ramada Plaza Suites and Convention Center ..... 2,350
Courtyard by Marriot ..... 1,452
Hilton Garden Inn ..... 1,292
NDSU Memorial Union ..... 1,273
Avalon West ..... 1,180
NDSU Festival Concert Hall ..... 1,000
Fargo Theatre ..... 944
Baymont Inn \& Suites ..... 904
Cambria Inn \& Conference Center ..... 762
Concordia College (Moorhead) ..... 700
MSUM Comstock Memorial Union ..... 625
Total Meeting Space (100+ Seats) ..... \(\mathbf{6 4 , 4 5 7}\)

\section*{Points of Interest}

Bonanzaville (West Fargo), Children's Museum at Yunker Farm (Fargo), Comstock Historic House (Moorhead), FargoDome (Fargo), Heritage-Hjemkomst Interpretive Center (Moorhead), Plains Art Museum (Fargo), Planetarium (Moorhead), Red River Zoo (Fargo), Regional Science Center (Glyndon), Roger Maris Museum (Fargo), Trollwood Park (Fargo), 22 golf courses, 5 area state parks

\section*{Education}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline Type of Educational Facility & \# of Facilities & Enrollment \\
\hline Pre-schools \& Child Care (Licensed, 2016) & 491 & N/A \\
\hline Fargo Public Schools & 22 & 11,265 \\
\hline Fargo Private \& Parochial Schools & 9 & 2,901 \\
\hline Moorhead Public Schools & 6 & 6,530 \\
\hline Moorhead Private \& Parochial Schools & 2 & 672 \\
\hline West Fargo Public Schools & 17 & 9,585 \\
\hline Dilworth-Glyndon-Felton Public Schools & 4 & 1,628 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Higher Education - 1,628} \\
\hline North Dakota State University & & 14,358 \\
\hline Minnesota State University Moorhead & & 5,844 \\
\hline Concordia College & & 2,14 \\
\hline Minnesota State Community \& Technical & College & 2,029 \\
\hline Rasmussen Business College & & 749 \\
\hline University of Mary/Fargo Center & & 150 \\
\hline North Dakota State College of Science - F & argo & 442 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

2016 College Entrance Test Scores (ACT)*
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Moorhead: & 21.1 & Dilworth-Glyndon-Fenton: & 20.7 \\
Fargo: & 21.0 & West Fargo & 20.0
\end{tabular}

Average Scores: North Dakota-20.3 Minnesota-21.5 National - 21.0
*Minnesota provides the ACT at no cost to all high school juniors. Nearly \(100 \%\) of MN juniors were tested in 2017

\section*{Health Care}
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Hospitals & 8 \\
Medical Centers & 4 \\
Dentists/Oral Surgeons & \(100+\) \\
Optometrists & \(30+\)
\end{tabular}

\section*{Demographics (FM Metropolitan Statistical Area)}
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Female & \(49.8 \%\) \\
Male & \(50.2 \%\) \\
Median Age & 32.2 years
\end{tabular}

Median Household Income \(\quad \$ 55,941\)
Population Below Poverty Level \(11.8 \%\)

Physicians 650+
Chiropractic Clinics/Centers 70
Retirement/Care Facilities 24
Clinics 33

\section*{--DO NOT INCLUDE THIS PAGE IN REPORTS--}

\section*{Sources of Information}

Most of what you need to update comes from various places on the Fargo-Moorhead Chamber of Commerce website. (http://fmwfchamber.com/) There, you can get:
- Major Employers
- Apt. rental and home purchase price
- School enrollment
- Some demographic information, including employment numbers.

Employment by Industry statistics can be found at the ND Job service.
- Go to www.jobsnd.com
- Click on the odd little bubble that says WIN
- On the left, there's a menu. Hover mouse over Employment \& Wage Data
- Hover over Industry Data
- Click on Current Employment Statistics (CES)
- Search by Metropolitan Statistical Area. Select Fargo-Moorhead
- Choose Annual and select year.
- This will also show the number of non-farm jobs. You may need to figure out the Mining \& Construction number.

To figure out the MSA population:
- Go to Census.gov. Look for "American Fact Finder"
- Search for MSA and cities.
- Can get population estimates, median income, poverty, etc.

ACT Scores:
- MN Dept of Education keeps track. Head to the website. Scroll down to the bottom to find "Student Data." ACT Data should be the first option in that group.
- http://w20.education.state.mn.us/MDEAnalytics/Data.jsp
- Download the spreadsheet. Peruse, sort, and search to find your data.
- To find ND scores, you'll have to head to the website below.
- http://www.business.nd.gov/data/community/
- Search for the community.
- Go to the Education tab.
- There will be two ACT score results: one for public schools and one for private. Unless you really want to do some math, just take the public school ACT score.

Motel Rooms:
Look at the F-M motel rents sheet. Should have totals there.

\section*{LETTER OF ENGAGEMENT}

Appraisal Services Inc.
Neat A. Eriksmoen, MA
Alan P. Leimess, MAI, CCIM
Petter N. Eriksmoen
\({ }^{+} 220 \mathrm{Main}\) Avenue, Suite 125
Fargo, ND 58103-8201 Phone (701) 235-1189 Fax (701) 235-9465
April 27, 2018
Mr. John Boswell
Pacific Ridge Properties
211 East Carillo Street, Suite 204
Santa Barbara, CA 93101
Dear Mr. Boswell:
The purpose of this letter is to confirm my engagement to complete a market value \(\Lambda\) ppraisal Report of the Osgood Place Apartments at \(505040^{\text {th }}\) Avenue South in Fargo, ND. The fee for this assignment will be \(\$ 3,100\) and the report will be completed and delivered via email to you by June 1, 2018 .

Additionally, it is my understanding you would like me to provide further consulting services at the Class County Commissioner's Meeting on June 4, 2018. Additional services will be billed at \(\$ 175\) per hour.

Thank you for your consideration and please feel free to call with any questions.
Respectfully submitted,
Appraisal Services, Inc.


ND Certified General Real Property Appraiser \#CG-21517
MN Certified General Real Property Appraiser License \(\# 40367366\)

John Boswell
Pacific Ridge Properties
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Proposal accosted } \\
& \text { foclun Bozen } \\
& \text { John Boswell 4/27/18 }
\end{aligned}
\]
Real' Costate Apporisers and Consultants

\section*{APPRAISER'S QUALIFICATIONS \& LICENSES}

\section*{QUALIFICATIONS OF PETTER N. ERIKSMOEN}

\section*{EDUCATION:}

Concordia College, Moorhead, MN, Bachelor of Arts, May 2010

\section*{Seminars \& Workshops -}

Basic Appraisal Procedures, 6/12
Basic Appraisal Principles, 7/12
15-Hour USPAP Qualification, 7/12
Site Valuation \& Cost Approach, 9/12
Sales Comparison Approach, 10/12
Income Approach/Part I, 2/13
Income Approach/Part 2, 6/13
Real Estate Finance Statistics \& Valuation 2/14
Market Analysis \& Highest \& Best Use, 3/14
Report Writing and Case Studies, 12/14
Quantitative Analysis, \(2 / 15\)
2014-2015 USPAP Update, 8/15

2016-2017 USPAP Update, 12/15
Advanced Income Capitalization, 2/16
Advanced Concepts \& Case Studies 11/16
Advanced Market Anaylysis \& Highest \& Best Use, 2/17
2018-2019 USPAP Update, 12/17

\section*{WORK EXPERIENCE:}

November 2010 through October 2011 - Non-Profit Independent Contractor
October 2011 through July 2012 - Whole Foods Market (Supervisor)
August 2012 through May 2015 - Appraisal Services Inc. (Apprentice Appraiser)
June 2015 to present - Appraisal Services Inc. (Certified General Appraiser)

\section*{EXPERIENCE SUMMARY:}

Approximate number of appraisals accomplished during the last five years:
\begin{tabular}{lrlr} 
Apartments & 43 & Motel & 6 \\
Office & 61 & Restaurant/Lounge & 18 \\
Retail & 49 & Vacant Land & 26 \\
Warehouse/Industrial & 59 & Church/School & 0 \\
Mini-Storage & 1 & Serv.Station/Car Wash & 4 \\
Mobile Home Parks & 0 & Special Purpose & 5 \\
Mixed Use & 25 & Comparable Rent Studies & 0 \\
& & Other & 10
\end{tabular}

\section*{MEMBERSHIPS/LICENSES:}

North Dakota Certified General Appraiser Permit \#CG-21517
Minnesota Non-Resident Appraiser \#40367366
Candidate for Designation - Appraisal Institute

\section*{REFERENCES:}

Kory Werlinger, Bell Bank, 3100 13th Avenue South, Fargo, ND 58103, (701) 298-7109
Tom Devorak, Bremer Bank, \(144445^{\text {th }}\) Street Southwest, Fargo, ND 58103, (701) 502-2631


\section*{QUARTERLY MULTI-FAMILY VACANCY AND CONSTRUCTION \\ - FARGO-MOORHEAD METROPOLITAN AREA - \\ Conducted by Appraisal Services Inc. - December 1, 2017}

Vacancy rates continued to increase across most portions of the FM metro market, even while construction slowed in 2017. The end of year vacancy rate for the metro area is \(9.91 \%\) according to our survey respondents. This figure is slightly lower for the Fargo market at \(9.65 \%\), which makes up about \(75 \%\) of the total metro market. Metro-wide, our construction figures show that 1,392 new units came online in 2017 with an additional 740 under construction and 45 permitted, but not yet built. New unit completion was in line with the 2016 numbers of 1,394 new units; however, current units under construction is about half that of the 1,389 units under construction as of December 1, 2016. Total new unit building permit issuance in 2017 is 678 , down from 1,194 in 2016 and 1,426 in 2015. Based on the information below, we estimate that the conventional apartment stock in the metro area is now over 40,000 units.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{*FM Metro Multi-Family Growth} \\
\hline Year & Fargo & West Fargo & Moorhead & Dilworth & Metro Total \\
\hline 2013 Bldg Permits & 1,170 & 200 & 274 & 0 & 1,644 \\
\hline 2014 Bldg Permits & 1,897 & 513 & \(\underline{227}\) & \(\underline{0}\) & 2,637 \\
\hline Units Completed & 1,825 & 513 & 227 & 0 & 2,565 \\
\hline 2015 Bldg Permits & \(\underline{933}\) & 191 & 302 & \(\underline{0}\) & 1,426 \\
\hline Units Completed & 933 & 191 & 302 & 0 & 1,426 \\
\hline 2016 Bldg Permits & 811 & 65 & 318 & \(\underline{0}\) & 1,194 \\
\hline Units Completed & 694 & 65 & 262 & 0 & 1,021 \\
\hline 2017 Bldg Permits & 587 & 46 & 45 & \(\underline{0}\) & 678 \\
\hline Units Completed & 138 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 138 \\
\hline Approx Existing Multi-Family Total & 30,315 & 3,756 & 5,874 & 405 & 40,212 \\
\hline 5-Yr Avg Growth (2013-2017) & 952 & 194 & 213 & 0 & 1,576 \\
\hline Completd Construction 1/17-12/17 & 1,027 & 65 & 300 & 0 & 1,392 \\
\hline Under Construction as of 12/17 & 638 & 46 & 56 & 0 & 740 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
*Multi-family building defined in this table as a building with three (3) or more single-family units, based on ACS parameters.
The December 1 survey received responses from 60 of the 63 managers and owners surveyed, reflecting 31,890 units. Our figures show that 415 new units came online over the last quarter, with recorded vacancies increasing by 360 . However, this survey captured about 1,800 more units than the previous quarter's, suggesting stable or positive net absorption. The survey results are summarized below, showing year-overyear trends and the annualized vacancy information. The table on the following page depicts the quarterly trends of 2017.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Survey \\
Area
\end{tabular}} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{gathered}
\hline \text { Units } \\
\text { Surveyed } \\
\hline
\end{gathered}
\]} & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{Percent Vacant} & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{Average Annual Vacancy} \\
\hline & & 12/17 & 12/16 & 12/15 & 12/14 & 2017 & 2016 & 2015 & 2014 \\
\hline North Fargo & 3,308 & 9.34 & 5.99 & 5.4 & 3.1 & 7.8 & 6.7 & 4.4 & 2.9 \\
\hline Downtown Fargo & 1,798 & 9.68 & 9.22 & 3.6 & & 8.9 & 4.4 & 3.8 & \\
\hline S Fgo Area 1 & 4,687 & 7.81 & 5.91 & 3.4 & 4.2 & 7.3 & 4.8 & 2.7 & 3.2 \\
\hline S Fgo Area 2 & 1,896 & 9.60 & 5.58 & 3.8 & 4.1 & 7.9 & 4.4 & 2.4 & 2.9 \\
\hline S Fgo Area 3 & 1,502 & 5.99 & 6.74 & 3.8 & 5.3 & 6.6 & 6.4 & 3.5 & 4.6 \\
\hline S Fgo Area 4 & 4,673 & 8.32 & 7.36 & 6.0 & 4.4 & 7.4 & 6.7 & 4.2 & 3.4 \\
\hline S Fgo Area 5 & 5,764 & 13.34 & 16.03 & 7.8 & 5.7 & 13.3 & \(\underline{12.3}\) & 7.2 & 4.0 \\
\hline Total Fargo & 23,628 & 9.65 & 8.85 & 5.3 & 4.4 & 9.0 & 7.1 & 4.3 & 3.4 \\
\hline West Fargo, ND & 3,733 & 11.17 & 12.55 & 11.7 & 7.9 & 9.6 & 10.1 & 8.9 & 5.8 \\
\hline Moorhead, MN & 4,307 & 10.49 & 7.98 & 7.6 & 4.1 & 9.1 & 7.3 & 7.5 & 4.1 \\
\hline Dilworth, MN & \(\underline{222}\) & 4.95 & 12.20 & 10.1 & 6.4 & 4.6 & \(\underline{10.5}\) & 6.2 & 6.9 \\
\hline Total & 31,890 & 9.91 & 9.21 & 6.4 & 4.8 & 9.1 & 7.5 & 5.3 & 3.8 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Most sectors of the market showed higher vacancies at year end than in the first quarter, South Fargo 5 remained in double digits all year, with West Fargo also hovering around double-digit vacancy. These are the areas where the most new construction is occurring. South Fargo 2 showed the greatest vacancy increase of over \(4 \%\), while the Moorhead market softened considerably by more than \(2 \%\).

New construction this quarter included 66 units in the South Fargo 2 sector and 195 in South Fargo 5 sector. The remainder of new units included 136 in Moorhead and 18 in West Fargo. In Fargo, permits show 5 units under construction in North Fargo, 72 units Downtown, 66 units in SF2, 72 units in SF4 and 387
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Survey Area} & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{Percent Vacant} \\
\hline & 3/17 & 6/17 & 9/17 & 12/17 \\
\hline North Fargo & 6.30 & 7.39 & 8.35 & 9.34 \\
\hline DT Fargo & 8.17 & 7.26 & 10.74 & 9.68 \\
\hline S Fgo Area 1 & 7.17 & 6.91 & 7.31 & 7.81 \\
\hline S Fgo Area 2 & 5.26 & 8.53 & 8.02 & 9,60 \\
\hline S Fgo Area 3 & 5.64 & 7.09 & 7.62 & 5.99 \\
\hline S Fgo Area 4 & 7.46 & 6.56 & 7.54 & 8.32 \\
\hline S Fgo Area 5 & \(\underline{16.49}\) & \(\underline{12.47}\) & \(\underline{10.87}\) & \(\underline{13.34}\) \\
\hline Total Fargo & 9.29 & 8.39 & 8.66 & 9.65 \\
\hline West Fargo & 9.80 & 8.28 & 9.32 & 11.17 \\
\hline Moorhead & 8.15 & 8.46 & 9.30 & 10.49 \\
\hline Dilworth & 7.65 & 1.18 & 4.57 & 4.95 \\
\hline Total & 9.20 & 8.35 & 8.81 & 9.91 \\
\hline
\end{tabular} units in SF5.

Remember that this survey measures physical vacancy. It does not consider economic vacancy factors such as rental incentives, delinquencies and lost rent from skips, which are also part of the true vacancy picture. This is especially important to note in the current rental climate, as most apartment managers are now offering free month rental incentives. This suggests that the economic vacancy in the metro is likely well above \(10 \%\).

The table below illustrates the relationship between the metro area vacancy rate and apartment units under construction over the last five years. New construction has averaged 1,359 units per year since 2013, and the market now reflects an oversupply. Over 6,000 new apartment units have been constructed since 2013, when vacancy rates reached a floor of \(2.6 \%\) metro wide.

FMA Quarterly Vacancy and Construction


I reviewed the last two years of survey information and found that total surveyed units (cumulative units reporting for all four quarters) increased \(4.89 \%\) from 2016 to 2017, while total occupied units increased by only \(3.15 \%\), indicating that new units continue to outpace absorption rates. This survey is the first time that total vacant units has been greater than 3,000 at 3,156 , metro-wide.

Even as the boom in apartment construction was taking place, occupied units as a percentage of total units mostly kept pace with the new units coming on line, indicating healthy demand to support the market's expansion. As I stated in the December 2016 survey, occupied units as a percentage of total units surveyed appears to have peaked in the first half of 2016. As a result, the overall vacancy rate has increased by \(3.5 \%\) since that time.

The following table depicts the last 10 years of annual completed apartment construction and corresponding Fargo and Metro-wide annualized vacancy rates.


Petter N. Eriksmoen - Appraisal Services Inc.



\section*{ASSETS}

\section*{CURRENT ASSETS}
\begin{tabular}{lr} 
Operating Cash & \(371,058.27\) \\
Savings - Security Deposits & \(30,962.67\) \\
Prepaid Insurance & \(55,772.37\) \\
Escrow - Insurance & \(36,547.24\) \\
Escrow - Replacement Res & \(68,392.62\) \\
Escrow - R. Est. Taxes & \(33,988.39\) \\
Cash Escrow Account & \(3,126.19\) \\
Accounts Receivable & \(4,804.43\) \\
Allowance for Bad Debts & -600.00
\end{tabular}

PROPERTY \& EQUIPMENT
\begin{tabular}{lr} 
Land & \(600,724.00\) \\
Land Improvement & \(68,263.00\) \\
Special Assessments & \(207,164,62\) \\
Buildings & \(8,428,649.40\) \\
Building Improvements & \(85,202.94\) \\
Fixtures \& Equipment & \(223,350.87\) \\
Accum. Depreciation & \(-1,472,254.92\)
\end{tabular}

TOTAL PROPERTY \& EQUIPMENT
\(8,141,099.91\)

\section*{OTHER ASSETS}
\begin{tabular}{lc} 
Financing Fees & \(254,550.95\) \\
Accum. Amort. - Financing Fees & \(-56,190,00\)
\end{tabular}

TOTAL OTHER ASSETS

TOTAL ASSETS

LIABILITIES \& CAPITAL

\section*{CURRENT LIABILITIES}
\begin{tabular}{lr} 
Accrued Taxes \& Assessments & \(33,750,00\) \\
Accrued Utilities Payable & \(12,051.56\) \\
Accounts Payable & \(124,933.89\) \\
Prepaid Rent & \(31,112.19\) \\
Tenant Deposits & \(29,037.50\) \\
Interest on Tenant Deposits & 107.33 \\
Accrued Interest Mortgage & \(19,319.45\)
\end{tabular}

\section*{TOTAL CURRENT LIABILITIES}

250,311.92

LONG-TERM LIABILITIES
Special Assessments Payable

163,365.47
Notes Pay. - Wells Fargo 6,661,878.84

TOTAL LONG-TERM LIABILITIES
\(6,825,244.31\)
total liabilities
7,075,556,23

CAPITAL
\begin{tabular}{lr} 
Owners Capital & \(1,902,604.93\) \\
Owner Draw & \(-84,078.57\) \\
Current Year Income & \(49,430.45\) \\
\multicolumn{2}{c}{ TOTAL CAPITAL } \\
\multicolumn{1}{c}{ TOTAL LIABILITIES \& CAPITAL } & \(\mathbf{1 , 8 6 7 , 9 5 6 . 8 1}\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\title{
For The Period Ending 03/31/2017
}

\section*{INCOME}

RENT INCOME

Rent
NET RENT INCOME

OTHER INCOME
\begin{tabular}{lr} 
Passthru App. Processing Fee & 235.00 \\
Passthru Repair \& Maintenance & 0.00 \\
Passthru Miscellaneous & 0.00 \\
Passthru Cleaning & 0.00 \\
Passthru Utilities & 0.00 \\
Miscellaneous Income & 882.00 \\
Vending \& Laundry Income & 16.65 \\
Interest Income & 4.50 \\
Interest on Bank Accounts & 10.54 \\
Late Fee & \(\mathbf{2 9 0 . 0 0}\) \\
TOTAL OTHER INCOME & \(\mathbf{1 , 4 3 8 . 6 9}\) \\
TOTAL INCOME & \(\mathbf{1 1 0 , 8 8 7 . 4 0}\)
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{rr}
\(327,194.25\) & 98.35 \\
& 98.35 \\
475.00 & 0.14 \\
342.16 & 0.10 \\
-225.00 & -0.07 \\
\(1,208.25\) & 0.36 \\
16.04 & 0.00 \\
\(2,598.00\) & 0.78 \\
50.30 & 0.02 \\
4.50 & 0.00 \\
30.61 & 0.01 \\
\(1,000.00\) & 0.30 \\
\hline \(5,499.86\) & 1.65 \\
\hline \(33,694.11\) & 100.00 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{EXPENSES}

\section*{DIRECT EXPENSES}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Utilities - Electricity & 2,938.07 & 2.65 & 9,638.94 & 2.90 \\
\hline Utilities - Water \& Sewer & 2,475.92 & 2.23 & 7,733.05 & 2.32 \\
\hline Utilites - Waste Disposal & 578.50 & 0.52 & 1,997.00 & 0.60 \\
\hline Utilities - Gas & 3,452.09 & 3.11 & 11,884.37 & 3.57 \\
\hline Painting \& Decorating & 100.00 & 0.09 & 653.01 & 0.20 \\
\hline Custodial Expense & 0.00 & 0.00 & 86.00 & 0.03 \\
\hline Furnished Apartment Expense & 715.90 & 0.65 & 1,856.90 & 0.56 \\
\hline Extermination / Pest Control & 0.00 & 0.00 & 180.85 & 0.05 \\
\hline Contracted Cleaning & 750.00 & 0.68 & 2,922.00 & 0.88 \\
\hline Repair \& Maint. - Misc. & 7,652.46 & 6.90 & 23,401.13 & 7.03 \\
\hline Snow removal & 113.75 & 0.10 & 6,033.75 & 1.81 \\
\hline Grounds Maintenance & 153.00 & 0.14 & 208.00 & 0.06 \\
\hline Fire Alarm Monitoring & 0.00 & 0.00 & 375.00 & 0.11 \\
\hline Property Insurance Expense & 4,143.24 & 3.74 & 12,407.88 & 3.73 \\
\hline Real Estate Taxes & 10,500.00 & 9.47 & 31,500.00 & 9.47 \\
\hline Management & 4,389.55 & 3.96 & 13,127.77 & 3.95 \\
\hline Property Staff Expense & 1,805.00 & 1.63 & 5,840.00 & 1.76 \\
\hline TOTAL DIRECT EXPENSES & 39,767,48 & 35.86 & 29,845.65 & 39.03 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\title{
Pacific Ridge Osgood Place, LP \\ Income Statement \\ For The Period Ending 03/31/2017
}

Page 2
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & Month to Date & \% & Year to Date & \% \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{GENERAL \& ADMINISTRATIVE} \\
\hline Advertising \& Promotion & 3,695.53 & 3.33 & 10,749.98 & 3.23 \\
\hline Professional Fees & 4,000.00 & 3.61 & 7,500.00 & 2.25 \\
\hline Legal Fees & 0.00 & 0.00 & 188.00 & 0.06 \\
\hline Accounting Fees & 500.00 & 0.45 & 1,500.00 & 0.45 \\
\hline Licenses \& Fees & 0.00 & 0.00 & 700.00 & 0.21 \\
\hline Credit Check Costs & 45.00 & 0.04 & 180.00 & 0.05 \\
\hline Bank Fees & 11.00 & 0.01 & 33.00 & 0.01 \\
\hline Collection Fees & 80.28 & 0.07 & 178.58 & 0.05 \\
\hline State Income Tax & 800.00 & 0.72 & 800.00 & 0.24 \\
\hline Bad Debt Expense & -200.70 & -0.18 & -551.75 & -0.17 \\
\hline Miscellaneous Expense & 30.68 & 0.03 & 42.04 & 0.01 \\
\hline Interest Expense & 750.00 & 0.68 & 2,250.00 & 0.68 \\
\hline TOTAL G \& A EXPENSE & 9,711,79 & 8.76 & 23,569.85 & 7.08 \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{DEPRECIATION EXPENSE} \\
\hline Depreciation Expense & 20,800.00 & 18.76 & 62,400.00 & 18.76 \\
\hline TOTAL DEPRECIATION & 20,800.00 & 18.76 & 62,400.00 & 18.76 \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{NON-OPERATING EXPENSE} \\
\hline Mortgage Interest & 19,319.45 & 17.42 & 58,055.04 & 17.45 \\
\hline Amortization - Financing Fees & 606.00 & 0.55 & 1,818.00 & 0.55 \\
\hline Mortgage Ins. Expense & 2,525.04 & 2.28 & 7,575.12 & 2.28 \\
\hline TOTAL NON-OPERATING EXPENSE & 22,450.49 & 20.25 & 67,448.16 & 20.27 \\
\hline TOTAL EXPENSES & 92,729,76 & 83.63 & 283,263.66 & 85.14 \\
\hline NET INCOME & 18,157.64 & 16.37 & 49,430.45 & 14.86 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

Books = Accrual

```

\title{
Pacific Ridge Osgood Place, LP \\ Balance Sheet \\ Page 1 \\ As of 03/31/2018
}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{ASSETS} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{CURRENT ASSETS} \\
\hline Operating Cash & 180,323.59 \\
\hline Savings - Security Deposits & 27,545.18 \\
\hline Prepaid Insurance & 26,882.15 \\
\hline Escrow - Insurance & 32,903.97 \\
\hline Escrow - Replacement Res & 68,838.70 \\
\hline Escrow - R. Est. Taxes & 22,969.80 \\
\hline Cash Escrow Account & 32,174.89 \\
\hline Accounts Receivable & 8,981.02 \\
\hline Allowance for Bad Debts & -600,00 \\
\hline TOTAL CURRENT ASSETS & 400,019,30 \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{PROPERTY \& EQUIPMENT} \\
\hline Land & 600,724.00 \\
\hline Land Improvement & 68,263.00 \\
\hline Special Assessments & 207,164.62 \\
\hline Buildings & 8,428,649.40 \\
\hline Building Improvements & 126,464,94 \\
\hline Fixtures \& Equipment & 299,241.37 \\
\hline Accum. Depreciation & -1,732,915.26 \\
\hline TOTAL PROPERTY \& EQUIPMENT & 7,997,592.07 \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{OTHER ASSETS} \\
\hline Financing Fees & 254,550.95 \\
\hline Accum. Amort. - Financing Fees & -63,462.00 \\
\hline TOTAL OTHER ASSETS & 191,088.95 \\
\hline TOTAL ASSETS & 8,588,700.32 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{LIABILITIES \& CAPITAL}

\section*{CURRENT LIABILITIES}
\begin{tabular}{lr} 
Accrued Taxes \& Assessments & \(35,925.00\) \\
Accounts Payable & \(30,471.88\) \\
Prepaid Rent & \(38,470.08\) \\
Tenant Deposits & \(27,837,50\) \\
Interest on Tenant Deposits & 112.05 \\
Accrued Interest Mortgage & \(18,924,91\)
\end{tabular}

\title{
Pacific Ridge Osgood Place, LP \\ Balance Sheet \\ As of 03/31/2018
}

Page 2
\begin{tabular}{lr} 
TOTAL CURRENT LIABILITIES & As of 03/31/2018 \\
LONG-TERM LIABILITIES \\
Special Assessments Payable & \(151,741.42\) \\
Notes Pay, - Wells Fargo & \\
TOTAL LONG-TERM LIABILITIES & \(6,525,829.33\) \\
TOTAL LIABILITIES & \(6,680,705.40\) \\
CAPITAL & \(6,832,446.82\) \\
Owners Capital & \\
Owner Draw \\
Current Year Income & \(1,833,585.84\) \\
TOTAL CAPITAL & \(-88,078.57\) \\
TOTAL LIABILITIES \& CAPITAL & \(10,746.23\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lc} 
Books \(=\) Accrual & Pacific Ridge Osgood Place, LP \\
Income Statement \\
For The Period Ending \(03 / 31 / 2018\)
\end{tabular}\(\quad\) Page 1

For The Period Ending 03/31/2018
Month to Dat
\% Year to Date

\section*{INCOME}

RENT INCOME
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Rent & 101,957.42 & 99.33 & 306,637.42 & 102.65 \\
\hline Less: Concessions & -1,960.00 & -1.91 & -13,440.00 & -4.50 \\
\hline NET RENT INCOME & 99,997.42 & 97.42 & 293,197.42 & 98.15 \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{OTHER INCOME} \\
\hline Passthru App. Processing Fee & 200.00 & 0.19 & 640.00 & 0.21 \\
\hline Passthru Repair \& Maintenance & 459.50 & 0.45 & 759.50 & 0.25 \\
\hline Passthru Cleaning & 1,212.50 & 1.18 & 1,782.50 & 0.60 \\
\hline Miscellaneous Income & 600.00 & 0.58 & 1,225.00 & 0.41 \\
\hline Vending \& Laundry Income & 33.35 & 0.03 & 54.60 & 0.02 \\
\hline Interest on Bank Accounts & 9.36 & 0.01 & 27.14 & 0.01 \\
\hline Late Fee & 130.00 & 0.13 & 920.00 & 0.31 \\
\hline NSF Fee & 0.00 & 0.00 & 105.00 & 0.04 \\
\hline TOTAL OTHER INCOME & 2,644.71 & 2.58 & 5,513.74 & 1.85 \\
\hline TOTAL INCOME & 102,642.13 & 100.00 & 298,711.16 & 100.00 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

EXPENSES

\section*{DIRECT EXPENSES}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Utilities - Electricity & 3,327.47 & 3.24 & 10,752.34 & 3.60 \\
\hline Utilities - Water \& Sewer & 2,566.39 & 2.50 & 8,511.67 & 2.85 \\
\hline Utilites - Waste Disposal & 757.00 & 0.74 & 1,983.50 & 0.66 \\
\hline Utilities - Gas & 5,149.21 & 5.02 & 14,786.10 & 4.95 \\
\hline Painting \& Decorating & 698.66 & 0.68 & 1,699.99 & 0.57 \\
\hline Custodial Expense & 195.03 & 0.19 & 323.13 & 0.11 \\
\hline Furnished Apartment Expense & 618.22 & 0.60 & 1,675.21 & 0.56 \\
\hline Extermination / Pest Control & 0.00 & 0.00 & 180.85 & 0.06 \\
\hline Contracted Cleaning & 1,752.50 & 1.71 & 3,672.50 & 1.23 \\
\hline Repair \& Maint. - Misc. & 15,364.08 & 14.97 & 29,163,58 & 9.76 \\
\hline Snow removal & 0.00 & 0.00 & 1,106.25 & 0.37 \\
\hline Grounds Maintenance & 0.00 & 0.00 & 198.23 & 0.07 \\
\hline Fire Alarm Monitoring & 690.00 & 0.67 & 1,826.00 & 0.61 \\
\hline Property Insurance Expense & 4,285.44 & 4.18 & 12,873.78 & 4.31 \\
\hline Real Estate Taxes & 11,250.00 & 10.96 & 33,750.00 & 11.30 \\
\hline Management & 4,083.50 & 3.98 & 12,263.10 & 4.11 \\
\hline Property Staff Expense & 1,805.00 & 1.76 & 5,865.00 & 1.96 \\
\hline Security Deposit Interest Exp & 35.00 & 0.03 & 35.00 & 0.01 \\
\hline TOTAL DIRECT EXPENSES & 52,577.50 & 51.22 & 0,666.23 & 47.09 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

GENERAL \& ADMINISTRATIVE
\begin{tabular}{lrrrr} 
Office Supplies \& Expense & 43.54 & 0.04 & 43.54 & 0.01 \\
Advertising \& Promotion & \(2,882.71\) & 2.81 & \(9,793.31\) & 3.28 \\
Professional Fees & 188.00 & 0.18 & 188.00 & 0.06 \\
Accounting Fees & 500.00 & 0.49 & \(1,500.00\) & 0.50 \\
Credit Check Costs & 30.00 & 0.03 & 237.50 & 0.08 \\
Bank Fees & 11.00 & 0.01 & 33.00 & 0.01 \\
State Income Tax & 825.00 & 0.80 & 825.00 & 0.28 \\
Bad Debt Expense & \(1,868.62\) & 1.82 & \(1,868.62\) & 0.63 \\
Miscellaneous Expense & 5.68 & 0.01 & 17.04 & 0.01 \\
Interest Expense & 725.00 & 0.71 & \(2,175.00\) & 0.73 \\
& & & & \(\mathbf{1 6 , 6 8 1 . 0 1}\) \\
\hline TOTAL G \& A EXPENSE & \(\mathbf{7 , 0 7 9 . 5 5}\) & \(\mathbf{6 . 9 0}\) & \(\mathbf{5 . 5 8}\)
\end{tabular}

\section*{DEPRECIATION EXPENSE}

Depreciation Expense

TOTAL DEPRECIATION
\(21,500.00\)
20.95
\(64,500.00\)
21.59
20.95

64,500.00
21.59

NON-OPERATING EXPENSE
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Mortgage Interest & 18,924.91 & 18.44 & 56,874.84 & 19.04 \\
\hline Amortization - Financing Fees & 606.00 & 0.59 & 1,818.00 & 0.61 \\
\hline Mortgage Ins. Expense & 2,474.91 & 2.41 & 7,424.85 & 2.49 \\
\hline TOTAL NON-OPERATING EXPENSE & 22,005,82 & 21.44 & 66,117.69 & 22.13 \\
\hline TOTAL EXPENSES & 103,162.87 & 100.51 & 287,964.93 & 96.40 \\
\hline NET INCOME & \(\underline{-520.74}\) & -0.51 & 10,746.23 & 3.60 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\title{
PACIFIC RIDGE OSGOOD PLACE, LP HUD Project Number 094-1 1009
}

Financial Statements and Supplementary Information
Together with the Independent Auditor's Report
For the Year Ended December 31, 2015
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\section*{Independent Auditor's Report}

To the Partners of
Pacific Ridge Osgood Place, LP

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of Pacific Ridge Osgood Place, LP, HUD Project No. 094-11009, which comprise the balance sheet as of December 31, 2015, and the related statements of operations, changes in Partners' equity, and cash flows for the period then ended, and the related notes to the financial statements.

\section*{Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements}

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

\section*{Auditor's Responsibility}

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements. We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.

\section*{Opinion}

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Project as of December 31, 2015, and the results in its operations and its cash flows for the period then ended in conformity with accounting principals generally accepted in the United States of America.

Our audits were conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the basic financial statements taken as a whole. The accompanying supplemental date required by HUD shown on pages 14 to 24 is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the basic financial statements of the Project. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements or to the financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the information is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole.

\section*{Report Issued in Accordance with Government Audit Standards}

In accordance with Government Auditing Standards, we have also issued a report dated March 19, 2015, on our consideration of the Project's internal control over financial reporting and our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. Those reports are an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards and important in considering the entity's internal control and compliance.

SWALM \& ASSOCIATES, P.C.

\section*{Swalm \& Associates, P.C.}

Richardson, Texas
March 19, 2016

\title{
PACIFIC RIDGE OSGOOD PLACE, LP HUD PROJECT NUMBER 094-11009
}

Balance Sheet
December 31, 2015
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{ASSETS} \\
\hline Cash - Operations & \$ & 337,252 \\
\hline Tenant Accounts Receivable & & 3,197 \\
\hline Prepaid Expenses & & 43,981 \\
\hline Total Current Assets & & 384,430 \\
\hline Tenant Deposits Held in Trust & & 28,993 \\
\hline Escrow Deposits & & 189,516 \\
\hline Replacement Reserve & & 61,194 \\
\hline Total Deposits & & 250,710 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Land, Building, Furniture and Equipment} \\
\hline Land & & 868,844 \\
\hline Building & & 8,452,849 \\
\hline Furniture for Tenant Use & & 87,335 \\
\hline Furniture and Equipment & & 20,702 \\
\hline Total Fixed Assets & & 9,429,730 \\
\hline Less: Accumulated Depreciation & & (1,162,701) \\
\hline Net Fixed Assets & & 8,267,029 \\
\hline Deferred Financing Costs, net of Amortization & & 207,451 \\
\hline Total Assets & & 9,138,613 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{LIABILITIES AND PARTNERS' EQUITY} \\
\hline A/P - Operations & \$ & 25,695 \\
\hline Accrued Interest Payable-First Mortgage & & 19,794 \\
\hline Accrued Property Taxes & & 142,470 \\
\hline Mortgage Payable - Short Term & & 130,644 \\
\hline Prepaid Revenues & & 23,805 \\
\hline Total Current Liabilities & & 342,408 \\
\hline Tenant Deposit Liabilities & & 28,025 \\
\hline Mortgage Payable & & 6,694,781 \\
\hline Misc Other Liabilities & & 171,433 \\
\hline Total Long Term Liabilities & & 6,866,214 \\
\hline Total Liabilities & & 7,236,647 \\
\hline Partners' Equity & & 1,901,966 \\
\hline Total Liabilities and Partners' Equity & & 9,138,613 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

\title{
PACIFIC RIDGE OSGOOD PLACE, LP HUD PROJECT NUMBER 094-11009
}

Statement of Operations
For the period ended December 31, 2015

\section*{REVENUES}
Net Rental Income ..... \$ 1,305,878
Interest Income ..... 247
Other Revenues ..... 27,059
Total Revenues ..... 1,333,184
EXPENSES
Administrative ..... 175,297
Utilities ..... 90,036
Operating \& Maintenance ..... 137,659
Taxes \& Insurance ..... 175,288
Financial Expenses ..... 279,928
Depreciation Expense ..... 216,900Amortization Expense11,484
Total Expenses\(1,086,592\)
Net Income (Loss)\(\$ \quad 246,592\)
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Statement of Changes in Partners' Equity
For the period ended December 31, 2015

\section*{PARTNERS' EQUITY - December 31, 2014}

Net Income (Loss)
\(\$ 2,069,317\)

Distributions

PARTNERS' EQUITY - December 31, 2015
\(\$ \xlongequal{1,901,966}\)
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Statement of Changes in Cash Flows
For the period ended December 31, 2015
CASH FLOW FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES
Rental Receipts ..... \$ 1,316,435Interest Receipts247
Other Operating Receipts ..... 27,059Total Receipts1,343,741
Administrative Expenses ..... \((97,457)\)
Management Fees ..... \((52,305)\)
Utilities\((90,036)\)
Salaries and Wages
Operating and Maintenance\((25,535)\)\((147,838)\)
Real Estate TaxesProperty Insurance
Tenant Security Deposits\((130,283)\)\((49,918)\)
Interest on Mortgage ..... \((239,909)\)293
Mortgage Insurance Premium ..... \((31,021)\)
Miscellaneous Financial
Total Disbursements
Net Cash Provided by (Used in) Operating Activities
\((9,363)\)
(873,372)470,369
CASH FLOW FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES
Net Deposits to Mortgage Escrow ..... \((1,475)\)
Net Deposits to Replacement Reserve ..... 29,527
Net Purchase of Fixed Assets ..... \((28,868)\)
Net Cash Provided by (Used in) Investing Activities(816)
CASH FLOW FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES
Principal Payments on Mortgage
Payments on Misc. Liabilities - Special AssessmentDistributions to partners\((125,817)\)
Distributions to partners\((413,943)\)
Net Cash Provided by (Used in) Financing Activities ..... \((547,426)\)Net Increase (Decrease) in Cash for the PeriodCash - December 31, 2014\((77,873)\)415,125
Cash - December 31, 2015

\section*{PACIFIC RIDGE OSGOOD PLACE, LP HUD PROJECT NUMBER 094-11009}

Statement of Changes in Cash Flows - continued
For the period ended December 31, 2015

\section*{RECONCILIATION OF NET INCOME (LOSS) TO CASH PROVIDED BY (USED IN) OPERATING ACTIVITIES}
\begin{tabular}{lr} 
Net Income (Loss) & \(\$ 246,592\) \\
Adjustments to Reconcile Net Income (Loss) to Net & \\
Cash Provided by (Used in) Operating Activities & 216,900 \\
Depreciation Expenses & 11,484 \\
Amortization Expenses & 12,129 \\
Decrease (increase) in Tenant Account Receivable & \((71)\) \\
Decrease (increase) in Prepaid Expenses & 1,418 \\
Decrease (increase) in Cash Restricted for Tenant Security Deposits & \((10,179)\) \\
Increase (decrease) in Vendors Accounts Payable & \((4,842)\) \\
Increase (decrease) in Accrued Liabilities & \((365)\) \\
Increase (decrease) in Accrued Interest Payable & \((1,125)\) \\
Increase (decrease) in Tenant Security Deposits Held in Trust & \((1,572)\) \\
Increase (decrease) in Prepaid Revenues & \\
Net Cash Provided by (Used in) Operating Activities & \(\mathbf{S}\)
\end{tabular}
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\section*{NOTE 1 - Organization and Basis of Presentation}

The accompanying financial statements have been prepared in conformity with the accounting and reporting standards prescribed by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) in the Audit guide for Auditing Development Costs of HUD-Insured Multifamily Projects. These standards differ in some respects from accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; however, the differences are not material in the accompanying financial statements.

Pacific Ridge Osgood Place, LP (the Company), was formed as a California limited partnership on March 19, 2010, to acquire and manage a 117 -unit multifamily apartment complex located in Fargo, North Dakota. The project is secured by a mortgage insured by The Department of Housing and Urban Development under section 207 and pursuant to section \(223(\mathrm{f})\). As such, the project is subject to a regulatory agreement that restricts cash disbursements, encumbering the property with additional liabilities and unauthorized changes of ownership.

\section*{NOTE 2 - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies}

Basis of accounting - The accrual method of accounting is used for financial statement purposes. Project equity represents contributions made by the owners that were required to fund the costs incurred to construct or purchase the Project, plus the results of operations of the Project less any distributions made to the owners.

Cash and cash equivalents - For purposes of reporting cash flows, the Project considers all short-term investments with an original maturity of three months or less to be cash equivalents. The Project maintains its cash balances at financial institutions that participate in the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation's Transaction Account Guarantee Program which insures non-interest bearing accounts for the full balance and interest bearing accounts up to \(\$ 250,000\).

Accounts Receivable, Rental Income - Tenant receivables are recorded the day rent is due, usually the first day of each month. Rental payments received in advance are deferred until earned. All leases between the Project and the tenants of the property are operating leases.

Allowance for Uncollectible Accounts - Amounts are considered past due based on the tenant's individual lease. Tenant receivables are charged to bad debt expense when they are determined to be uncollectible based on a periodic review of the accounts by management. Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that the allowance method be used to recognize bad debts; however, the effect of using the direct write-off method is not materially different from the results that would have been obtained under the allowance method.

Fixed Assets, Depreciation and Amortization - Property and equipment are recorded at cost. Depreciation and amortization methods for fixed and intangible assets are based on generally accepted accounting principals methods. When assets are sold or retired, the related costs and accumulated depreciation are removed from the accounts and any given gain or loss is included in operations.

Management of the Partnership reviews the depreciated value of the property to determine if circumstances exist that would indicate the carrying value of the property has been impaired. If impairment is indicated, an adjustment will be made to the depreciated value of the property based on discounted future cash flows. Management does not believe that there are any factors or circumstances indicating impairment of its investment in the property as of December 31, 2015.
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As of December 31, 2015

\section*{NOTE 2 - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (continued)}

Deferred financing costs - Deferred financing costs are capitalized and amortized over the life of the loan using the effective interest method.

Revenue Recognition - The apartment units are leased under operating leases normally of one year or less; however there are no leases that exceed a term of 3 years. The Company also has certain garages, carports and storage compartments available to rent by resident tenants. Income is recognized monthly as earned. Advance rental payments and tenant security deposits are reflected as liabilities until earned or refunded. Fees and forfeitures of deposits are recognized when incurred. Normally these are cash transactions and are fully recognized when recorded.

Operational Expenses - Operational expenses are recorded or accrued when incurred. Expenses that are paid in advance of the goods or service being provided are deferred and amortized over their contract or useful period. Prepaid property insurance is the principal prepaid asset.

Federal Income Tax Matters - The Company is not directly liable for Federal income taxes. Company income and deductions are allocated to the partners who are directly responsible for any income taxes due on such allocation; however, the Company does prepare a Federal income tax return as required by the Federal income tax laws.

Use of Estimates - The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

\section*{NOTE 3 - Bank Accounts and Petty Cash}

The Company maintains its cash in two bank accounts with Bremer Bank, a regional banking institution. Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation insures each account balance. Currently all balances are within the FDIC insured limits.

To comply with HUD regulations, tenant security deposits provided under tenants' lease provisions are maintained in a separate bank account. The cash in this account is restricted from use in the general operations of the Company. As security deposits increase or decrease, the amount held in the aforementioned restricted account is adjusted accordingly.

A petty cash fund is maintained by the property manager to pay minor expenditures that arise in the normal course of business. This fund is replenished periodically on an impress basis. At December 31, 2015, the following were the balances in the bank and petty cash accounts:
\begin{tabular}{cc} 
Operating Account & \(\frac{\$ 337,252}{\$ 3337,252}\) \\
Total Cash & \(\underline{\underline{\$}}\) \\
Tenant Deposits Held in Trust & \(\$ \underline{\underline{28,993}}\)
\end{tabular}
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\section*{NOTE 4 - Reserves and Escrows}

Each month the mortgage payment includes escrow payments for payment of annual property tax, hazard insurance and mortgage insurance premiums as well as a replacement reserve contribution. The monthly escrow payment currently totals \(\$ 22,222\) which includes \(\$ 12,365\) property tax escrow, \(\$ 4,933\) hazard insurance escrow, \(\$ 2,486\) mortgage insurance premium and \(\$ 2,438\) replacement reserve contribution. Replacement reserves are available for future replacement needs and can only be withdrawn with approval from HUD.

At December 31, 2015, the outstanding mortgage-escrow balances included the following, which management believe are adequate to pay the underlying obligations being escrowed:
\begin{tabular}{lrr} 
Property Tax Escrow & \(\$ 142,313\) \\
Property Insurance Escrow & 21,381 \\
Mortgage Insurance Premium Escrow & \(\$ 5,822\) \\
& \(\$\)\begin{tabular}{|c|}
\hline 189,516 \\
\hline
\end{tabular} & \(\$ \mathbf{6 1 , 1 9 4}\)
\end{tabular}

\section*{NOTE 5 - Fixed Assets}

Land, building and furniture, fixtures and equipment are recorded at cost incurred to construct or acquire the assets. At December 31, 2015, fixed assets were reflected in the following accounts:
\begin{tabular}{lr} 
Land (non-depreciated) & \(\$ 868,844\) \\
Building & \(8,452,849\) \\
Furniture for project/tenant use & 87,335 \\
Office furniture and equipment & 20,702 \\
Less: Accumulated Depreciation & \(9,429,730\) \\
\hline\((1,162,701)\)
\end{tabular}

\section*{\(\$ \underline{\underline{8,267,029}}\)}

Depreciation expenses are based on expected useful lives of the related assets, ranging from 5 to 40 years, as provided under generally accepted accounting principals. Assets began being depreciated when put into service.

\section*{NOTE 6 - Deferred Financing Costs and Amortization}

The costs incurred in obtaining financing have been capitalized and are being amortized. The balances of such costs at December 31, 2015, are as follows:

Financing costs
Less: Accumulated amortization
Net deferred fees


Amortization expense for the year ended December 31, 2015, was \(\$ 11,484\).
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\section*{NOTE 7 - Other Liabilities}

Accrued expenses at December 31, 2015, represent estimated operational expenses incurred but not yet billed or paid. Most of these accrued expenses are normally not billed and paid monthly; therefore, estimates of the amount due are accrued and moved to accounts payable when billed. The components of accrued expenses at December 31, 2015, include accrued property taxes of \(\$ 142,470\).

Vendor payables are recorded when billings for expenses and other purchases are received. All vendor payables recorded at December 31, 2015, were paid within 30 days subsequent to year end.

\section*{NOTE 8 - Mortgage Payable}

At December 31, 2015, the Company has a HUD-insured mortgage outstanding in the amount of \(\$ 6,825,425\) ( \(\$ 130,644\) due within the next 12 months). The mortgage bears interest at \(3.48 \%\) per annum payable monthly installments of \(\$ 30,477\), including principal and interest through January 1,2046. Amounts due over the next five years and thereafter are as follows:


The Company is required to pay an annual mortgage insurance premium in advance equal to \(0.45 \%\) of the average outstanding balance of the mortgage payable for the following 12 months.

\section*{NOTE 9 - Partners' Equity}

The following reflects the changes in Partners' Equity during the year ended December 31, 2015:

Beginning balance at December 31, 2014
Income for period ended December 31, 2015
Distributions to partners
Ending balance at December 31, 2015
\$ 2,069,317
246,592
\((413,943)\)
\(\$ 1, \underline{1,901,966}\)

Under the regulatory agreement between the Company and HUD, distributions to partners from funds provided by rental operations are allowed provided that: 1) surplus cash, as defined by HUD, is available for such purposes; 2) the project is in compliance with all outstanding notices of requirements for proper maintenance; and 3 ) there is no default under the regulatory agreement or under the mortgage note. During 2015 distributions of \(\$ 413,943\) of surplus cash were made. Distributable surplus cash as of December 31, 2015, amounted to \(\$ 255,644\).
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\section*{NOTE 10 - Management Fees}

Property Resources Group, managed the property during 2015 under a HUD approved management arrangement. The management fee arrangement is \(4 \%\) of monthly tenant revenue collected. Management fees for 2015 were \(\$ 52,305\).

\section*{NOTE 11 - Concentrations}

The Partnership's cash balances are insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation. At times, these balances may exceed the federal insurance limits; however, the Partnership has not experienced losses with respect to its bank balances in excess of government provided insurance. Management believes that no significant concentration of credit risk exists with respect to these cash balances at December 31, 2015.

Partnership's principal asset is a 117 -unit apartment project. The Partnership's operations are concentrated in the multifamily real estate market. In addition, the Partnership operates in a heavily regulated environment. The operations of the Partnership are subject to the administrative directives, rules and regulations of federal agencies, including, but not limited to, HUD. Such administrative directives, rules and regulations are subject to change by an act of Congress or an administrative change mandated by HUD. Such changes may occur with little notice or inadequate funding to pay for the related cost, including the additional administrative burden, to comply with a change.

\section*{NOTE 12 - Subsequent Events}

The Partnership has evaluated subsequent events through March 19, 2015, the date the financial statements were available to be issued, and has determined that there are none to be reported.

SUPPLEMENTARY DATA REQUIRED BY HUD
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BALANCE SHEET DATA
DECEMBER 31, 2015
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{ASSETS} \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{CURRENT ASSETS} \\
\hline 1120 & Cash - Operations & \$ & 337,252 \\
\hline 1130 & Tenant/Member Accounts Receivable (Coops) & & 3,197 \\
\hline 1200 & Prepaid Expenses & & 43,981 \\
\hline 1100T & Total Current Assets & & 384,430 \\
\hline 1191 & Tenant/Patient Deposits Held in Trust & & 28,993 \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{RESTRICTED DEPOSITS} \\
\hline 1310 & Escrow Deposits & & 189,516 \\
\hline 1320 & Replacement Reserve & & 61,194 \\
\hline 1300T & Total Deposits & & 250,710 \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT} \\
\hline 1410 & Land & & 868,844 \\
\hline 1420 & Buildings & & 8,452,849 \\
\hline 1450 & Furniture for Project/Tenant Use & & 87,335 \\
\hline 1465 & Office Furniture and Equipment & & 20,702 \\
\hline 1400T & Total Fixed Assets & & 9,429,730 \\
\hline 1495 & Accumulated Depreciation & & (1,162,701) \\
\hline 1400N & Net Fixed Assets & & 8,267,029 \\
\hline 1520 & Deferred Financing Costs & & 207,451 \\
\hline 1500 T & Total Other Assets & & 207,451 \\
\hline 1000T & TOTAL ASSETS & \$ & 9,138,613 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{PACIFIC RIDGE OSGOOD PLACE, LP HUD CONTRACT NUMBER 094-11009}

\section*{BALANCE SHEET DATA - CONTINUED \\ DECEMBER 31, 2015}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{LIABILITIES AND EQUITY} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{CURRENT LIABILITIES} \\
\hline 2110 Accounts Payable - Operations & \$ & 25,695 \\
\hline 2131 Accrued Interest Payable-First Mortgage & & 19,794 \\
\hline 2150 Accrued Property Taxes & & 142,470 \\
\hline 2170 Mortgage Payable - First Mortgage (Short Term) & & 130,644 \\
\hline 2210 Prepaid Revenue & & 23,805 \\
\hline 2122 T Total Current Liabilities & & 342,408 \\
\hline 2191 Tenant/Patient Deposits Held In Trust (Contra) & & 28,025 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{LONG-TERM LIABILITIES} \\
\hline 2320 Mortgage (or Bonds) Payable - First Mortgage & & 6,694,781 \\
\hline 2390 Other Loans and Notes Payable & & 171,433 \\
\hline 2300 T Total Long Term Liabilities & & 6,866,214 \\
\hline 2000T Total Liabilities & & 7,236,647 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{PARTNERS' EQUITY} \\
\hline 3130 Total Equity & & 1,901,966 \\
\hline 2033T TOTAL LIABILITIES AND EQUITY & \$ & 9.138,613 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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PROFIT \& LOSS DATA
FOR THE PERIOD ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2015
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{REVENUE} \\
\hline 5120 & Rent Revenue - Gross Potential & \$ 1,305,878 \\
\hline 5100 T & Total Rent Revenue & 1,305,878 \\
\hline 5152 N & Net Rental Revenue (Rent Revenue Less Vacancies) & 1,305,878 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Financial Revenue} \\
\hline 5440 & Revenue from Investments & 247 \\
\hline 5400 T & Total Financial Revenue & 247 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Other Revenue} \\
\hline 5910 & Laundry and Vending Revenue & 292 \\
\hline 5920 & Tenant Charges & 16,215 \\
\hline 5990 & Misc. Revenue & 10,552 \\
\hline 5900 T & Total Other Revenue & 27,059 \\
\hline 5000 T & TOTAL REVENUE & 1,333,184 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{EXPENSES} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Administrative Expenses} \\
\hline 6210 & Advertising and Marketing & 45,632 \\
\hline 6250 & Other Renting Expenses & 3,376 \\
\hline 6310 & Office Salaries & 25,535 \\
\hline 6311 & Office Expenses & 4,440 \\
\hline 6312 & Office or Model Apartment Rent & 10,891 \\
\hline 6320 & Management Fee & 52,305 \\
\hline 6350 & Audit Fees & 4,751 \\
\hline 6351 & Bookkeeping Fees/Accounting Services & 14,332 \\
\hline 6370 & Bad Debts & 13,688 \\
\hline 6390 & Miscellaneous Administrative Expenses & 347 \\
\hline 6263 T & Total Administrative Expenses & 175,297 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Utilities Expenses} \\
\hline 6450 & Electricity & 31,766 \\
\hline 6451 & Water & 323 \\
\hline 6452 & Gas Utility Expense & 25,478 \\
\hline 6453 & Sewer & 32,469 \\
\hline 6400T & Total Utilities Expense & 90,036 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Operating \& Maintenance Expenses} \\
\hline 6515 & Supplies & 92,827 \\
\hline 6520 & Contracts & 28,162 \\
\hline 6525 & Garbage and Trash Removal & 7,306 \\
\hline 6530 & Security Payroll/Contract & 885 \\
\hline 6548 & Snow Removal & 8,479 \\
\hline 6500 T & Total Operating and Maintenance Expenses & 137,659 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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PROFIT \& LOSS DATA - CONTINUED
FOR THE PERIOD ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2015


\section*{PACIFIC RIDGE OSGOOD PLACE, LP HUD CONTRACT NUMBER 094-11009}

STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN PARTNERS' EQUITY
FOR PERIOD ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2015
\begin{tabular}{llc} 
S1100-010 & Beginning of Year Balance & \(\$ \quad 2,069,317\) \\
3250 & Net Income or Loss & 246,592 \\
S1200-420 & Distributions & \((413,943)\) \\
\(3130 \quad\) End of Year & \(\$ \quad 1,901,966\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{PACIFIC RIDGE OSGOOD PLACE, LP HUD CONTRACT NUMBER 094-11009}

STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS DATA
FOR THE PERIOD ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2015
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES} \\
\hline S1200-010 Rental Receipts & \$ & 1,316,435 \\
\hline S1200-020 Interest Receipts & & 247 \\
\hline S1200-030 Other Operating Receipts & & 27,059 \\
\hline S1200-040 Total Receipts & & 1,343,741 \\
\hline S1200-050 Administrative & & \((97,457)\) \\
\hline S1200-070 Management Fee & & \((52,305)\) \\
\hline S1200-090 Utilities & & \((90,036)\) \\
\hline S1200-100 Salaries and Wages & & \((25,535)\) \\
\hline S1200-110 Operating and Maintenance & & \((147,838)\) \\
\hline S1200-120 Real Estate Taxes & & \((130,283)\) \\
\hline S1200-140 Property Insurance & & \((49,918)\) \\
\hline S1200-160 Tenant Security Deposits & & 293 \\
\hline S1200-180 Interest on Mortgages & & \((239,909)\) \\
\hline S1200-210 Mortgage Insurance Premium (MIP) & & \((31,021)\) \\
\hline S1200-220 Miscellaneous Financial & & \((9,363)\) \\
\hline S1200-230 Total Disbursements & & \((873,372)\) \\
\hline S1200-240 NET CASH PROVIDED BY (USED IN) OPERATIONS & & 470,369 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES} \\
\hline S1200-245 Net Deposits to the Mortgage Escrow account & & \((1,475)\) \\
\hline S1200-250 Net Deposits to the Reserve for Replacement account & & 29,527 \\
\hline S1200-330 Net Purchase of Fixed Assets & & \((28,868)\) \\
\hline S1200-350 NET CASH PROVIDED BY (USED IN) INVESTING & & (816) \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES} \\
\hline S1200-360 Principal Payments - First Mortgage (or Bonds) & & \((125,817)\) \\
\hline S1200-420 Distributions & & \((413,943)\) \\
\hline S1200-450 Other Financing Activities & & \((7,666)\) \\
\hline S1200-460 NET CASH PROVIDED BY (USED IN) FINANCING & & \((547,426)\) \\
\hline S1200-470 NET INCREASE (DECREASE) IN CASH & & \((77,873)\) \\
\hline S1200-480 Beginning of Period Cash & & 415,125 \\
\hline S1200T END OF PERIOD CASH & \$ & 337,252 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\section*{STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS DATA - CONTINUED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2015}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{RECONCILIATION OF NET INCOME (LOSS) TO NET CASH PROVIDED BY (USED IN) OPERATING ACTIVITIES} \\
\hline 3250 Change in Total Net Assets from Operations & \$ & 246,592 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Adjustments to Reconcile Net Profit (Loss) to Net Cash Provided by (Used in) Operating Activities} \\
\hline 6600 Depreciation Expenses & & 216,900 \\
\hline 6610 Amortization Expense & & 11,484 \\
\hline S1200-490 Decrease (increase) in Tenant/Member Accounts Receivable & & 12,129 \\
\hline S1200-520 Decrease (increase) in Prepaid Expenses & & (71) \\
\hline S1200-530 Decrease (increase) in Cash Restricted For Tenant Security Deposits & & 1,418 \\
\hline S1200-540 Increase (decrease) in Accounts Payable & & \((10,179)\) \\
\hline S1200-560 Increase (decrease) in Accrued Liabilities & & \((4,842)\) \\
\hline S1200-570 Increase (decrease) in Accrued Interest Payable & & (365) \\
\hline S1200-580 Increase (decrease) in Tenant Security Deposits Held in Trust & & \((1,125)\) \\
\hline S1200-590 Increase (decrease) in Prepaid Revenue & & \((1,572)\) \\
\hline S1200-610 NET CASH PROVIDED BY (USED IN) OPERATIONS & \$ & 470,369 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{PACIFIC RIDGE OSGOOD PLACE, LP HUD CONTRACT NUMBER 094-11009}

\section*{SCHEDULE OF RESERVE FOR REPLACEMENTS \\ FOR THE PERIOD ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2015}

SCHEDULE OF RESERVE FOR REPLACEMENTS
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 1320P & Balance at Beginning of Year & \$ & 90,721 \\
\hline 1320DT & Total Monthly Deposits & & 29,200 \\
\hline 1320INT & Interest on Replacement Reserve Accounts & & 7 \\
\hline 1320WT & Approved Withdrawals & & \((58,734)\) \\
\hline 1320 & Balance at End of Year, Confirmed by Mortgagee & \$ & 61,194 \\
\hline 1320R & Deposits Suspended or Waived Indicator & & NO \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\title{
PACIFIC RIDGE OSGOOD PLACE, LP HUD CONTRACT NUMBER 094-11009
}

\section*{COMPUTATION OF SURPLUS CASH}

FOR THE PERIOD ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2015
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Annual - December 31, 2015} \\
\hline S1300-010 Cash & \$ & 366,245 \\
\hline S1300-040 Total Cash & & 366,245 \\
\hline Current Obligations & & \\
\hline S1300-050 Accrued Mortgage (or Bond) Interest Payable & & 19,794 \\
\hline S1300-075 Accounts Payable - 30 days & & 25,695 \\
\hline S1300-090 Deficient Tax, Insurance or MIP Escrow Deposits & & 160 \\
\hline 2210 Prepaid Revenue & & 23,805 \\
\hline 2191 Tenant Security Deposits Liability & & 28,025 \\
\hline S1300-110 Other Current Obligations & & 13,122 \\
\hline S1300-140 Total Current Obligations & & 110,601 \\
\hline S1300-150 Surplus Cash (Deficiency) & \$ & 255,644 \\
\hline S1300-200 Amount Available for Distribution during next fiscal period & \$ & 255,644 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Semi-Annual - June 30, 2015} \\
\hline S1400-020 Cash & \$ & 393,275 \\
\hline S1400-050 Total Cash & & 393,275 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Current Obligations} \\
\hline S1400-060 Accrued Mortgage Interest Payable & & 19,978 \\
\hline S1400-090 Accounts Payable - 30 days & & 33,953 \\
\hline S1400-130 Prepaid Revenue & & 13,724 \\
\hline S1400-140 Tenant Security Deposits Liability & & 30,864 \\
\hline S1400-180 Total Current Obligations & & 98,519 \\
\hline S1400-190 Surplus Cash (Deficiency) & \$ & 294,756 \\
\hline S1400-240 Amount Available for Distribution & \$ & 294,756 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

PACIFIC RIDGE OSGOOD PLACE, LP HUD CONTRACT NUMBER 094-11009

SCHEDULE OF FIXED ASSETS
DECEMBER 31, 2015
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & Beginning Balance & Additions & Deletions & \begin{tabular}{l}
Ending \\
Balance
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 1410 Land & \$ 868,844 & \$ & \$ - & \$ 868,844 \\
\hline 1420 Buildings & 8,452,849 & - & & 8,452,849 \\
\hline 1450 Furniture for Project/Tenant Use & 69,592 & 17,743 & - & 87,335 \\
\hline 1465 Office Furniture and Equipment & 9,577 & 11,125 & - & 20,702 \\
\hline Total & 9,400,862 & \$ 28,868 & & 9,429,730 \\
\hline 1495 Depreciation & \((945,801)\) & \$ (216.900) & & (1,162,701) \\
\hline 1400N Net Book Value & \$ 8,455,061 & & & \$8,267,029 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\title{
Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards
}

\author{
To the Partners of \\ Pacific Ridge Osgood Place, LP
}

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of Pacific Ridge Osgood Place, LP (Project), HUD Contact No. 094-11009, which comprise the balance sheet as of December 31, 2015, and the related statements of operations, partners' equity, and cash flows for the year then ended, and the related notes to the financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated March 19, 2015.

\section*{Internal Control over Financial Reporting}

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the Project's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Project's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Project's internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the Project's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control, that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

\section*{Compliance and Other Matters}

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Project's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under Government

\section*{Auditing Standards.}

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the Project's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards in considering the Project's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

SWALM \& ASSOCIATES, P.C.

\section*{swalm \(X\) Ansociater, P.C.}

Richardson, Texas
March 19, 2016

\section*{Swalm \& Associates, p.C.}

\title{
Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance with Requirements that Could Have a Direct and Material Effect on the Major HUD-assisted Program and on Internal Control over Compliance in Accordance with the Consolidated Audit Guide for Audits of HUD Programs
}

\author{
To the Partners of \\ Pacific Ridge Osgood Place, LP \\ Report on Compliance for Major HUD Program
}

We have audited Pacific Ridge Osgood Place, LP, HUD Project No. 094-11009 compliance with the compliance requirements governing federal financial reports, mortgage status, replacement reserve, distributions to owners, equity skimming, cash receipts, cash disbursements, security deposits, management functions, unauthorized change of ownership/acquisition of liabilities, unauthorized loans of project funds described in the Consolidated Audit Guide for Audits of HUD Programs (the Guide) that could have a direct and material effect on the Project's major U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) program for the year ended December 31, 2015. The Project's major HUD program is a Section 221(d)(4).

\section*{Management's Responsibility}

Management is responsible for compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to the HUD program.

\section*{Auditor's Responsibility}

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on compliance for Pacific Ridge Osgood Place, LP's major HUD program based on our audit of the compliance requirements referred to above. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the Guide. Those standards and the Guide require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major HUD program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the Project's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance for the major HUD program. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of Pacific Ridge Osgood Place, LP's compliance.

\section*{Opinion on Major HUD Program}

In our opinion, Pacific Ridge Osgood Place, LP complied, in all material respects, with the compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on the major HUD program for the year ended December 31, 2015.

\section*{Report on Internal Control Over Compliance}

Management of Pacific Ridge Osgood Place, LP, is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the Project's internal control over compliance with the requirements that could have a direct and material effect on the major HUD program to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance for the major HUD program and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with the Guide, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Project's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a compliance requirement of a HUD program on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a compliance requirement of a HUD program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a compliance requirement of a HUD program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Guide. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

SWALM \& ASSOCIATES, P.C.

\section*{Swalm \& Associates, P.C.}

Richardson, Texas
March 19, 2016

\section*{PACIFIC RIDGE OSGOOD PLACE, LP} HUD PROJECT NUMBER 094-11009

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS
December 31, 2015

No matters were reported for the year ended December 31, 2015.

\title{
PACIFIC RIDGE OSGOOD PLACE, LP HUD PROJECT NUMBER 094-11009
}

\section*{AUDITOR'S COMMENTS ON AUDIT RESOLUTION} MATTERS RELATING TO HUD PROGRAMS DECEMBER 31, 2015

No matters were reported for the year ended December 31, 2015.

\title{
PACIFIC RIDGE OSGOOD PLACE, LP HUD PROJECT NUMBER 094-11009
}

\section*{CERTIFICATE OF PARTNERS}

DECEMBER 31, 2015
We hereby certify that we have examined the accompanying financial statements and supplemental data of Pacific Ridge Osgood Place, LP, HUD Contract No. 094-11009 and, to the best of our knowledge and belief, the same are accurate and complete.

Signed:


Title:


Telephone Number: 1-877-412-8474

\title{
PACIFIC RIDGE OSGOOD PLACE, LP
}

HUD PROJECT 094-11009
MANAGEMENT AGENTS CERTIFICATION
DECEMBER 31, 2015

We hereby certify that we have examined the accompanying financial statements and supplemental data of Pacific Ridge Osgood Place, LP, HUD Contract No. 094-11009 and, to the best of our knowledge and belief, the same are accurate and complete.


Title: \(\qquad\)

Date: \(\qquad\)
\[
3-30-16
\]

Tax Identification Number: 501-72-9165
Property Manager: Kami Olson

\section*{PACIFIC RIDGE OSGOOD PLACE, LP HUD Project Number 094-11009}
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\section*{Independent Auditor's Report}

To the Partners of
Pacific Ridge Osgood Place, LP

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of Pacific Ridge Osgood Place, LP, HUD Project No. 094-11009, which comprise the balance sheet as of December 31, 2016, and the related statements of operations, changes in Partners' equity, and cash flows for the period then ended, and the related notes to the financial statements.

\section*{Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements}

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

\section*{Auditor's Responsibility}

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements. We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.

\section*{Opinion}

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Project as of December 31, 2016, and the results in its operations and its cash flows for the period then ended in conformity with accounting principals generally accepted in the United States of America.

Our audits were conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the basic financial statements taken as a whole. The accompanying supplemental date required by HUD shown on pages 14 to 24 is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the basic financial statements of the Project. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements or to the financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the information is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole.

\section*{Report Issued in Accordance with Government Audit Standards}

In accordance with Government Auditing Standards, we have also issued a report dated March 19, 2017, on our consideration of the Project's internal control over financial reporting and our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. Those reports are an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards and important in considering the entity's internal control and compliance.

SWALM \& ASSOCIATES, P.C.

\section*{Swalm \(\perp\) Assaciater, P.C.}

Richardson, Texas
March 19, 2017

\section*{PACIFIC RIDGE OSGOOD PLACE, LP HUD PROJECT NUMBER 094-11009}

\section*{Balance Sheet}

December 31, 2016


\title{
PACIFIC RIDGE OSGOOD PLACE, LP HUD PROJECT NUMBER 094-11009
}

Statement of Operations
For the period ended December 31, 2016

\section*{REVENUES}
\(\begin{array}{lrr}\text { Net Rental Income } & \$ 1,298,224 \\ \text { Interest Income } & 258\end{array}\)
Other Revenues \(\quad 35,486\)
Total Revenues
1,333,968

\section*{EXPENSES}

Administrative \(\quad 159,961\)
Utilities
84,270
Operating \& Maintenance \(\quad 150,305\)
Taxes \& Insurance 173,787
Total Financial \(\quad 281,762\)
Total Cost of Operations before Depreciation
850,085

Profit (Loss) before Depreciation
483,883
Depreciation Expense
247,154

\title{
PACIFIC RIDGE OSGOOD PLACE, LP HUD PROJECT NUMBER 094-11009
}

Statement of Changes in Partners' Equity
For the period ended December 31, 2016

\section*{PARTNERS' EQUITY - December 31, 2015}

Net Income (Loss)
Distributions
\$ 1,901,966

236,729
\(\$ 1\)

\title{
PACIFIC RIDGE OSGOOD PLACE, LP HUD PROJECT NUMBER 094-11009
}

\author{
Statement of Changes in Cash Flows \\ For the period ended December 31, 2016
}

\section*{CASH FLOW FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES \\ Rental Receipts \(\quad \$ 1,291,745\) \\ Interest Receipts \\ 258 \\ Other Operating Receipts
Total Receipts}

Administrative Expenses \(\quad(81,131)\)
Management Fees \(\quad(52,160)\)
Utilities
Salaries and Wages
Operating and Maintenance
Real Estate Taxes
Property Insurance
Misc. Taxes and Insurance
\((91,339)\)
\((109,102)\)

Tenant Security Deposits
Interest on Mortgage
\((125,441)\)
\((49,442)\)

Mortgage Insurance Premium
\((3,248)\)

Miscellaneous Financial

Net Cash Provided by (Used in) Operating Activities

> Total Disbursements

\section*{CASH FLOW FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES}

Net Deposits to Mortgage Escrow 1,388
Net Deposits to Replacement Reserve
Net Purchase of Fixed Assets
(141,721)
Net Cash Provided by (Used in) Investing Activities
\((140,215)\)

\section*{CASH FLOW FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES}

Principal Payments on Mortgage
Distributions to partners
Payments on Misc. Liabilities - Special Assessment
Net Cash Provided by (Used in) Financing Activities
\[
(8,068)
\]

Net Increase (Decrease) in Cash for the Period
Cash - December 31, 2015
\((373,320)\)

Cash - December 31, 2016

2,750
337,252
\(\$ \quad 340,002\)

\section*{PACIFIC RIDGE OSGOOD PLACE, LP HUD PROJECT NUMBER 094-11009}

Statement of Changes in Cash Flows - continued
For the period ended December 31, 2016

\section*{RECONCILIATION OF NET INCOME (LOSS) TO CASH PROVIDED BY (USED IN) OPERATING ACTIVITIES}
\begin{tabular}{lr} 
Net Income (Loss) & \(\$ 236,729\) \\
Adjustments to Reconcile Net Income (Loss) to Net & \\
Cash Provided by (Used in) Operating Activities & 247,154 \\
& 7,272 \\
Depreciation Expenses & 648 \\
Amortization of Debt Issuance Costs & 837 \\
Decrease (increase) in Tenant Account Receivable & \((1,864)\) \\
Decrease (increase) in Prepaid Expenses & 34,134 \\
Decrease (increase) in Cash Restricted for Tenant Security Deposits & \((1,933)\) \\
Increase (decrease) in Vendors Accounts Payable & \((378)\) \\
Increase (decrease) in Accrued Liabilities & 813 \\
Increase (decrease) in Accrued Interest Payable & \((7,127)\) \\
Increase (decrease) in Tenant Security Deposits Held in Trust & \(\boxed{~ I n c r e a s e ~(d e c r e a s e) ~ i n ~ P r e p a i d ~ R e v e n u e s ~}\) \\
& \\
Net Cash Provided by (Used in) Operating Activities & 516,285 \\
\hline \hline
\end{tabular}

\title{
PACIFIC RIDGE OSGOOD PLACE, LP HUD PROJECT NUMBER 094-11009
}

\author{
Notes to Financial Statements
}

As of December 31, 2016

\section*{NOTE 1 - Organization and Basis of Presentation}

The accompanying financial statements have been prepared in conformity with the accounting and reporting standards prescribed by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) in the Audit guide for Auditing Development Costs of HUD-Insured Multifamily Projects. These standards differ in some respects from accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; however, the differences are not material in the accompanying financial statements.

Pacific Ridge Osgood Place, LP (the Company), was formed as a California limited partnership on March 19, 2010, to acquire and manage a 117-unit multifamily apartment complex located in Fargo, North Dakota. The Company operates under the provisions of Section 221(d) (4) of the National Housing Act, with insurance provided by the Federal Housing Administration (FHA) of HUD, and has agreed to adhere to provisions of a regulatory agreement between it and HUD.

\section*{NOTE 2 - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies}

Basis of accounting - The accrual method of accounting is used for financial statement purposes. Project equity represents contributions made by the owners that were required to fund the costs incurred to construct or purchase the Project, plus the results of operations of the Project less any distributions made to the owners.

Cash and cash equivalents - For purposes of reporting cash flows, the Project considers all short-term investments with an original maturity of three months or less to be cash equivalents. The Project maintains its cash balances at financial institutions that participate in the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation's Transaction Account Guarantee Program which insures non-interest bearing accounts for the full balance and interest bearing accounts up to \(\$ 250,000\).

Accounts Receivable, Rental Income - Tenant receivables are recorded the day rent is due, usually the first day of each month. Rental payments received in advance are deferred until earned. All leases between the Project and the tenants of the property are operating leases.

Allowance for Uncollectible Accounts - Amounts are considered past due based on the tenant's individual lease. Tenant receivables are charged to bad debt expense when they are determined to be uncollectible based on a periodic review of the accounts by management. Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that the allowance method be used to recognize bad debts; however, the effect of using the direct write-off method is not materially different from the results that would have been obtained under the allowance method.

Fixed Assets, Depreciation and Amortization - Property and equipment are recorded at cost. Depreciation and amortization methods for fixed and intangible assets are based on generally accepted accounting principals methods. When assets are sold or retired, the related costs and accumulated depreciation are removed from the accounts and any given gain or loss is included in operations.

Management of the Partnership reviews the depreciated value of the property to determine if circumstances exist that would indicate the carrying value of the property has been impaired. If impairment is indicated, an adjustment will be made to the depreciated value of the property based on discounted future cash flows. Management does not believe that there are any factors or circumstances indicating impairment of its investment in the property as of December 31, 2016.

Deferred financing costs - Deferred financing costs are capitalized and amortized over the life of the loan using the effective interest method.

\title{
PACIFIC RIDGE OSGOOD PLACE, LP HUD PROJECT' NUMBER 094-11009
}

\author{
Notes to Financial Statements
}

As of December 31, 2016

\section*{NOTE 2 - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (continued)}

Revenue Recognition - The apartment units are leased under operating leases normally of one year or less; however there are no leases that exceed a term of 3 years. The Company also has certain garages, carports and storage compartments available to rent by resident tenants. Income is recognized monthly as earned. Advance rental payments and tenant security deposits are reflected as liabilities until earned or refunded. Fees and forfeitures of deposits are recognized when incurred. Normally these are cash transactions and are fully recognized when recorded.

Operational Expenses - Operational expenses are recorded or accrued when incurred. Expenses that are paid in advance of the goods or service being provided are deferred and amortized over their contract or useful period. Prepaid property insurance is the principal prepaid asset.

Federal Income Tax Matters - The Company is not directly liable for Federal income taxes. Company income and deductions are allocated to the partners who are directly responsible for any income taxes due on such allocation; however, the Company does prepare a Federal income tax return as required by the Federal income tax laws.

Use of Estimates - The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Recent Accounting Pronouncement - In April 2015, the Financial Accounting Services Board (FASB) published Accounting Standards Update (ASU) No. 2015-03, which changes the presentation and disclosure of debt issuance costs in the financial statements by requiring these amounts to be presented as a direct deduction from the carrying amount of the related debt. Previous U.S. GAAP required debt issuance costs to be reported as an asset. The new guidance does not change the subsequent accounting for debt issuance costs and these amounts will continue to be amortized over the term of the related debt. However, amortization of debt issuance costs will now be required to be reported as a component of interest expense. The Company reclassified the debt issuance costs of \(\$ 12,388\) as a contra-account to the mortgage liability.

\section*{NOTE 3 - Bank Accounts and Petty Cash}

The Company maintains its cash in two bank accounts with Bremer Bank, a regional banking institution. Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation insures each account balance. Currently all balances are within the FDIC insured limits.

To comply with HUD regulations, tenant security deposits provided under tenants' lease provisions are maintained in a separate bank account. The cash in this account is restricted from use in the general operations of the Company. As security deposits increase or decrease, the amount held in the aforementioned restricted account is adjusted accordingly.

A petty cash fund is maintained by the property manager to pay minor expenditures that arise in the normal course of business. This fund is replenished periodically on an impress basis. At December 31, 2016, there was an operating account of \(\$ 340,002\) and a tenant security deposit account of \(\$ 30,857\).

\title{
PACIFIC RIDGE OSGOOD PLACE, LP HUD PROJECT NUMBER 094-11009
}

\author{
Notes to Financial Statements
}

As of December 31, 2016

\section*{NOTE 4 - Reserves and Escrows}

Each month the mortgage payment includes escrow payments for payment of annual property tax, hazard insurance and mortgage insurance premiums as well as a replacement reserve contribution. The monthly escrow payment currently totals \(\$ 19,452\) which includes \(\$ 10,728\) property tax escrow, \(\$ 3,852\) hazard insurance escrow, \(\$ 2,434\) mortgage insurance premium and \(\$ 2,438\) replacement reserve contribution. Replacement reserves are available for future replacement needs and can only be withdrawn with approval from HUD.

At December 31, 2016, the outstanding mortgage-escrow balances included the following, which management believe are adequate to pay the underlying obligations being escrowed:
\begin{tabular}{lrr} 
Property Tax Escrow & \(\$\)\begin{tabular}{r}
138,865 \\
Property Insurance Escrow \\
Mortgage Insurance Premium Escrow \\
Total Escrows
\end{tabular} & 23,922 \\
\\
Replacement Reserve & \(\$ \underline{188,128}\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{NOTE 5 - Fixed Assets}

Land, building and furniture, fixtures and equipment are recorded at cost incurred to construct or acquire the assets. At December 31, 2016, fixed assets were reflected in the following accounts:
\begin{tabular}{lr} 
Land (non-depreciated) & \(\$ 876,152\) \\
Building & \(8,428,649\) \\
Furniture for project/tenant use & 81,518 \\
Office furniture and equipment & 185,132 \\
Total Fixed Assets & \(9,571,451\) \\
Less: Accumulated Depreciation & \(\underline{(1,409,855)}\) \\
\(\quad\) Net Fixed Assets & \(\underline{\boxed{8,161,596}}\)
\end{tabular}

Depreciation expenses are based on expected useful lives of the related assets, ranging from 5 to 40 years, as provided under generally accepted accounting principals. Assets began being depreciated when put into service.

\section*{NOTE 6 - Other Liabilities}

Accrued expenses at December 31, 2016, represent estimated operational expenses incurred but not yet billed or paid. Most of these accrued expenses are normally not billed and paid monthly; therefore, estimates of the amount due are accrued and moved to accounts payable when billed. The components of accrued expenses at December 31, 2016, include accrued property taxes of \(\$ 140,537\).

Vendor payables are recorded when billings for expenses and other purchases are received. All vendor payables recorded at December 31, 2016, were paid within 30 days subsequent to year end.

\title{
PACIFIC RIDGE OSGOOD PLACE, LP HUD PROJECT NUMBER 094-11009
}

Notes to Financial Statements
As of December 31, 2016

\section*{NOTE 7 - Mortgage Payable}

At December 31, 2016, the Company has a HUD-insured mortgage outstanding in the amount of \(\$ 6,695,159\) ( \(\$ 135,264\) due within the next 12 months). The mortgage bears interest at \(3.48 \%\) per annum payable monthly installments of \(\$ 30,477\), including principal and interest through January 1, 2046. Amounts due over the next five years and thereafter are as follows:
\begin{tabular}{cr}
2017 & \(\$ \quad 135,264\) \\
2018 & 140,047 \\
2019 & 144,999 \\
2020 & 150,126 \\
2021 & 155,435 \\
Thereafter & \(5,969,288\) \\
Unamortized Debt Issuance Costs & \(\underline{(200,179)}\) \\
& \(\underline{\$, 494,980}\)
\end{tabular}

Deferred financing costs of \(\$ 254,551\) are included as a reduction in the mortgage liability. All costs are considered to be long-term. The amounts are being amortized over the 40-year term of the mortgage. Accumulated amortization for the year ended December 31, 2016, was \(\$ 54,372\). Amortization expense of \(\$ 7,272\) was included as a component of interest expense.

The Company is required to pay an annual mortgage insurance premium in advance equal to \(0.45 \%\) of the average outstanding balance of the mortgage payable for the following 12 months.

\section*{NOTE 8 - Partners' Equity}

The following reflects the changes in Partners' Equity during the year ended December 31, 2016:

Beginning balance at December 31, 2015
Income for period ended December 31, 2016
Distributions to partners

Ending balance at December 31,2016
\$ 1,901,966
236,729
(234,986)
\(\$ \underline{\underline{1,903,709}}\)

Under the regulatory agreement between the Company and HUD, distributions to partners from funds provided by rental operations are allowed provided that: 1) surplus cash, as defined by HUD, is available for such purposes; 2) the project is in compliance with all outstanding notices of requirements for proper maintenance; and 3) there is no default under the regulatory agreement or under the mortgage note. During 2016 surplus cash distributions of \(\$ 234,986\) were made. Distributable surplus cash as of December 31, 2016, was \(\$ 215,621\).

\section*{NOTE 9-Management Fees}

Property Resources Group, managed the property during 2016 under a HUD approved management arrangement. The management fee arrangement is \(4 \%\) of monthly tenant revenue collected. Management fees for 2016 were \(\$ 52,160\).

\title{
PACIFIC RIDGE OSGOOD PLACE, LP HUD PROJECT NUMBER 094-11009
}

\author{
Notes to Financial Statements
}

As of December 31, 2016

\section*{NOTE 10 - Concentrations}

The Partnership's cash balances are insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation. At times, these balances may exceed the federal insurance limits; however, the Partnership has not experienced losses with respect to its bank balances in excess of government provided insurance. Management believes that no significant concentration of credit risk exists with respect to these cash balances at December 31, 2016.

Partnership's principal asset is a 117 -unit apartment project. The Partnership's operations are concentrated in the multifamily real estate market. In addition, the Partnership operates in a heavily regulated environment. The operations of the Partnership are subject to the administrative directives, rules and regulations of federal agencies, including, but not limited to, HUD. Such administrative directives, rules and regulations are subject to change by an act of Congress or an administrative change mandated by HUD. Such changes may occur with little notice or inadequate funding to pay for the related cost, including the additional administrative burden, to comply with a change.

\section*{NOTE 11 -Subsequent Events}

The Partnership has evaluated subsequent events through March 19, 2017, the date the financial statements were available to be issued, and has determined that there are none to be reported.

\section*{SUPPLEMENTARY DATA} REQUIRED BY HUD

\title{
PACIFIC RIDGE OSGOOD PLACE, LP HUD CONTRACT NUMBER 094-11009
}

BALANCE SHEET DATA
DECEMBER 31, 2016
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{ASSETS} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{CURRENT ASSETS} \\
\hline 1120 Cash-Operations & \$ 340,002 \\
\hline 1130 Tenant/Member Accounts Receivable (Coops) & 2,549 \\
\hline 1200 Prepaid Expenses & 43,144 \\
\hline 1100 T Total Current Assets & 385,695 \\
\hline 1191 Tenant/Patient Deposits Held in Trust & 30,857 \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{RESTRICTED DEPOSITS} \\
\hline 1310 Escrow Deposits & 188,128 \\
\hline 1320 Replacement Reserve & 61,076 \\
\hline 1300 T Total Deposits & 249,204 \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT} \\
\hline 1410 Land & 876,152 \\
\hline 1420 Buildings & 8,428,649 \\
\hline 1450 Furniture for Project/Tenant Use & 81,518 \\
\hline 1465 Office Furniture and Equipment & 185,132 \\
\hline 1400 T Total Fixed Assets & 9,571,451 \\
\hline 1495 Accumulated Depreciation & (1,409,855) \\
\hline 1400N Net Fixed Assets & 8,161,596 \\
\hline 1000 T TOTAL ASSETS & \$ 8,827,352 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\title{
PACIFIC RIDGE OSGOOD PLACE, LP HUD CONTRACT NUMBER 094-11009
}

\section*{BALANCE SHEET DATA - CONTINUED \\ DECEMBER 31, 2016}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{LIABILITIES AND EQUITY} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{CURRENT LIABILITIES} \\
\hline 2110 Accounts Payable - Operations & \$ & 59,829 \\
\hline 2131 Accrued Interest Payable-First Mortgage & & 19,416 \\
\hline 2150 Accrued Property Taxes & & 140,537 \\
\hline 2170 Mortgage Payable - First Mortgage (Short Term) & & 135,264 \\
\hline 2210 Prepaid Revenue & & 16,678 \\
\hline 2122 T Total Current Liabilities & & 371,724 \\
\hline 2191 Tenant/Patient Deposits Held In Trust (Contra) & & 28,838 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{LONG-TERM LIABILITIES} \\
\hline 2320 Mortgage (or Bonds) Payable - First Mortgage & & 6,559,895 \\
\hline 2340 Debt Issuance Costs & & \((200,179)\) \\
\hline 2390 Miscellaneous Long Term Liabilities & & 163,365 \\
\hline 2300 T Total Long Term Liabilities & & 6,523,081 \\
\hline 2000 T Total Liabilities & & 6,923,643 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{PARTNERS' EQUITY} \\
\hline 3130 Total Equity & & 1,903,709 \\
\hline 2033 T TOTAL LIABILITIES AND EQUITY & \$ & 8,827,352 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\title{
PACIFIC RIDGE OSGOOD PLACE, LP HUD CONTRACT NUMBER 094-11009
}

PROFIT \& LOSS DATA
FOR THE PERIOD ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2016
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{REVENUE} \\
\hline 5120 & Rent Revenue - Gross Potential & \$ 1,298,868 \\
\hline 5100 T & Total Rent Revenue & 1,298,868 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Vacancies} \\
\hline 5250 & Rental Concessions & 644 \\
\hline 5200 T & Total Vacancies & 644 \\
\hline 5152 N & Net Rental Revenue (Rent Revenue Less Vacancies) & 1,298,224 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Financial Revenue} \\
\hline 5490 & Revenue from Investments- Misc. & 258 \\
\hline 5400 T & Total Financial Revenue & 258 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Other Revenue} \\
\hline 5910 & Laundry and Vending Revenue & 754 \\
\hline 5920 & Tenant Charges & 16,060 \\
\hline 5990 & Misc. Revenue & 18,672 \\
\hline 5900 T & Total Other Revenue & 35,486 \\
\hline 5000 T & TOTAL REVENUE & 1,333,968 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{EXPENSES} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Administrative Expenses} \\
\hline 6210 & Advertising and Marketing & 42,351 \\
\hline 6250 & Other Renting Expenses & 6,333 \\
\hline 6310 & Office Salaries & 23,422 \\
\hline 6311 & Office Expenses & 4,800 \\
\hline 6312 & Office or Model Apartment Rent & 11,781 \\
\hline 6320 & Management Fee & 52,160 \\
\hline 6340 & Legal Expense-Project & 278 \\
\hline 6350 & Audit Fees & 12,768 \\
\hline 6351 & Bookkeeping Fees/Accounting Services & 6,000 \\
\hline 6390 & Miscellaneous Administrative Expenses & 68 \\
\hline 6263 T & Total Administrative Expenses & 159,961 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Utilities Expenses} \\
\hline 6450 & Electricity & 33,027 \\
\hline 6451 & Water & 323 \\
\hline 6452 & Gas Utility Expense & 19,307 \\
\hline 6453 & Sewer & 31,613 \\
\hline 6400 T & Total Utilities Expense & 84,270 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Operating \& Maintenance Expenses} \\
\hline 6515 & Supplies & 108,571 \\
\hline 6520 & Contracts & 26,355 \\
\hline 6525 & Garbage and Trash Removal & 7,070 \\
\hline 6530 & Security Payroll/Contract & 894 \\
\hline 6548 & Snow Removal & 7,415 \\
\hline 6500 T & Total Operating and Maintenance Expenses & 150,305 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\title{
PACIFIC RIDGE OSGOOD PLACE, LP \\ HUD CONTRACT NUMBER 094-11009
}

PROFIT \& LOSS DATA - CONTINUED
FOR THE PERIOD ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2016
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Taxes \& Insurance} \\
\hline 6710 Real Estate Taxes & & 123,508 \\
\hline 6720 Property \& Liability Insurance (Hazard) & & 50,279 \\
\hline 6700 T Total Taxes and Insurance & & 173,787 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Financial Expenses} \\
\hline 6820 Interest on Mortgage (or Bonds) Payable & & 242,354 \\
\hline 6850 Mortgage Insurance Premium/Service Charge & & 30,446 \\
\hline 6890 Miscellaneous Financial Expenses & & 8,962 \\
\hline 6800 T Total Financial Expenses & & 281,762 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Operating Results} \\
\hline 6000 T Total Cost of Operations before Depreciation & & 850,085 \\
\hline 5060 T Profit (Loss) before Depreciation & & 483,883 \\
\hline 6600 Depreciation Expense & & 247,154 \\
\hline 5060 N Operating Profit or (Loss) & & 236,729 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{CHANGE IN NET ASSETS FROM OPERATIONS} \\
\hline 3250 Change in Total Net Assets from Operations & \$ & 236,729 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Part II} \\
\hline S1000-010 Total mortgage (or bond) principal payments required during the audit year [ 12 monthly payments]. This applies to all direct loans and HUD-held and fully insured mortgages. & \$ & 130,266 \\
\hline S1000-020 Total of 12 monthly deposits in the audit year into the Replacement Reserve account, as required by the Regulatory Agreement even if payments may be temporarily suspended or reduced. & \$ & 29,250 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{llr} 
S1100-010 Beginning of Year Balance & \begin{tabular}{c}
\(1,901,966\) \\
3250
\end{tabular} & Net Income or Loss \\
S1200-420 & Distributions & 236,729 \\
3130 & End of Year & \((234,986)\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\title{
PACIFIC RIDGE OSGOOD PLACE, LP HUD CONTRACT NUMBER 094-11009
}

\section*{STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS DATA \\ FOR THE PERIOD ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2016}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES} \\
\hline S 1200-010 Rental Receipts & \$ & 1,291,745 \\
\hline S1200-020 Interest Receipts & & 258 \\
\hline S 1200-030 Other Operating Receipts & & 35,486 \\
\hline S1200-040 Total Receipts & & 1,327,489 \\
\hline S1200-050 Administrative & & \((81,131)\) \\
\hline S1200-070 Management Fee & & \((52,160)\) \\
\hline S1200-090 Utilities & & \((91,339)\) \\
\hline S1200-100 Salaries and Wages & & \((23,422)\) \\
\hline S 1200-110 Operating and Maintenance & & \((109,102)\) \\
\hline S1200-120 Real Estate Taxes & & \((125,441)\) \\
\hline S 1200-140 Property Insurance & & \((49,442)\) \\
\hline S1200-150 Miscellaneous Taxes and Insurance & & \((3,248)\) \\
\hline S1200-160 Tenant Security Deposits & & \((1,051)\) \\
\hline S 1200-180 Interest on Mortgages & & \((235,460)\) \\
\hline S 1200-210 Mortgage Insurance Premium (MIP) & & \((30,446)\) \\
\hline S1200-220 Miscellaneous Financial & & \((8,962)\) \\
\hline S1200-230 Total Disbursements & & \((811,204)\) \\
\hline S1200-240 NET CASH PROVIDED BY (USED IN) OPERATIONS & & 516,285 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES} \\
\hline S1200-245 Net Deposits to the Mortgage Escrow account & & 1,388 \\
\hline S1200-250 Net Deposits to the Reserve for Replacement account & & 118 \\
\hline S1200-330 Net Purchase of Fixed Assets & & \((141,721)\) \\
\hline S1200-350 NET CASH PROVIDED BY (USED IN) INVESTING & & \((140,215)\) \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES} \\
\hline S1200-360 Principal Payments - First Mortgage (or Bonds) & & \((130,266)\) \\
\hline S 1200-420 Distributions & & \((234,986)\) \\
\hline S 1200-450 Other Financing Activities & & \((8,068)\) \\
\hline S 1200-460 NET CASH PROVIDED BY (USED IN) FINANCING & & \((373,320)\) \\
\hline S 1200-470 NET INCREASE (DECREASE) IN CASH & & 2,750 \\
\hline S1200-480 Beginning of Period Cash & & 337,252 \\
\hline S1200T END OF PERIOD CASH & \$ & 340,002 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\title{
PACIFIC RIDGE OSGOOD PLACE, LP HUD CONTRACT NUMBER 094-11009
}

\section*{STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS DATA - CONTINUED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2016}
RECONCILIATION OF NET INCOME (LOSS) TO NET CASH PROVIDED BY (USED IN) OPERATING ACTIVITIES
3250 Change in Total Net Assets from Operations \$
\$ 236,729
Adjustments to Reconcile Net Profit (Loss) to Net Cash Provided by (Used in) Operating Activities
6600 Depreciation Expenses
247,154
S1200-486 Amortization of Debt Issuance Costs 7,272
S1200-490 Decrease (increase) in Tenant/Member Accounts Receivable 648
S1200-520 Decrease (increase) in Prepaid Expenses 837
S1200-530 Decrease (increase) in Cash Restricted For Tenant Security Deposits \(\quad(1,864)\)
S1200-540 Increase (decrease) in Accounts Payable 34,134
S1200-560 Increase (decrease) in Accrued Liabilities
S1200-570 Increase (decrease) in Accrued Interest Payable \((1,933)\)
S1200-580 Increase (decrease) in Tenant Security Deposits Held in Trust 813
S1200-590 Increase (decrease) in Prepaid Revenue
S1200-610 NET CASH PROVIDED BY (USED IN) OPERATIONS
\(\$\) 516,285

\section*{PACIFIC RIDGE OSGOOD PLACE, LP HUD CONTRACT NUMBER 094-11009}

\section*{SCHEDULE OF RESERVE FOR REPLACEMENTS FOR THE PERIOD ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2016}

\section*{SCHEDULE OF RESERVE FOR REPLACEMENTS}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 1320P & Balance at Beginning of Year & \$ & 61,194 \\
\hline 1320DT & Total Monthly Deposits & & 29,250 \\
\hline 1320INT & Interest on Replacement Reserve Accounts & & 20 \\
\hline 1320WT & Approved Withdrawals & & \((29,388)\) \\
\hline 1320 & Balance at End of Year, Confirmed by Mortgagee & \$ & 61,076 \\
\hline 1320R & Deposits Suspended or Waived Indicator & & NO \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{PACIFIC RIDGE OSGOOD PLACE, LP HUD CONTRACT NUMBER 094-11009}

COMPUTATION OF SURPLUS CASH
FOR THE PERIOD ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2016
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Annual - December 31, 2016} \\
\hline S1300-010 Cash & \$ & 370,859 \\
\hline S1300-040 Total Cash & & 370,859 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Current Obligations} \\
\hline S1300-050 Accrued Mortgage (or Bond) Interest Payable & & 19,416 \\
\hline S1300-075 Accounts Payable - 30 days & & 59,829 \\
\hline 2210 Prepaid Revenue & & 16,678 \\
\hline 2191 Tenant Security Deposits Liability & & 28,838 \\
\hline S1300-110 Other Current Obligations & & 30,477 \\
\hline S1300-140 Total Current Obligations & & 155,238 \\
\hline S1300-150 Surplus Cash (Deficiency) & \$ & 215,621 \\
\hline S1300-200 Amount Available for Distribution during next fiscal period & \$ & 215,621 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Semi-Annual - June 30, 2016} \\
\hline S1400-020 Cash & \$ & 394,138 \\
\hline S1400-050 Total Cash & & 394,138 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Current Obligations} \\
\hline S1400-060 Accrued Mortgage Interest Payable & & 19,606 \\
\hline S1400-090 Accounts Payable - 30 days & & 41,232 \\
\hline S1400-120 Accrued Expenses [not escrowed] & & 12,051 \\
\hline S1400-130 Prepaid Revenue & & 25,408 \\
\hline S1400-140 Tenant Security Deposits Liability & & 30,200 \\
\hline S1400-180 Total Current Obligations & & 128,497 \\
\hline S1400-190 Surplus Cash (Deficiency) & \$ & 265,641 \\
\hline S1400-240 Amount Available for Distribution & \$ & 265,641 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

PACIFIC RIDGE OSGOOD PLACE, LP HUD CONTRACT NUMBER 094-11009

SCHEDULE OF FIXED ASSETS
DECEMBER 31, 2016
1410 Land
1420 Buildings
1450 Furniture for Project/Tenant Use
1465 Office Furniture and Equipment
Total
1495 Depreciation
1400 N Net Book Value
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Beginning Balance & Additions & Deletions & & \begin{tabular}{l}
Ending \\
Balance
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \$ 876,152 & \$ & \$ & \$ & 876,152 \\
\hline 8,428,649 & - & - & & 8,428,649 \\
\hline 81,518 & - & - & & 81,518 \\
\hline 43,411 & 141,721 & - & & 185,132 \\
\hline 9,429,730 & \$ 141,721 & \$ & & 9,571,451 \\
\hline \((1,162,701)\) & \$ (247, 154) & - & & \((1,409,855)\) \\
\hline \$ 8,267.029 & & & \$ & 8,161,596 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\title{
Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards
}

To the Partners of
Pacific Ridge Osgood Place, LP
We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of Pacific Ridge Osgood Place, LP (Project), HUD Contact No. 094-11009, which comprise the balance sheet as of December 31, 2016, and the related statements of operations, partners' equity, and cash flows for the year then ended, and the related notes to the financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated March 19, 2017.

\section*{Internal Control over Financial Reporting}

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the Project's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Project's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Project's internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the Project's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control, that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

\section*{Compliance and Other Matters}

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Project's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under Government

\section*{Auditing Standards.}

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the Project's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards in considering the Project's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

SWALM \& ASSOCIATES, P.C.

\section*{Swalm \(X\) Associater, P.C.}

Richardson, Texas
March 19, 2017

\title{
Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance with Requirements that Could \\ Have a Direct and Material Effect on the Major HUD-assisted Program and on Internal Control over Compliance in Accordance with the Consolidated Audit Guide for Audits of HUD Programs
}

To the Partners of
Pacific Ridge Osgood Place, LP
Report on Compliance for Major HUD Program
We have audited Pacific Ridge Osgood Place, LP, HUD Project No. 094-11009, compliance with the compliance requirements described in the Consolidated Audit Guide for A udits of HUD Programs (the Guide) that could have a direct and material effect on the Project's major U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) program for the year ended December 31, 2016. The Project's major HUD program and the related direct and material compliance requirements are as follows:
\begin{tabular}{|c|l|}
\hline Name of Major HUD Program & Direct and Material Compliance Requirements \\
\hline HUD Loan under Section 223 (a) (7) Program & \begin{tabular}{l} 
Federal financial reports, fair housing and non- \\
discrimination, mortgage status, replacement \\
reserve, distributions to owners, equity skimming, \\
cash receipts, cash disbursements, tenant security \\
deposits, management functions, unauthorized \\
change of ownership/acquisition of liabilities and \\
unauthorized loans from project funds.
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Management's Responsibility}

Management is responsible for compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to the HUD program.

Auditor's Responsibility
Our responsibility is to express an opinion on compliance for Pacific Ridge Osgood Place, LP's major HUD program based on our audit of the compliance requirements referred to above. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the Guide. Those standards and the Guide require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major HUD program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the Project's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance for the major HUD program. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of Pacific Ridge Osgood Place, LP's compliance.

In our opinion, Pacific Ridge Osgood Place, LP complied, in all material respects, with the compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on the major HUD program for the year ended December 31, 2016.

\section*{Report on Internal Control Over Compliance}

Management of Pacific Ridge Osgood Place, LP, is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the Project's internal control over compliance with the requirements that could have a direct and material effect on the major HUD program to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance for the major HUD program and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with the Guide, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Project's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a compliance requirement of a HUD program on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a compliance requirement of a HUD program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a compliance requirement of a HUD program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Guide. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

SWALM \& ASSOCIATES, P.C.

\section*{Swalm \(X\) Ansaciater, P.C.}

Richardson, Texas
March 19, 2017

\section*{PACIFIC RIDGE OSGOOD PLACE, LP HUD PROJECT NUMBER 094-11009}

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS
December 31, 2016

No matters were reported for the year ended December 31, 2016.

PACIFIC RIDGE OSGOOD PLACE, LP HUD PROJECT NUMBER 094-11009

AUDITOR'S COMMENTS ON AUDIT RESOLUTION MATTERS RELATING TO HUD PROGRAMS DECEMBER 31, 2016

No matters were reported for the year ended December 31, 2016.

\title{
PACIFIC RIDGE OSGOOD PLACE, LP
}

HUD PROJECT NUMBER 094-11009

\section*{CERTIFICATE OF PARTNERS}

DECEMBER 31, 2016

We hereby certify that we have examined the accompanying financial statements and supplemental data of Pacific Ridge Osgood Place, LP, HUD Contract No. 094-11009 and, to the best of our knowledge and belief, the same are accurate and complete.

Signed: \(\qquad\)

Title: \(\qquad\)

Date: \(\qquad\)

Telephone Number: 1-877-412-8474

\section*{PACIFIC RIDGE OSGOOD PLACE, LP HUD PROJECT 094-11009}

\section*{MANAGEMENT AGENT'S CERTIFICATION}

DECEMBER 31, 2016

We hereby certify that we have examined the accompanying financial statements and supplemental data of Pacific Ridge Osgood Place, LP, HUD Contract No. 094-11009 and, to the best of our knowledge and belief, the same are accurate and complete.

Management Company:

Signed: \(\qquad\)

Title: \(\qquad\)

Date: \(\qquad\)
Tax Identification Number: 45-0459350

Property Manager: Lisa Gefroh

\title{
PACIFIC RIDGE OSGOOD PLACE, LP HUD Project Number 094-11009
}

Financial Statements and Supplementary Information
Together with the Independent Auditor's Report
For the Year Ended December 31, 2017

\section*{PACIFIC RIDGE OSGOOD PLACE, LP HUD PROJECT NUMBER 094-11009}
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\section*{Independent Auditor's Report}

To the Partners of
Pacific Ridge Osgood Place, LP
We have audited the accompanying financial statements of Pacific Ridge Osgood Place, LP, HUD Project No. 094-11009, which comprise the balance sheet as of December 31, 2017, and the related statements of operations, changes in Partners' equity, and cash flows for the period then ended, and the related notes to the financial statements.

\section*{Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements}

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

\section*{Auditor's Responsibility}

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements. We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.

\section*{Opinion}

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Project as of December 31, 2017, and the results in its operations and its cash flows for the period then ended in conformity with accounting principals generally accepted in the United States of America.

Our audits were conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the basic financial statements taken as a whole. The accompanying supplemental date required by HUD shown on pages 14 to 24 is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the basic financial statements of the Project. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements or to the financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the information is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole.

\section*{Report Issued in Accordance with Government Audit Standards}

In accordance with Government Auditing Standards, we have also issued a report dated March 19, 2018, on our consideration of the Project's internal control over financial reporting and our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. Those reports are an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards and important in considering the entity's internal control and compliance.

SWALM \& ASSOCIATES, P.C.

\section*{Swalm \(\perp\) Associates, P.C.}

Richardson, Texas
March 19, 2018

\title{
PACIFIC RIDGE OSGOOD PLACE, LP HUD PROJECT NUMBER 094-11009
}

Balance Sheet
December 31, 2017
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{ASSETS} \\
\hline Cash - Operations & \$ & 243,417 \\
\hline Tenant Accounts Receivable & & 11,004 \\
\hline Prepaid Expenses & & 44,374 \\
\hline Total Current Assets & & 298,795 \\
\hline Tenant Deposits Held in Trust & & 27,144 \\
\hline Escrow Deposits & & 182,198 \\
\hline Replacement Reserve & & 61,526 \\
\hline Total Deposits & & 243,724 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Land, Building, Furniture and Equipment} \\
\hline Land & & 876,152 \\
\hline Building & & 8,428,649 \\
\hline Furniture for Tenant Use & & 120,147 \\
\hline Furniture and Equipment & & 289,687 \\
\hline Total Fixed Assets & & 9,714,635 \\
\hline Less: Accumulated Depreciation & & \((1,668,415)\) \\
\hline Net Fixed Assets & & 8,046,220 \\
\hline Total Assets & & 8,615,883 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{LIABILITIES AND PARTNERS' EQUITY} \\
\hline A/P - Operations & \$ & 25,602 \\
\hline Accrued Interest Payable-First Mortgage & & 19,025 \\
\hline Accrued Property Taxes & & 149,188 \\
\hline Mortgage Payable - Short Term & & 140,047 \\
\hline Prepaid Revenues & & 39,102 \\
\hline Total Current Liabilities & & 372,964 \\
\hline Tenant Deposit Liabilities & & 27,037 \\
\hline Mortgage Payable & & 6,227,333 \\
\hline Misc Other Liabilities & & 154,876 \\
\hline Total Long Term Liabilities & & 6,382,209 \\
\hline Total Liabilities & & 6,782,210 \\
\hline Partners' Equity & & 1,833,673 \\
\hline Total Liabilities and Partners' Equity & & \(8,615,883\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

See accompanying notes to financial statements.
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Statement of Operations
For the period ended December 31, 2017
REVENUES
Net Rental Income ..... \$ 1,281,634
Interest Income ..... 223
Other Revenues ..... 22,943
Total Revenues\(1,304,800\)
EXPENSESAdministrative149,048
Utilities ..... 78,847
Operating \& Maintenance ..... 186,980
Taxes \& Insurance ..... 182,309
Total Financial ..... 276,125
Total Cost of Operations before Depreciation873,309
Profit (Loss) before Depreciation ..... 431,491
Depreciation Expense258,560

\title{
PACIFIC RIDGE OSGOOD PLACE, LP
}

HUD PROJECT NUMBER 094-11009

Statement of Changes in Partners' Equity
For the period ended December 31, 2017

\section*{PARTNERS' EQUITY - December 31, 2016}

Net Income (Loss)
\(\$ \quad 1,903,709\)

Distributions
\((242,967)\)

\section*{PARTNERS' EQUITY - December 31, 2017}
\(\$ 1,833,673\)

See accompanying notes to financial statements.
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Statement of Changes in Cash Flows
For the period ended December 31, 2017
CASH FLOW FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES
\begin{tabular}{lrr} 
Rental Receipts & \(\$ 1,295,603\) \\
Interest Receipts & 223 \\
Other Operating Receipts & 22,943 \\
\(\quad\) Total Receipts & & \(1,318,769\)
\end{tabular}
Administrative Expenses ..... \((73,446)\)
Management Fees ..... \((52,042)\)UtilitiesSalaries and Wages
Operating and Maintenance\((78,847)\)\((23,560)\)
Real Estate Taxes
Property Insurance\((221,207)\)\((123,508)\)
Tenant Security Deposits
Tenant Security Deposits
1,912\((43,955)\)Interest on Mortgage\((230,854)\)
Mortgage Insurance Premium ..... \((37,275)\)
Miscellaneous FinancialTotal Disbursements\((891,322)\)
Net Cash Provided by (Used in) Operating Activities427,447
CASH FLOW FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES
Net Deposits to Mortgage Escrow ..... 5,930
Net Deposits to Replacement Reserve ..... (450)
Net Purchase of Fixed Assets ..... \((143,184)\)
Net Cash Provided by (Used in) Investing Activities ..... \((137,704)\)
CASH FLOW FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES
Principal Payments on Mortgage\((134,872)\)
Distributions to partners ..... \((242,967)\)
Payments on Misc. Liabilities - Special Assessment\((8,489)\)
Net Cash Provided by (Used in) Financing Activities\((386,328)\)
Net Increase (Decrease) in Cash for the Period\((96,585)\)
Cash - December 31, 2016 ..... 340,002
Cash - December 31, 2017\$
\(\qquad\)

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

\section*{PACIFIC RIDGE OSGOOD PLACE, LP HUD PROJECT NUMBER 094-11009}

Statement of Changes in Cash Flows - continued For the period ended December 31, 2017

\section*{RECONCILIATION OF NETINCOME (LOSS) TO CASH PROVIDED BY (USED IN) OPERATING ACTIVITIES}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline Net Income (Loss) & \$ & 172,931 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{Adjustments to Reconcile Net Income (Loss) to Net Cash Provided by (Used in) Operating Activities}} \\
\hline & & \\
\hline Depreciation Expenses & & 258,560 \\
\hline Amortization of Debt Issuance Costs & & 7,272 \\
\hline Decrease (increase) in Tenant Account Receivable & & \((8,455)\) \\
\hline Decrease (increase) in Prepaid Expenses & & \((1,230)\) \\
\hline Decrease (increase) in Cash Restricted for Tenant Security Deposits & & 3,713 \\
\hline Increase (decrease) in Vendors Accounts Payable & & \((34,227)\) \\
\hline Increase (decrease) in Accrued Liabilities & & 8,651 \\
\hline Increase (decrease) in Accrued Interest Payable & & (391) \\
\hline Increase (decrease) in Tenant Security Deposits Held in Trust & & \((1,801)\) \\
\hline Increase (decrease) in Prepaid Revenues & & 22,424 \\
\hline Net Cash Provided by (Used in) Operating Activities & \$ & 427,447 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

\title{
PACIFIC RIDGE OSGOOD PLACE, LP \\ HUD PROJECT NUMBER 094-11009
}

Notes to Financial Statements
As of December 31, 2017

\section*{NOTE 1 - Organization and Basis of Presentation}

The accompanying financial statements have been prepared in conformity with the accounting and reporting standards prescribed by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) in the Audit guide for Auditing Development Costs of HUD-Insured Multifamily Projects. These standards differ in some respects from accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; however, the differences are not material in the accompanying financial statements.

Pacific Ridge Osgood Place, LP (the Company), was formed as a California limited partnership on March 19, 2010, to acquire and manage a 117-unit multifamily apartment complex located in Fargo, North Dakota. The Company operates under the provisions of Section 221 (d) (4) of the National Housing Act, with insurance provided by the Federal Housing Administration (FHA) of HUD, and has agreed to adhere to provisions of a regulatory agreement between it and HUD.

\section*{NOTE 2 - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies}

Basis of accounting - The accrual method of accounting is used for financial statement purposes. Project equity represents contributions made by the owners that were required to fund the costs incurred to construct or purchase the Project, plus the results of operations of the Project less any distributions made to the owners.

Cash and cash equivalents - For purposes of reporting cash flows, the Project considers all short-term investments with an original maturity of three months or less to be cash equivalents. The Project maintains its cash balances at financial institutions that participate in the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation's Transaction Account Guarantee Program which insures non-interest bearing accounts for the full balance and interest bearing accounts up to \(\$ 250,000\).

Accounts Receivable, Rental Income - Tenant receivables are recorded the day rent is due, usually the first day of each month. Rental payments received in advance are deferred until earned. All leases between the Project and the tenants of the property are operating leases.

Allowance for Uncollectible Accounts - Amounts are considered past due based on the tenant's individual lease. Tenant receivables are charged to bad debt expense when they are determined to be uncollectible based on a periodic review of the accounts by management. Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that the allowance method be used to recognize bad debts; however, the effect of using the direct write-off method is not materially different from the results that would have been obtained under the allowance method.

Fixed Assets, Depreciation and Amortization - Property and equipment are recorded at cost. Depreciation and amortization methods for fixed and intangible assets are based on generally accepted accounting principals methods. When assets are sold or retired, the related costs and accumulated depreciation are removed from the accounts and any given gain or loss is included in operations.

Management of the Partnership reviews the depreciated value of the property to determine if circumstances exist that would indicate the carrying value of the property has been impaired. If impairment is indicated, an adjustment will be made to the depreciated value of the property based on discounted future cash flows. Management does not believe that there are any factors or circumstances indicating impairment of its investment in the property as of December 31, 2017.

Deferred financing costs - Deferred financing costs are capitalized and amortized over the life of the loan using the effective interest method.

\title{
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Notes to Financial Statements
As of December 31, 2017

\section*{NOTE 2 - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (continued)}

Revenue Recognition - The apartment units are leased under operating leases normally of one year or less; however there are no leases that exceed a term of 3 years. The Company also has certain garages, carports and storage compartments available to rent by resident tenants. Income is recognized monthly as earned. Advance rental payments and tenant security deposits are reflected as liabilities until earned or refunded. Fees and forfeitures of deposits are recognized when incurred. Normally these are cash transactions and are fully recognized when recorded.

Operational Expenses - Operational expenses are recorded or accrued when incurred. Expenses that are paid in advance of the goods or service being provided are deferred and amortized over their contract or useful period. Prepaid property insurance is the principal prepaid asset.

Federal Income Tax Matters - The Company is not directly liable for Federal income taxes. Company income and deductions are allocated to the partners who are directly responsible for any income taxes due on such allocation; however, the Company does prepare a Federal income tax return as required by the Federal income tax laws.

Use of Estimates - The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Recent Accounting Pronouncement - In April 2016, the Financial Accounting Services Board (FASB) published Accounting Standards Update (ASU) No. 2016-03, which changes the presentation and disclosure of debt issuance costs in the financial statements by requiring these amounts to be presented as a direct deduction from the carrying amount of the related debt. Previous U.S. GAAP required debt issuance costs to be reported as an asset. The new guidance does not change the subsequent accounting for debt issuance costs and these amounts will continue to be amortized over the term of the related debt. However, amortization of debt issuance costs will now be required to be reported as a component of interest expense. The Company reclassified the debt issuance costs of \(\$ 192,907\) as a contra-account to the mortgage liability.

\section*{NOTE 3 - Bank Accounts and Petty Cash}

The Company maintains its cash in two bank accounts with Bremer Bank, a regional banking institution. Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation insures each account balance. At December 31, 2017 the cash balances exceeded the FDIC insured limits.

To comply with HUD regulations, tenant security deposits provided under tenants' lease provisions are maintained in a separate bank account. The cash in this account is restricted from use in the general operations of the Company. As security deposits increase or decrease, the amount held in the aforementioned restricted account is adjusted accordingly.

A petty cash fund is maintained by the property manager to pay minor expenditures that arise in the normal course of business. This fund is replenished periodically on an impress basis. At December 31, 2017, there was an operating account of \(\$ 243,417\) and a tenant security deposit account of \(\$ 27,144\).

\title{
PACIFIC RIDGE OSGOOD PLACE, LP HUD PROJECT NUMBER 094-11009
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Notes to Financial Statements
As of December 31, 2017

\section*{NOTE 4 - Reserves and Escrows}

Each month the mortgage payment includes escrow payments for payment of annual property tax, hazard insurance and mortgage insurance premiums as well as a replacement reserve contribution. The monthly escrow payment currently totals \(\$ 19,351\) which includes \(\$ 11,080\) property tax escrow, \(\$ 3,452\) hazard insurance escrow, \(\$ 2,381\) mortgage insurance premium and \(\$ 2,438\) replacement reserve contribution. Replacement reserves are available for future replacement needs and can only be withdrawn with approval from HUD.

At December 31, 2017, the outstanding mortgage-escrow balances included the following, which management believe are adequate to pay the underlying obligations being escrowed:

Property Tax Escrow
Property Insurance Escrow
Mortgage Insurance Premium Escrow Total Escrows

Replacement Reserve
\$ 136,484
20,870
24,844
\(\$ 182,198\)
\(\$ \quad 61,526\)

\section*{NOTE 5 - Fixed Assets}

Land, building and furniture, fixtures and equipment are recorded at cost incurred to construct or acquire the assets. At December 31, 2017, fixed assets were reflected in the following accounts:

Land (non-depreciated)
Building
Furniture for project/tenant use
Office furniture and equipment
Total Fixed Assets
Less: Accumulated Depreciation Net Fixed Assets
\$ 876,152
8,428,649
120,147
289,687
9,714,635
\((1,668,415)\)
\(\$ \underline{\underline{8,046,220}}\)

Depreciation expenses are based on expected useful lives of the related assets, ranging from 5 to 40 years, as provided under generally accepted accounting principals. Assets began being depreciated when put into service.

\section*{NOTE 6 - Other Liabilities}

Accrued expenses at December 31, 2017, represent estimated operational expenses incurred but not yet billed or paid. Most of these accrued expenses are normally not billed and paid monthly; therefore, estimates of the amount due are accrued and moved to accounts payable when billed. The components of accrued expenses at December 31, 2017, include accrued property taxes of \(\$ 149,188\).

Vendor payables are recorded when billings for expenses and other purchases are received. All vendor payables recorded at December 31, 2017, were paid within 30 days subsequent to year end.

\title{
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Notes to Financial Statements
As of December 31, 2017

\section*{NOTE 7 - Mortgage Payable}

At December 31, 2017, the Company has a HUD-insured mortgage outstanding in the amount of \(\$ 6,560,287\) ( \(\$ 140,047\) due within the next 12 months). The mortgage bears interest at \(3.48 \%\) per annum payable monthly installments of \(\$ 30,477\), including principal and interest through January 1, 2046. Amounts due over the next five years and thereafter are as follows:
\begin{tabular}{cr}
2018 & \(\$ 140,047\) \\
2019 & 144,999 \\
2020 & 150,126 \\
2021 & 155,435 \\
2022 & 160,931 \\
Thereafter & \(5,808,749\) \\
Unamortized Debt Issuance Costs & \((192,907)\) \\
\cline { 2 - 2 }
\end{tabular}

Deferred financing costs of \(\$ 254,551\) are included as a reduction in the mortgage liability. All costs are considered to be long-term. The amounts are being amortized over the 40 -year term of the mortgage. Accumulated amortization for the year ended December 31, 2017, was \(\$ 61,644\). Amortization expense of \(\$ 7,272\) was included as a component of interest expense.

The Company is required to pay an annual mortgage insurance premium in advance equal to \(0.45 \%\) of the average outstanding balance of the mortgage payable for the following 12 months.

\section*{NOTE 8 - Partners' Equity}

The following reflects the changes in Partners' Equity during the year ended December 31, 2017:

> Beginning balance at December 31,2016
> Income for period ended December 31,2017
> Distributions to partners

Ending balance at December 31, 2017
\$ 1,903,709
172,931
\((242,967)\)

\section*{\(\$ 1,833,673\)}

Under the regulatory agreement between the Company and HUD, distributions to partners from funds provided by rental operations are allowed provided that: 1) surplus cash, as defined by HUD, is available for such purposes; 2) the project is in compliance with all outstanding notices of requirements for proper maintenance; and 3) there is no default under the regulatory agreement or under the mortgage note. During 2017 surplus cash distributions of \(\$ 242,967\) were made. Distributable surplus cash at December 31, 2017, was \(\$ 145,904\).

\section*{NOTE 9 - Management Fees}

Property Resources Group, managed the property during 2017 under a HUD approved management arrangement. The management fee arrangement is \(4 \%\) of monthly tenant revenue collected. Management fees for 2017 were \(\$ 52,042\).

\title{
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Notes to Financial Statements
As of December 31, 2017

\section*{NOTE 10 - Concentrations}

The Partnership's cash balances are insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation. At December 31, 2017, and at times during the year, these balances may have exceed the federal insurance limits; however, the Partnership has not experienced losses with respect to its bank balances in excess of government provided insurance. Management believes that no significant concentration of credit risk exists with respect to these cash balances at December 31, 2017.

Partnership's principal asset is a 117 -unit apartment project. The Partnership's operations are concentrated in the multifamily real estate market. In addition, the Partnership operates in a heavily regulated environment. The operations of the Partnership are subject to the administrative directives, rules and regulations of federal agencies, including, but not limited to, HUD. Such administrative directives, rules and regulations are subject to change by an act of Congress or an administrative change mandated by HUD. Such changes may occur with little notice or inadequate funding to pay for the related cost, including the additional administrative burden, to comply with a change.

\section*{NOTE 11 - Subsequent Events}

The Partnership has evaluated subsequent events through March 19, 2018, the date the financial statements were available to be issued, and has determined that there are none to be reported.

SUPPLEMENTARYDATA
REQUIRED BY HUD

\title{
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\section*{BALANCE SHEET DATA}

DECEMBER 31, 2017

ASSETS

CURRENT ASSETS
1120 Cash - Operations \$ 243,417
1130 Tenant/Member Accounts Receivable (Coops) 11,004

1200 Prepaid Expenses \(\quad 44,374\)
1100 T Total Current Assets
1191 Tenant/Patient Deposits Held in Trust
27,144
RESTRICTED DEPOSITS
1310 Escrow Deposits
182,198
1320 Replacement Reserve
1300 T Total Deposits
61,526

PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT
\begin{tabular}{lllr}
1410 & Land & & \begin{tabular}{r}
876,152 \\
1420
\end{tabular} \\
Buildings & & \(8,428,649\) \\
1450 & Furniture for Project/Tenant Use & & 120,147 \\
1465 & Office Furniture and Equipment & & 289,687 \\
1400 T & Total Fixed Assets & & \(9,714,635\) \\
1495 & Accumulated Depreciation & & \begin{tabular}{r}
\((1,668,415)\) \\
1400 N
\end{tabular} \\
& Net Fixed Assets & & \(\underline{8,046,220}\) \\
1000 T & TOTAL ASSETS & & \(\underline{8,615,883}\)
\end{tabular}

\title{
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\section*{BALANCE SHEET DATA - CONTINUED}

DECEMBER 31, 2017

\section*{LIABILITIES AND EQUITY}

CURRENT LIABILITIES
2110 Accounts Payable - Operations
2131 Accrued Interest Payable-First Mortgage
2150 Accrued Property Taxes
\$ 25,602 19,025

2170 Accned Property Taxes
2210 Morgage (Short Term) 140,047
2210 Prepaid Revenue
39,102
2122 T Total Current Liabilities 372,964

2191 Tenant/Patient Deposits Held In Trust (Contra)
27,037
LONG-TERM LIABILITIES
2320 Mortgage (or Bonds) Payable - First Mortgage
6,420,240
2340 Debt Issuance Costs
\((192,907)\)
2390 Miscellaneous Long Term Liabilities
2300T Total Long Term Liabilities
2000T Total Liabilities
PARTNERS' EQUITY
3130 Total Equity
1,833,673

2033 T TOTAL LIABILITIES AND EQUITY
\(\underline{\underline{\$ 8,615,883}}\)

\title{
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\section*{PROFIT \& LOSS DATA \\ FOR THE PERIOD ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2017}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{REVENUE} \\
\hline 5120 & Rent Revenue - Gross Potential & \$ 1,297,454 \\
\hline 5100 T & Total Rent Revenue & 1,297,454 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Vacancies} \\
\hline 5250 & Rental Concessions & 15,820 \\
\hline 5200 T & Total Vacancies & 15,820 \\
\hline 5152 N & Net Rental Revenue (Rent Revenue Less Vacancies) & 1,281,634 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Financial Revenue} \\
\hline 5440 & Revenue from Investments - Replacement Reserve & 14 \\
\hline 5490 & Revenue from Investments- Miscellaneous & 209 \\
\hline 5400T & Total Financial Revenue & 223 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Other Revenue} \\
\hline 5910 & Laundry and Vending Revenue & 1,011 \\
\hline 5920 & Tenant Charges & 17,751 \\
\hline 5990 & Misc. Revenue & 4,181 \\
\hline 5900 T & Total Other Revenue & 22,943 \\
\hline 5000 T & TOTAL REVENUE & 1,304,800 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{EXPENSES} \\
\hline Admini & strative Expenses & \\
\hline 6210 & Advertising and Marketing & 40,250 \\
\hline 6250 & Other Renting Expenses & 2,955 \\
\hline 6310 & Office Salaries & 23,560 \\
\hline 6311 & Office Expenses & 309 \\
\hline 6312 & Office or Model Apartment Rent & 8,731 \\
\hline 6320 & Management Fee & 52,042 \\
\hline 6340 & Legal Expense-Project & 188 \\
\hline 6350 & Audit Fees & 13,420 \\
\hline 6351 & Bookkeeping Fees/Accounting Services & 6,000 \\
\hline 6390 & Miscellaneous Administrative Expenses & 1,593 \\
\hline 6263 T & Total Administrative Expenses & 149,048 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Utilities Expenses} \\
\hline 6450 & Electricity & 30,629 \\
\hline 6451 & Water & 926 \\
\hline 6452 & Gas & 18,022 \\
\hline 6453 & Sewer & 29,270 \\
\hline 6400 T & Total Utilities Expense & 78,847 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Operating \& Maintenance Expenses} \\
\hline 6515 & Supplies & 131,689 \\
\hline 6520 & Contracts & 33,189 \\
\hline 6525 & Garbage and Trash Removal & 7,096 \\
\hline 6530 & Security Payroll/Contract & 885 \\
\hline 6548 & Snow Removal & 14,121 \\
\hline 6500 T & Total Operating and Maintenance Expenses & 186,980 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\title{
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PROFIT \& LOSS DATA - CONTINUED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2017

Taxes \& Insurance
\begin{tabular}{llr}
6710 & Real Estate Taxes & 132,159 \\
6720 & Property \& Liability Insurance (Hazard) & 50,150 \\
6700 T & Total Taxes and Insurance & \(\mathbf{1 8 2 , 3 0 9}\)
\end{tabular}

Financial Expenses
\begin{tabular}{llrr}
6820 & Interest on Mortgage (or Bonds) Payable & & 237,735 \\
6850 & Mortgage Insurance Premium/Service Charge & 29,850 \\
6890 & Miscellaneous Financial Expenses & 8,540 \\
6800 T & Total Financial Expenses & \begin{tabular}{r}
876,125 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\end{tabular}

\section*{Operating Results}

6000 T Total Cost of Operations before Depreciation 873,309
5060 T Profit (Loss) before Depreciation 431,491
6600 Depreciation Expense \(\quad 258,560\)
\(5060 \mathrm{~N} \quad\) Operating Profit or (Loss)
172,931
CHANGE IN NET ASSETS FROM OPERATIONS
3250 Change in Total Net Assets from Operations

Part II
S1000-010 Total mortgage (or bond) principal payments required during the audit year [ 12 monthly payments]. This applies to all direct loans and HUD-held and fully insured mortgages.

S1000-020 Total of 12 monthly deposits in the audit year into the Replacement Reserve account, as required by the Regulatory Agreement even if payments may be temporarily suspended or reduced.
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\section*{STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN PARTNERS' EQUITY FOR PERIOD ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2017}
\begin{tabular}{lcc} 
S1100-010 & Beginning of Year Balance & \(\$\)\begin{tabular}{c}
\(1,903,709\) \\
3250 \\
Net Income or Loss
\end{tabular} \\
S1200-420 & Distributions & \begin{tabular}{c}
172,931 \\
\((242,967)\) \\
3130 \\
End of Year
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS DATA
FOR THE PERIOD ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2017
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES} \\
\hline S1200-010 Rental Receipts & \$ & 1,295,603 \\
\hline S1200-020 Interest Receipts & & 223 \\
\hline S1200-030 Other Operating Receipts & & 22,943 \\
\hline S1200-040 Total Receipts & & 1,318,769 \\
\hline S1200-050 Administrative & & \((73,446)\) \\
\hline S1200-070 Management Fee & & \((52,042)\) \\
\hline S1200-090 Utilities & & \((78,847)\) \\
\hline S1200-100 Salaries and Wages & & \((23,560)\) \\
\hline S1200-110 Operating and Maintenance & & \((221,207)\) \\
\hline S1200-120 Real Estate Taxes & & \((123,508)\) \\
\hline S1200-140 Property Insurance & & \((43,955)\) \\
\hline S1200-160 Tenant Security Deposits & & 1,912 \\
\hline S1200-180 Interest on Mortgages & & \((230,854)\) \\
\hline S1200-210 Mortgage Insurance Premium (MIP) & & \((37,275)\) \\
\hline S1200-220 Miscellaneous Financial & & \((8,540)\) \\
\hline S1200-230 Total Disbursements & & \((891,322)\) \\
\hline S1200-240 NET CASH PROVIDED BY (USED IN) OPERATIONS & & 427,447 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES} \\
\hline S1200-245 Net Deposits to the Mortgage Escrow account & & 5,930 \\
\hline S1200-250 Net Deposits to the Reserve for Replacement account & & (450) \\
\hline S1200-330 Net Purchase of Fixed Assets & & \((143,184)\) \\
\hline S1200-350 NET CASH PROVIDED BY (USED IN) INVESTING & & \((137,704)\) \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES} \\
\hline S1200-360 Principal Payments - First Mortgage (or Bonds) & & (134,872) \\
\hline S1200-420 Distributions & & \((242,967)\) \\
\hline S1200-450 Other Financing Activities & & \((8,489)\) \\
\hline S1200-460 NET CASH PROVIDED BY (USED IN) FINANCING & & \((386,328)\) \\
\hline S1200-470 NET INCREASE (DECREASE) IN CASH & & \((96,585)\) \\
\hline S1200-480 Beginning of Period Cash & & 340,002 \\
\hline S1200T END OF PERIOD CASH & \$ & 243.417 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\title{
PACIFIC RIDGE OSGOOD PLACE, LP HUD CONTRACT NUMBER 094-11009
}

\section*{STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS DATA - CONTINUED FOR THE PERIOD ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2017}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{BY (USED IN) OPERATING ACTIVITIES} \\
\hline 3250 Change in Total Net Assets from Operations & \$ & 172,931 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Adjustments to Reconcile Net Profit (Loss) to Net Cash Provided by (Used in) Operating Activities} \\
\hline 6600 Depreciation Expenses & & 258,560 \\
\hline S1200-486 Amortization of Debt Issuance Costs & & 7,272 \\
\hline S1200-490 Decrease (increase) in Tenant/Member Accounts Receivable & & \((8,455)\) \\
\hline S1200-520 Decrease (increase) in Prepaid Expenses & & \((1,230)\) \\
\hline S1200-530 Decrease (increase) in Cash Restricted For Tenant Security Deposits & & 3,713 \\
\hline S1200-540 Increase (decrease) in Accounts Payable & & \((34,227)\) \\
\hline S1200-560 Increase (decrease) in Accrued Liabilities & & 8,651 \\
\hline S1200-570 Increase (decrease) in Accrued Interest Payable & & (391) \\
\hline S1200-580 Increase (decrease) in Tenant Security Deposits Held in Trust & & \((1,801)\) \\
\hline S1200-590 Increase (decrease) in Prepaid Revenue & & 22,424 \\
\hline S1200-610 NET CASH PROVIDED BY (USED IN) OPERATIONS & \$ & 427,447 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\title{
PACIFIC RIDGE OSGOOD PLACE, LP HUD CONTRACT NUMBER 094-11009
}

SCHEDULE OF RESERVE FOR REPLACEMENTS
FOR THE PERIOD ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2017

\section*{SCHEDULE OF RESERVE FOR REPLACEMENTS}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 1320P & Balance at Beginning of Year & \$ & 61,076 \\
\hline 1320DT & Total Monthly Deposits & & 29,250 \\
\hline 1320INT & Interest on Replacement Reserve Accounts & & 14 \\
\hline 1320WT & Approved Withdrawals & & \((28,814)\) \\
\hline 1320 & Balance at End of Year, Confirmed by Mortgagee & \$ & 61,526 \\
\hline 1320R & Deposits Suspended or Waived Indicator & & NO \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\title{
PACIFIC RIDGE OSGOOD PLACE, LP \\ HUD CONTRACT NUMBER 094-11009
}

COMPUTATION OF SURPLUS CASH
FOR THE PERIOD ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2017
Annual - December 31, 2017
S1300-010 Cash
S1300-040 Total Cash \begin{tabular}{l}
\(\$ \quad 270,561\) \\
\hline 270,561
\end{tabular} 270,561
Current Obligations
S1300-050 Accrued Mortgage (or Bond) Interest Payable ..... 25,602
S1300-075 Accounts Payable - 30 days ..... 19,025
2210 Prepaid Revenue
2191 Tenant Security Deposits Liability ..... 27,038
S1300-110 Other Current Obligations ..... 13,890
S1300-140 Total Current Obligations ..... 124,657
S1300-150 Surplus Cash (Deficiency) ..... \(\$ \quad 145,904\)
S1300-200 Amount Available for Distribution during next fiscal period\(\$ \quad 145.904\)
Semi-Annual - June 30, 2017
S1400-020 Cash
S1400-050 Total Cash
\(\$ \quad 335,133\)335,133
Current Obligations
S1400-060 Accrued Mortgage Interest Payable ..... 19,222
S1400-090 Accounts Payable - 30 days ..... 47,066
S1400-130 Prepaid Revenue ..... 21,882
S1400-140 Tenant Security Deposits Liability ..... 29,688
S1400-180 Total Current Obligations ..... 117,858
S1400-190 Surplus Cash (Deficiency)S 217,275
S1400-240 Amount Available for Distribution

PACIFIC RIDGE OSGOOD PLACE, LP HUD CONTRACT NUMBER 094-11009

SCHEDULE OF FIXED ASSETS
DECEMBER 31, 2017
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 1410 Land & \$ & 876,152 & \$ & - & \$ & & \$ & 876,152 \\
\hline 1420 Buildings & & 8,428,649 & & & & & & 8,428,649 \\
\hline 1450 Furniture for Project/Tenant Use & & 81,518 & & 38,629 & & & & 120,147 \\
\hline 1465 Office Furniture and Equipment & & 185,132 & & 104,555 & & & & 289,687 \\
\hline Total & & 9,571,451 & \$ & & \$ & & & 9,714,635 \\
\hline 1495 Depreciation & & \((1,409,855)\) & \$ & \((258,560)\) & & & & 1,668,415) \\
\hline 1400N Net Book Value & & 8,161,596 & & & & & & 8,046,220 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\title{
Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards
}

To the Partners of
Pacific Ridge Osgood Place, LP

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of Pacific Ridge Osgood Place, LP (Project), HUD Contact No. 094-11009, which comprise the balance sheet as of December 31, 2017, and the related statements of operations, partners' equity, and cash flows for the year then ended, and the related notes to the financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated March 19, 2018.

\section*{Internal Control over Financial Reporting}

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the Project's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Project's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Project's internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the Project's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control, that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

\section*{Compliance and Other Matters}

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Project's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under Government

\section*{Auditing Standards.}

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the Project's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards in considering the Project's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

SWALM \& ASSOCIATES, P.C.

\section*{Swalm \(X\) Ansociater, P.C.}

Richardson, Texas
March 19, 2018

\title{
Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance with Requirements that Could Have a Direct and Material Effect on the Major HUD-assisted Program and on Internal Control over Compliance in Accordance with the Consolidated Audit Guide for Audits of HUD Programs
}

To the Partners of
Pacific Ridge Osgood Place, LP
Report on Compliance for Major HUD Program
We have audited Pacific Ridge Osgood Place, LP, HUD Project No. 094-11009, compliance with the compliance requirements described in the Consolidated Audit Guide for Audits of HUD Programs (the Guide) that could have a direct and material effect on the Project's major U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) program for the year ended December 31, 2017. The Project's major HUD program and the related direct and material compliance requirements are as follows:
\begin{tabular}{|c|l|}
\hline Name of Major HUD Program & Direct and Material Compliance Requirements \\
\hline HUD Loan under Section 207/223(f) Program & \begin{tabular}{l} 
Federal financial reports, fair housing and non- \\
discrimination, mortgage status, replacement \\
reserve, distributions to owners, equity skimming, \\
cash receipts, cash disbursements, tenant security \\
deposits, management functions, unauthorized \\
change of ownership/acquisition of liabilities and \\
unauthorized loans from project funds.
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Management's Responsibility}

Management is responsible for compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to the HUD program.

\section*{Auditor's Responsibility}

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on compliance for Pacific Ridge Osgood Place, LP's major HUD program based on our audit of the compliance requirements referred to above. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the Guide. Those standards and the Guide require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major HUD program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the Project's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance for the major HUD program. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of Pacific Ridge Osgood Place, LP's compliance.

In our opinion, Pacific Ridge Osgood Place, LP complied, in all material respects, with the compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on the major HUD program for the year ended December 31, 2017.

\section*{Report on Internal Control Over Compliance}

Management of Pacific Ridge Osgood Place, LP, is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the Project's internal control over compliance with the requirements that could have a direct and material effect on the major HUD program to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance for the major HUD program and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with the Guide, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Project's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a compliance requirement of a HUD program on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a compliance requirement of a HUD program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a compliance requirement of a HUD program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Guide. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

SWALM \& ASSOCIATES, P.C.

\section*{Swalm X Ansciater, P.C.}

Richardson, Texas
March 19, 2018

\section*{PACIFIC RIDGE OSGOOD PLACE, LP}

HUD PROJECT NUMBER 094-11009

\section*{SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS}

December 31, 2017

No matters were reported for the year ended December 31, 2017.

\title{
PACIFIC RIDGE OSGOOD PLACE, LP
} HUD PROJECT NUMBER 094-11009

AUDITOR'S COMMENTS ON AUDIT RESOLUTION
MATTERS RELATING TO HUD PROGRAMS
DECEMBER 31, 2017

No matters were reported for the year ended December 31, 2017.

\title{
PACIFIC RIDGE OSGOOD) PLACE, LP HUD PROJECT NUMBER 094-11009
}

\section*{CERTIFICATE OF PARTNERS}

DECEMBER 31, 2017
We hereby certify that we have examined the accompanying financial statements and supplemental data of Pacific Ridge Osgood Place, LP, HUD Contract No. 094-11009 and, to the best of our knowledge and belief, the same are aceyrate and complete.

Signed:


Title:


Date: March 19, 2018
Telephone Number: 1-877-412-8474

\section*{PACIFIC RIDGE OSGOOD PLACE, LP HUD PROJECT 094-11009}

\section*{MANAGEMENT AGENTS CERTIFICATION}

DECEMBER 31, 2017

We hereby certify that we have examined the accompanying financial statements and supplemental data of Pacific Ridge Osgood Place, LP, HUD Contract No. 094-11009 and, to the best of our knowledge and belief, the same are accurate and complete.


Signed:


Title:


Date: March 19, 2018

Tax Identification Number: 45-0459350

Property Manager: Lisa Gefroh```

